|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SEMESTER II**  **SUBJECT: LAW OF CRIMES I** | | | |
|  | **FIRST NAME** | **LAST NAME** | **LAW OF CRIMES I- TOPIC** |
| 1 | SUMAN | DAS | Territorial Jurisdiction of IPC |
| 2 | ANKANA | SINGHA | Analysis of the offence of defamation |
| 3 | SHAH | ALAM | Wrongful confinement |
| 4 | SINDHU | ROY | Criminal law and Morality |
| 5 | SAJEN | PAUL | Defence of Intoxication |
| 6 | ANKUR | MAHESHWARI | Defence of consent |
| 7 | MD PARBEEZ | ALAM | Modes of punishment in India |
| 8 | TAMALI | DEY | Criminal conspiracy |
| 9 | LUCAS | HEBRON | Criminal breach of trust |
| 10 | PRITAM | BANIK | Aruna Ramchandra Shanbaug v. Union of India and Ors AIR 2011 SC 1290- Case study |
| 11 | PRADIP | KUMAR | Unnatural offences |
| 12 | ANKIT | KUMAR | Capital Punishment- Justice or mere bloodlust |
| 13 | AKASH | MARODIA | Cheating |
| 14 | SONU | BASFORE | Domestic violence |
| 15 | JOY | NAG | Unlawful Assembly |
| 16 | SOUMYADEEP | DAS | Sedition laws in India. |
| 17 | WAJEDA SHAMS | PARVEEN | Women empowerment through law. |
| 18 | RIJU | CHOUDHURY | Common intention and common object |
| 19 | BONANI | ROY | Stages of crime |
| 20 | RAJNI |  | Essentials of crime |
| 21 | DEBOPRIYA | SARKAR | Criminal intimidation |
| 22 | SURAJ | DEB | Importance of Mens rea in crime under IPC. |
| 23 | PRITHA | SARKAR | Principle of Joint Liability |
| 24 | SIMRAN | KHATUN | Nirbhaya gangrape case |
| 25 | DWIPEN | BARMAN | Voyeurism |
| 26 | MANISHA | GUPTA | Stalking |
| 27 | TANUSHREE | ROY | Criminal Force and Assault |
| 28 | CHANDI | KUMAR | Criminal Conspiracy |
| 29 | MD. SALIM | JAVED | Problem of sexual harassment at work places. |
| 30 | SANCHAIYTA | SARKAR | Rape Laws In India |
| 31 | ROSHNI | GUPTA | Culpable homicide |
| 32 | MD AQUIB |  | Defence of insanity |
| 33 | AKRAM | ANZAR | Attempt |
| 34 | SWETA | AGARWAL\ | Doli incapax |
| 35 | SUBHANKAR | JOARDER | Misuse of “Gender biased laws” |
| 36 | MAHESH KUMAR | PRASAD | Mistake of fact and Mistake of law |
| 37 | CHETANYA BIKASH | BANSAL | MURDER |
| 38 | SAYAN | CHAKRABORTY | Private defence |
| 39 | BINNU | TAMANG | Development of criminal laws in India |
| 40 | PUJA | GOPE | Public Nuisance |
| 41 | ASIT | CHANDA | Attempt to murder |
| 42 | SHAMSAD | PARVEEN | Dowry Death: A Social Evil |
| 43 | SRIMAYEE | BHADRA | Extortion |
| 44 | DIPANKAR | DAS | Criminal breach of trust |
| 45 | AGNI SHEKAR | ROY | Aggravated forms of theft |
| 46 | SUVAM | SAHA | Adultery |
| 47 | MANISHA | GARG | Waging war against the govt. of India |
| 48 | SAMIRA | ALAM | Sedition laws in India. |
| 49 | PRIYANKA | MONDAL | Kidnapping and Abduction |
| 50 | SIMRAN | HASSAN | Defence of insanity |
| 51 | RIKTA | SARKAR | Bigamy |
| 52 | SWAGATA | MITRA | Problem of sexual harassment at work places. |
| 53 | ABHISHEK | SINGHA | Bigamy |
| 54 | SHAIKH | JASMEENARA | Tukaram v. State of Maharashtra, AIR 1979 SC 185- Case study |
| 55 | SMNITIKANA | BARMAN | Larceny and Theft |
| 56 | CHONGTHAM SILLEIMA | CHANU | Abetment – principle and scope |
| 57 | SHRABANI | SAHA | Forgery- law in India |
| 58 | MANSUR ALI | KHAN | General exceptions regarding Judicial Acts |
| 59 | ANISHA | SAHA | Criminal intimidation |
| 60 | RUDRA | BARMAN | Problem of sexual harassment at work places. |
| 61 | SANJAY KUMAR | PRASAD | Criminal trespass |
| 62 | SHAYAN | BHATTACHARJEE | House Trespass |
| 63 | NASIMA | BEGUM | Principles of Joint Liability |
| 64 | SATARUPA | ROY | Robbery and Dacoity |
| 65 | BIPUL | MANDAL | Robbery and Dacoity |
| 66 | PRAGATI | KARNANI | Sedition laws in India |
| 67 | MOUMINAL | CHOWDHURY | Territorial Jurisdiction of IPC |
| 68 | ANUSHREE | SAHA | Analysis of the offence of defamation |
| 69 | NISHA | AGARWALA | Wrongful confinement |
| 70 | KHUSHBOO | GUPTA | Criminal law and Morality |
| 71 | DULI | ROY | Defence of Intoxication |
| 72 | NAWAJ | KHAN | Defence of consent |
| 73 | MD KAZI MOSTAFFA | RABBANI | Modes of punishment in India |

**SEMESTER II**

**SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROJECT TOPIC** | **NAMES OF THE STUDENT** |
| A STUDY ON THE ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW | SUMAN DAS, ANKANA SINGHA, SHAH ALAM |
| A STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN RESPONSE TO THE SOCIO – ECONOMIC CHALLENGES IN INDIA | SINDU ROY, SAJAN PAUL, ANKUR MAHESHWARI |
| A STUDY ON THE VARIOUS SOURCES OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW | PARBEEZ ALAM, TAMALI DEY, LUCAS HEBRON |
| RULE OF LAW IN INDIA : MYTH OR REALITY | PARDIP KUMAR, ANKIT KUMAR, AKASH MARODIA |
| JUDICIAL ACTIVISM AND CONCEPT OF RULE OF LAW IN INDIA | SONU BASFORE. JOY NAG, SOUMYADEEP DAS |
| DOCTRINE OF SEPERATION OF POWERS : AN OVERVIEW | WAJEDA SHAMS PRAVEEN, RIJU CHOUDHURY, BONANI ROY |
| DELEGATED LEGISLATION AND ITS GROWTH IN INDIA | RAJNI, DEBOPRIYA SARKAR, SURAJ DEB |
| IN RE DELHI LAW CASE AND ITS IMPACT ON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW IN INDIA | PRITAM BANIK, PRITHA SARKAR, SIMRAN KHATUN |
| GROUND FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION: AN OVERVIEW | DIWPEN BARMAN, MANISHA GUPTA, TANUSHREE ROY |
| APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLE OF NATURAL JUSTICE UNDER INDIAN CONSTITUTION | CHANDI KUMAR, MD SALIM JAVED, SANCHAIYTA SARKAR |
| ROLE OF WRITS IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW | ROSHNI GUPTA, MD AQUIB, AAKRAM ANZAR |
| A STUDY ON THE PRINCIPLE OF NATURAL JUSTICE AND ITS APPLICATION IN INDIAN LEGAL SYSTEM | SWETA AGARWAL, SUBHANKAR JOARDER, MAHESH KUMAR PRASAD |
| A STUDY ON THE DOCTRINE OF ULTRA VIRES | CHETANYA BIKASH BANSAL, BINNU TAMANG, PUJA GOPE |
| AN CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON THE LOKPAL AND LOKAYUKTA ACT, 2013 | SAYAN CHAKRABORTY, MANISHA GARG, |
| NATURAL JUSTICE AND FAIR HEARING: AN ANALYSIS | ASIT CHANDA, SAMSAD PARVEEN, SRIMAYEE BHADRA |
| AN OVERVIEW ON THE CONCEPT OF “DELEGATUS NON POTEST DELEGARE” | DIPANKAR DAS, AGNI SHEKHER ROY, SUVAM SHAH, |
| A STUDY ON THE METHOD OF PARLIAMENTARY CONTROL OVER DELEGATED LEGISLATION | PRIYANKA MONDAL, SIMRAN HASSAN, RIKTA SARKAR, |
| “A PERSON CANNOT BE JUDGE ON HIS OWN CASE”: AN ANALYSIS | SWAGATA MITRA, ABHISHEK SINGHA, SHAIKH JASMEENARA, |
| A STUDY ON THE APPLICABILITY OF “AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM” IN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING | SMNITIKANA BARMAN, SHRABANI SHAH, MANSUR ALI |
| PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION AND JUDICIAL ACTIVISM: AN ANALYSIS | ANISHA SHAH, RUDRA BARMAN, SANJAY KUMAR PRASAD |
| A STUDY ON THE DOCTRINE OF AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM IN THE LIGHT OF JUDICIAL PRONOUNCEMENT | SHAYAN BHATTACHARJEE, NASIMA BEGUM, SATARUPA ROY |
| A STUDY ON THE DOCTRINE OF “NEMO JUDEX IN CAUSA SUA” AS AN EFFECTIVE RULE OF NATURAL JUSTICE | BIPUL MANDAL, PRAGATI KARNANI, MOUMINAL HOUQUE CHOWDHURY |
| A STUDY ON THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION | ANUSHREE SHAH, NISHA AGARWAL, KHUSHBOO GUPTA |
| A STUDY ON THE OMBUDSMAN AND CENTRAL VIGILANCE COMMISSION | DULI ROY, NAWAJ SHARIF KHAN, MD KAZI MOSTAFFA KAMAL RABBANI |

**SEMESTER II**

**SUBJECT: SPECIAL CONTRACTS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr . No** | **Name of the students** | **Topics for presentation** |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | SUMAN DAS | Essential elements and the implied terms of the concept of sale under the Sales of Goods Act. |
| 2 | ANKANA SINGHA | Caveat Emptor and its exceptions in the contract of sale. |
| 3 | SHAH ALAM | Warranties and transfer of titles in the contract of sale under the Sales of Goods Act. |
| 4 | SINDHU ROY | Unpaid sellers and his rights and the remedies for breach of the contract of sale with regard to Sales of Goods Act. |
| 5 | SAJEN PAUL | Definition and advantages and disadvantages of partnership firm. |
| 6 | ANKUR MAHESWARI | Registration of the partnership firms under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. |
| 7 | MD. PARBEEZ ALAM | Incoming and Outgoing partners and their necessities in the partnership firm. |
| 8 | TAMALI DEY | Dissolution of the firms under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. |
| 9 | LUCAS HEMBROM | Explain the kinds of Negotiable Instruments under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. |
| 10 | PRITAM BANIK | Rights and Duties of holders and holders in due course with suitable illustrations. |
| 11 | PRADIP KUMAR BARMAN | Dishonour of negotiable instruments under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. |
| 12 | ANKIT KUMAR | Rights and duties of Bailor under the contract of bailment. |
| 13 | AKASH MARODIA | Rights and duties of the bailee under the contract of bailment. |
| 14 | SONU BASFORE | Liability of the finder of goods under the contract of bailment and kind of bailees. |
| 15 | JOY NAG | Rights and duties of Pawner and Pawnee under the contract of Pledge. |
| 16 | SOUMYADEEP DAS | Define Pledge under the Indian Contract Act, 1872. |
| 17 | WAJEDA SHAMS PERWEEN | Rights and duties of co-sureties under the contract of Guarantee. |
| 18 | ZIAUL ALAM | Rights and duties of agents and extent of agent’s authority. |
| 19 | RIJU CHOWDHURY | Concept of indemnity and duty and liability of an indemnifier. |
| 20 | BONANI ROY | Discharge of liability of the co-sureties. |
| 21 | RAJNI | Definition and essential elements of contract of guarantee. |
| 22 | DEBOPRIYA SARKAR | Essential conditions for contract of agency and difference between agent and servant. |
| 23 | SURAJ DEB | Incoming and Outgoing partners and their necessities in the partnership firm. |
| 24 | PRITHA SARKAR | Essential elements and the implied terms of the concept of sale under the Sales of Goods Act. |
| 25 | SIMRAN KHATUN | Caveat Emptor and its exceptions in the contract of sale. |
| 26 | DWIPEN BARMAN | Warranties and transfer of titles in the contract of sale under the Sales of Goods Act. |
| 27 | MANISHA GUPTA | Unpaid sellers and his rights and the remedies for breach of the contract of sale with regard to Sales of Goods Act. |
| 28 | TANUSHREE ROY | Definition and advantages and disadvantages of partnership firm. |
| 29 | CHANDI KUMAR SAHA | Registration of the partnership firms under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. |
| 30 | MD. SALIM JAVED | Disposing off goods by the finder of goods under the contract of guarantee. |
| 31 | SANCHYAITA SARKAR | Dissolution of the firms under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. |
| 32 | ROSHNI GUPTA | Explain the kinds of Negotiable Instruments under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. |
| 33 | MD. AQUIB | Rights and Duties of holders and holders in due course with suitable illustrations. |
| 34 | AKRAM ANZAR | Dishonour of negotiable instruments under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. |
| 35 | SWEATA AGARWAL | Rights and duties of Bailor under the contract of bailment. |
| 36 | SUBHANKAR JOARDER | Rights and duties of the bailee under the contract of bailment. |
| 37 | MAHESH KUMAR PRASAD | Wrongful dishonour of the cheque |
| 38 | CHETANIYA BIKASH BANSAL | Rights and duties of Pawner and Pawnee under the contract of Pledge. |
| 39 | SAYAN CHAKRABORTY | Define Pledge under the Indian Contract Act, 1872. |
| 40 | BINNU TAMANG | Rights and duties of co-sureties under the contract of Guarantee. |
| 41 | PUJA GOPE | Rights and duties of agents and extent of agent’s authority. |
| 42 | ASIT CHANDA | Concept of indemnity and duty and liability of an indemnifier. |
| 43 | SHAMSAD PARWEEN | Discharge of liability of the co-sureties. |
| 44 | SRIMAYEE BHADRA | Definition and essential elements of contract of guarantee. |
| 45 | DIPANKAR DAS | Essential conditions for contract of agency and difference between agent and servant. |
| 46 | AGNI SHEKHAR ROY | Dishonour of Cheque In India And It Consequences Under Negotiable Instruments Act 1881 |
| 47 | SUVAM SAHA | Essential elements and the implied terms of the concept of sale under the Sales of Goods Act. |
| 48 | MANISHA GARG | Caveat Emptor and its exceptions in the contract of sale. |
| 49 | SAMIRA ALAM | Warranties and transfer of titles in the contract of sale under the Sales of Goods Act. |
| 50 | PRIYANKA MONDAL | Unpaid sellers and his rights and the remedies for breach of the contract of sale with regard to Sales of Goods Act. |
| 51 | SIMRAN HASSAN | Definition and advantages and disadvantages of partnership firm. |
| 52 | RIKTA SARKAR | Registration of the partnership firms under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. |
| 53 | SWAGATA MITRA | Incoming and Outgoing partners and their necessities in the partnership firm. |
| 54 | ABHISHEK SINGHA | Dissolution of the firms under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. |
| 55 | SHAIKH JASMEENARA M NAZEER AKHTAR | Explain the kinds of Negotiable Instruments under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. |
| 56 | SMRITIKANA BARMAN | Rights and Duties of holders and holders in due course with suitable illustrations. |
| 57 | CHONGTHAM SILLEIMA CHANU | Dishonour of negotiable instruments under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. |
| 58 | SHRABANI SAHA | Rights and duties of Bailor under the contract of bailment. |
| 59 | MANSUR ALI KHAN | Rights and duties of the bailee under the contract of bailment. |
| 60 | ANISHA SAHA | Liability of the finder of goods under the contract of bailment and kind of bailees. |
| 61 | RUDRA BARMAN | Rights and duties of Pawner and Pawnee under the contract of Pledge. |
| 62 | SANJAY KUMAR PRASAD | Define Pledge under the Indian Contract Act, 1872. |
| 63 | SHAYAN BHATTACHARJEE | Rights and duties of co-sureties under the contract of Guarantee. |
| 64 | NASIMA BEGUM | Rights and duties of agents and extent of agent’s authority. |
| 65 | SATARUPA ROY | Concept of indemnity and duty and liability of an indemnifier. |
| 66 | BIPUL MANDAL | Discharge of liability of the co-sureties. |
| 67 | PRAGATI KARNANI | Dishonour of cheques and its consequences with relevant case laws. |
| 68 | MOMINUL HOQUE | Essential conditions for contract of agency and difference between agent and servant. |
| 69 | ANUSHREE SAHA | Disposing off goods by the finder of goods under the contract of guarantee. |
| 70 | NISHA AGARWALA | Essential elements and the implied terms of the concept of sale under the Sales of Goods Act. |
| 71 | KHUSBOO GUPTA | Caveat Emptor and its exceptions in the contract of sale. |
| 72 | DULI ROY | Warranties and transfer of titles in the contract of sale under the Sales of Goods Act. |
| 73 | NAWAJ SHARIF KHAN | Unpaid sellers and his rights and the remedies for breach of the contract of sale with regard to Sales of Goods Act. |
| 74 | MD. KAZI MUSTAFA | Definition and advantages and disadvantages of partnership firm. |

**SEMESTER II**

**SUBJECT: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW II**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **FIRST NAME OF STUDENT** | **LAST NAME OF STUDENT** | **PRESENTATION TOPICS** |
| SUMAN | DAS | Judicial Review |
| ANKANA | SINGHA | Reservation system in India |
| SHAH | ALAM | Judicial transparency |
| SINDHU | ROY | curative petition |
| SAJEN | PAUL | Seperatition of power |
| ANKUR | MAHESHWARI | Define state. Its powers and functions |
| MD PARBEEZ | ALAM | Golden Triangle relation between art.14,19,21 |
| TAMALI | DEY | Judicial Accountability |
| LUCAS | HEBRON | Independence of judiciary |
| PRITAM | BANIK | Right to privacy critical analysis |
| PRADIP | KUMR | Maneka Gandhi case critical analysis |
| ANKIT | KUMAR | Emergency provisions in India |
| AKASH | MARODIA | Election Commission Powers and functions |
| SONU | BASFORE | Directive principles of state policy. critical analysis |
| JOY | NAG | Judicial review |
| SOUMYADEEP | DAS | Reservation system in India |
| WAJEDA SHAMS | PARVEEN | Judicial transparency |
| RIJU | CHOUDHURY | Curative petition |
| BONANI | ROY | Separation of power |
| RAJNI |  | Define state. Its powers and functions |
| DEBOPRIYA | SARKAR | Golden Triangle relation between art.14,19,21 |
| SURAJ | DEB | judicial Accountability |
| PRITHA | SARKAR | Independence of judiciary |
| SIMRAN | KHATUN | Right to privacy critical analysis |
| DWIPEN | BARMAN | Maneka Gandhi case critical analysis |
| MANISHA | GUPTA | Emergency provisions in India |
| TANUSHREE | ROY | Maneka Gandhi case critical analysis |
| CHANDI | KUMAR | Election Commission Powers and functions |
| MD. SALIM | JAVED | Directive principles of state policy. critical analysis |
| SANCHAIYTA | SARKAR | Judicial review |
| ROSHNI | GUPTA | Amending procedure under constitution of India |
| MD AQUIB |  | Keshvananda bharti case Critical analysis. |
| AKRAM | ANZAR | Right to religion, critical analysis under constitution |
| SWETA | AGARWAL | Judicial review |
| SUBHANKAR | JOARDER | Amending procedure under constitution of India |
| MAHESH KUMAR | PRASAD | Right to religion, critical analysis under constitution |
| CHETANYA BIKASH | BANSAL | Election Commission Powers and functions |
| SAYAN | CHAKRABORTY | Keshvananda bharti case Critical analysis. |
| BINNU | TAMANG | Directive principles of state policy. critical analysis |
| PUJA | GOPE | Judicial review |
| ASIT | CHANDA | Curative petition |
| SHAMSAD | PARVEEN | Separation of power |
| SRIMAYEE | BHADRA | Define state. Its powers and functions |
| DIPANKAR | DAS | Golden Triangle relation between art.14,19,21 |
| AGNI SHEKAR | ROY | Judicial Accountability |
| SUVAM | SAHA | Golden Triangle relation between art.14,19,21 |
| MANISHA | GARG | Define state. Its powers and functions |
| SAMIRA | ALAM | Separation of power |
| PRIYANKA | MONDAL | Curative petition |
| SIMRAN | HASSAN | Judicial review |
| RIKTA | SARKAR | Directive principles of state policy. critical analysis |
| SWAGATA | MITRA | Keshvananda bharti case -Critical analysis. |
| ABHISHEK | SINGHA | Right to religion, critical analysis under constitution |
| SHAIKH | JASMEENARA | Golden Triangle relation between art.14,19,21 |
| SMNITIKANA | BARMAN | Judicial Accountability |
| CHONGTHAM SILLEIMA | CHANU | Independence of judiciary |
| SHRABANI | SAHA | Right to privacy critical analysis |
| MANSUR ALI | KHAN | Independence of judiciary |
| ANISHA | SAHA | Maneka Gandhi case critical analysis |
| RUDRA | BARMAN | Emergency provisions in India |
| SANJAY KUMAR | PRASAD | Right to religion, critical analysis under constitution |
| SHAYAN | BHATTACHARJEE | Golden Triangle relation between art.14,19,21 |
| NASIMA | BEGUM | Judicial Accountability |
| SATARUPA | ROY | Independence of judiciary |
| BIPUL | MANDAL | Right to privacy critical analysis |
| PRAGATI | KARNANI | Define state. Its powers and functions |
| MOUMINAL HOUQUE | CHOWDHURY | Separation of power |
| ANUSHREE | SAHA | Directive principles of state policy. critical analysis |
| NISHA | AGARWALA | Independence of judiciary |
| KHUSHBOO | GUPTA | Golden Triangle relation between art.14,19,21 |
| DULI | ROY | Right to religion, critical analysis under constitution |
| NAWAJ SHARIF | KHAN | Money bill and financial bill difference |
| MD KAZI MOSTAFFA KAMAL | RABBANI | Separation of power |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SEMESTER II**  **SUBJECT- FAMILY LAW II** | | | |
| **ROLL NO.** | **FIRST NAME** | **LAST NAME** | **FAMILY LAWS II- TOPIC** |
| 1 | SUMAN | DAS | Maintenance as a measure of Social Justice |
| 2 | ANKANA | SINGHA | Adultery as a ground for Divorce |
| 3 | SHAH | ALAM | Abdul Kadir Vs Salima (ILR 1886 (8) All 149) |
| 4 | SINDHU | ROY | Nikah: A Social Contract |
| 5 | SAJEN | PAUL | Acknowledgment under Muslim Law |
| 6 | ANKUR | MAHESHWARI | Khyar-ul-bulug |
| 7 | MD PARBEEZ | ALAM | Guardianship under Muslim Law |
| 8 | TAMALI | DEY | Validity of Triple Talaq |
| 9 | LUCAS | HEBRON | Matrimonial Rights and Obligations under Muslim Law |
| 10 | PRITAM | BANIK | Uniform Civil Code |
| 11 | PRADIP | KUMR | Schools of Muslim Law |
| 12 | ANKIT | KUMAR | Sources of Muslim Law |
| 13 | AKASH | MARODIA | Nikah |
| 14 | SONU | BASFORE | Marz ul maut |
| 15 | JOY | NAG | Wasiyat (Will) |
| 16 | SOUMYADEEP | DAS | Uniform Civil Code |
| 17 | WAJEDA SHAMS | PARVEEN | Origin and Development of Islamic Law |
| 18 | RIJU | CHOUDHURY | Muta Marriage |
| 19 | BONANI | ROY | Right to pre emption |
| 20 | RAJNI |  | TALAQ |
| 21 | DEBOPRIYA | SARKAR | Hizanat (Guardianship) |
| 22 | SURAJ | DEB | Validity of Triple Talaq |
| 23 | PRITHA | SARKAR | Matrimonial Rights and Obligations under Muslim Law |
| 24 | SIMRAN | KHATUN | Schools of Muslim Law |
| 25 | DWIPEN | BARMAN | Talaaq ul tafweez |
| 26 | MANISHA | GUPTA | Doctrine of Aul |
| 27 | TANUSHREE | ROY | Doctrine of Rudd |
| 28 | CHANDI | KUMAR | Adultery as a ground for Divorce |
| 29 | MD. SALIM | JAVED | Uniform Civil Code |
| 30 | SANCHAIYTA | SARKAR | Waqf |
| 31 | ROSHNI | GUPTA | Mahr |
| 32 | MD AQUIB |  | Origin and Development of Islamic Law |
| 33 | AKRAM | ANZAR | Maintenance as a measure of Social Justice |
| 34 | SWETA | AGARWAL\ | Adultery as a ground for Divorce |
| 35 | SUBHANKAR | JOARDER | Abdul Kadir Vs Salima (ILR 1886 (8) All 149) |
| 36 | MAHESH KUMAR | PRASAD | Nikah: A Social Contract |
| 37 | CHETANYA BIKASH | BANSAL | Acknowledgment under Muslim Law |
| 38 | SAYAN | CHAKRABORTY | Khyar-ul-bulug |
| 39 | BINNU | TAMANG | Guardianship under Muslim Law |
| 40 | PUJA | GOPE | Validity of Triple Talaq |
| 41 | ASIT | CHANDA | Mahr |
| 42 | SHAMSAD | PARVEEN | Polygamy under muslim law |
| 43 | SRIMAYEE | BHADRA | Parentage |
| 44 | DIPANKAR | DAS | Hiba (Gift) |
| 45 | AGNI SHEKAR | ROY | Polygamy under muslim law |
| 46 | SUVAM | SAHA | Waqf |
| 47 | MANISHA | GARG | Right to pre emption |
| 48 | SAMIRA | ALAM | Validity of Triple Talaq |
| 49 | PRIYANKA | MONDAL | Talaq ul hasan |
| 50 | SIMRAN | HASSAN | Matrimonial Rights and Obligations under Muslim Law |
| 51 | RIKTA | SARKAR | Schools of Muslim Law |
| 52 | SWAGATA | MITRA | Doctrine of Aul |
| 53 | ABHISHEK | SINGHA | Doctrine of Rudd |
| 54 | SHAIKH | JASMEENARA | Origin and Development of Islamic Law |
| 55 | SMNITIKANA | BARMAN | Parentage |
| 56 | CHONGTHAM SILLEIMA | CHANU | Hiba (Gift) |
| 57 | SHRABANI | SAHA | Talaq ul ahsan |
| 58 | MANSUR ALI | KHAN | Inheritance |
| 59 | ANISHA | SAHA | Hiba (Gift) |
| 60 | RUDRA | BARMAN | Talaq ul biddat |
| 61 | SANJAY | PRASAD | Waqf |
| 62 | SHAYAN | BHATTACHARJEE | Marz ul maut |
| 63 | NASIMA | BEGUM | Wasiyat (Will) |
| 64 | SATARUPA | ROY | Doctrine of Aul |
| 65 | BIPUL | MANDAL | Doctrine of Rudd |
| 66 | PRAGATI | KARNANI | Uniform Civil Code |
| 67 | MOUMINAL | CHOWDHURY | Polygamy under muslim law |
| 68 | ANUSHREE | SAHA | Nikah: A Social Contract |
| 69 | NISHA | AGARWALA | Acknowledgment under Muslim Law |
| 70 | KHUSHBOO | GUPTA | Khyar-ul-bulug |
| 71 | DULI | ROY | Guardianship under Muslim Law |
| 72 | NAWAJ | KHAN | Validity of Triple Talaq |
| 73 | MD KAZI M  OSTAFFA | RABBANI | Mahr |

**Please Note: Students who have back papers in more than two subjects, kindly contact with the respective faculty members before proceeding with the presentation topics, as the process of scrutiny is still pending before the University due to the lockdown**

**SEMESTER IV**

**SUBJECT: CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ROLL NO.** | **NAME OF THE STUDENTS** | **PRESENTATION TOPICS** |
| 1 | NISHI GANDHYA MAJUMDAR | Object of the Code of Civil Procedure |
| 2 | RAJIT MISRA | Ex-parte Decree |
| 3 | TANNI GHOSH | Res sub judice |
| 4 | ASHA DAS | Pleading must only state the fact and not the Law: Explain |
| 5 | SHARMISTHA ROY | Temporary and permanent Injunction |
| 6 | RAHUL KUMAR SINGH | Aligarh Muslim University v. Vinay Engineering Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. (1994) 4 SC 710 |
| 7 | MEGHA PRASAD | Second appeal and revision |
| 8 | ISHA GURUNG | Suit by or against Government and public officers |
| 9 | TAMANNA SARKAR | Presumption as to foreign Judgment |
| 10 | RIYA BISWAS | Non-joinder and mis joinder of parties |
| 11 | ISHA SHARMA | Affidavit and its importance |
| 12 | KAJOL AGARWALA | Issue and service of summons |
| 13 | PROVAKAR BARMAN | Appearance and non-appearance of parties |
| 14 | KAUSHIK BARMAN | Res Judicata |
| 15 | SUNANDA BASAK | Interpleader suits |
| 16 | APARAJITA GHOSH | Importance of Civil Procedure Code (Amendment) Act 2002 |
| 17 | DEBJANI SAHA | Property liable to attachment in execution |
| 18 | PINKI BARMAN | Temporary injunction |
| 19 | SUJIT BARMA | Appearance of parties and examination of Parties |
| 20 | ANKITA MITRA | Representative res judicata |
| 21 | SAMPA SAHA | Appeal from Orders |
| 22 | SAMIR SARKAR | Tata Industries Ltd. V. Grasim Industries Ltd. (2008) SC187 |
| 23 | SURAKSHA GURUNG | General Principle of execution |
| 24 | NOBIN MONDAL | Mahant Ram Das v. Mahant Ganga Das (1961) SC 882 |
| 25 | PRIYANKA SAHA | Pleading must only state the fact and not the Law: Explain |
| 26 | TAPAS BARMAN | Appearance and non-appearance of parties |
| 27 | SOUVIK BARMAN | Sheodan Singh v. Daryao Kunwar (1966) SCC 1332 |
| 28 | RANJIT MALLIK | Conditions for applicability of doctrine of res judicata between co-defendants |
| 29 | ROHIT PRASAD | Attendance of witness in Prison |
| 30 | SIDDARTH BARAIK | Mahant Ram Das v. Mahant Ganga Das (1961) SC 882 |
| 31 | MAHEN CHETTRI | Caveat under the Code of Civil Procedure |
| 32 | ABHISHEK GUPTA | Effect of Set –Off |
| 33 | AJAY ROUTH | Appeal by indigent person |
| 34 | BISHNU BARAI | Sulochana Amma v. Narayan Nair (1994) SCC 14 |
| 35 | MOHIT KUMAR KEDIA | Aligarh Muslim University v. Vinay Engineering Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. (1994) 4 SC 710 |
| 36 | NIRBAN DHAR | Sheodan Singh v. Daryao Kunwar (1966) SCC 1332 |
| 37 | RAKESH SINGH | Suit by or against Government and public officers |
| 38 | SANCHITA KHETAWAT | Pecuniary jurisdiction of the Court |
| 39 | SHREYASH MARODIA | Pleading must only state the fact and not the Law: Explain |
| 40 | SOURAV LOHANI | Manohar Lal v. Seth Hira Lal (1962) SC 527 |
| 41 | PUJA AGARWAL | Expiry of period of Limitation when court is closed |
| 42 | KHUSHBOO REHEMAN | Attendance of witness in Prison |
| 43 | ASHMITA MAZUMDAR | Transfer of Decree for Execution |
| 44 | SUCHITRA ROY | Foreign Judgment in Civil Procedure Code |
| 45 | POOJA GIRI | Scope of Rejection of Plaint |
| 46 | ANANTA PRADHAN | History of Civil Procedure Code |
| 47 | PUSPA BARMAN | Hierarchy of Civil Courts in India |
| 48 | SACHIN MINTRI | Difference between decree and Order |
| 49 | VISHAL AGARWAL | Settlement of Dispute outside Court |
| 50 | MONIKA GOYAL | Interpleader Suit |
| 51 | ADITI JHUNJHUNWALA | Temporary Injunction |
| 52 | AISWARYA RAJYA RAI | Definition and fundamental of Pleadings |
| 53 | AVIMANNU DAS | Set-off under CPC |
| 54 | DIVYA PRAKASH VERMA | Reference to High Court |
| 55 | DOLI MONDAL | Consequences of non-appearance |
| 56 | GOPA ACHARYA | Written statement under the Code |
| 57 | HEERAK DEB BARMAN | Suit by or against Government and public officers |
| 58 | JATIN AGARWAL | Object of the Code of Civil Procedure |
| 59 | KAUSHIK GOYAL | Expiry of period of Limitation when court is closed |
| 60 | KUMAR BIRAJ NARAYAN | Aligarh Muslim University v. Vinay Engineering Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. (1994) 4 SC 710 |
| 61 | LUSI SAHA | Counter Claim |
| 62 | MAHADEB BARMAN | Doctrine of Merger |
| 63 | MARINA SUBBA | Setting aside ex-parte decree |
| 64 | NIGAM MITTAL | Receiver and its appointment |
| 65 | PARTHA SARKAR | Arrest before judgment and attachments before judgment |
|  |  |  |
| 67 | RABI KUMAR PRASAD | Mis-joinder of Cause of action |
| 68 | RAHUL PRADHAN | Inherent powers of the Court |
| 69 | SAIKAT BHATTACHARYA | Analysis of Constructive res-judicata |
| 70 | SANDEEP KHATRI | Section 113 Reference |
| 71 | SANDIP MANDAL | Difference between decree and Order |
| 72 | SAURABH KUMAR | Review and its ground under CPC |
| 73 | SOWETA SHA | Jurisdiction of Foreign Court |
| 74 | SUBHAM GHOSH | Suits by or against minors or person of unsound mind |
| 75 | TANAY AICH | Representation Suit |
| 76 | TUSHAR ARYA | Appearance of parties and examination of Parties |
| 77 | SOURABH MUKHIA | Framing of issues |
| 78 | DIPANKAR RUDRA | Object of the Code of Civil Procedure |
| 79 | BIJAN DUTTA | Non-joinder and mis joinder of parties |
| 80 | BAPI ROY | Reference to High Court under the Code |
| 81 | KAUSHIK SARKAR | Difference between decree and Order |
| 82 | RUPAMA ROY | Execution of Order |
| 83 | ARIJIT BANERJEE | Hierarchy of Civil Courts in India |
| 84 | CHANDAN ROUTH | Attendance of witness in Prison |
| 85 | GANESH KAMTI | Expiry of period of Limitation when court is closed |
| 86 | GOPAL KUMAR SAH | Doctrine of Merger |
| 87 | PANKAJ MISHRA | Execution of Decree |
| 88 | BICKEY SAH | Reference to High Court |
| 89 | MANALI ROY | Definition and fundamental of Pleadings |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |

**SUBJECT- LAW OF EVIDENCE, SEMESTER IV**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ROLL NO.** | NAME OF THE STUDENT | **PRESENTATION TOPIC** |
| 1 | NISHI GANDHYA MAJUMDAR | Theory of Relevance |
| 2 | RAJIT MISRA | “Confessions” under the Indian Evidence Act: An Analysis of Precedent |
| 3 | TANNI GHOSH | The Presumption of Innocence and the Law of Evidence |
| 4 | ASHA DAS | Appreciation of evidence in jury trials |
| 5 | SHARMISTHA ROY | Section 57 of the Indian Evidence Act and Colonial Legacy in Indian Law |
| 6 | RAHUL KUMAR SINGH | Use of DNA Fingerprinting as Evidence |
| 7 | MEGHA PRASAD | “Beyond Reasonable Doubt”: Analyze |
| 8 | ISHA GURUNG | Use of Character Evidence: Restrictions and Special Exceptions. |
| 9 | TAMANNA SARKAR | Special Nature of Evidence in Conspiracies |
| 10 | RIYA BISWAS | Motive as Relevant Fact |
| 11 | ISHA SHARMA | Res Gestae |
| 12 | KAJOL AGARWALA | The Truth-Seeking Function of Evidence Law |
| 13 | PROVAKAR BARMAN | The Rule of Best Evidence |
| 14 | KAUSHIK BARMAN | Unfairly Prejudicial Evidence and Rights of the Accused |
| 15 | SUNANDA BASAK | Privilege under Sections 123 and 124: Compare |
| 16 | APARAJITA GHOSH | “Laying of Foundation” During Witness Examination |
| 17 | DEBJANI SAHA | Section 165 and the Adversarial System |
| 18 | PINKI BARMAN | Hostile Witnesses: Judicial Interpretation Opinion Evidence under the Indian Evidence Act |
| 19 | SUJIT BARMA | Primary and Secondary Evidence |
| 20 | ANKITA MITRA | Admissibility of Electronic Evidence |
| 21 | SAMPA SAHA | Conflict in Expert Opinion and the Law of Evidence |
| 22 | SAMIR SARKAR | Estoppel in the Law of Evidence |
| 23 | SURAKSHA GURUNG | Use of the ‘last seen theory’ as circumstantial evidence: Analysis |
| 24 | NOBIN MONDAL | Dying Declarations & it’s evidentiary value |
| 25 | PRIYANKA SAHA | Exclusion of Oral Evidence by Documentary Evidence: Rationale and Issues |
| 26 | TAPAS BARMAN | Documentary Evidence under the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 |
| 27 | SOUVIK BARMAN | Section 132 and the Right Against Self-Incrimination |
| 28 | RANJIT MALLIK | Use of the ‘last seen theory’ as circumstantial evidence: Analysis |
| 29 | ROHIT PRASAD | The Rule of Res Gestae in India |
| 30 | SIDDARTH BARAIK | Sections 91 and 92: Rationale and Judicial Interpretation |
| 31 | MAHEN CHETTRI | Section 167 of the Indian Evidence Act and Justice |
| 32 | ABHISHEK GUPTA | Identification Parades: Judicial Interpretation |
| 33 | AJAY ROUTH | Reliance on Accomplice and Approver Evidence |
| 34 | BISHNU BARAI | Child Witnesses and the Law of Evidence |
| 35 | MOHIT KUMAR KEDIA | Burden of Proof under the Indian Evidence Act |
| 36 | NIRBAN DHAR | Section 112 of the Indian Evidence Act and Contrary Scientific Evidence |
| 37 | RAKESH SINGH | Interpretation of Section 6 of the Indian Evidence Act |
| 38 | SANCHITA KHETAWAT | Proof of Public Documents |
| 39 | SHREYASH MARODIA | Types of Proof under the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 |
| 40 | SOURAV LOHANI | Impeachment of Witnesses |
| 41 | PUJA AGARWAL | The Judge as the Finder of Fact under the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 |
| 42 | KHUSHBOO REHEMAN | Chain of Custody in Evidence Law |
| 43 | ASHMITA MAZUMDAR | Competence of Witnesses |
| 44 | SUCHITRA ROY | Reverse Onus Clauses and Evidence Law |
| 45 | POOJA GIRI | Use of Character Evidence: Restrictions and Special Exceptions |
| 46 | ANANTA PRADHAN | Section 60: Rationale and Scope |
| 47 | PUSPA BARMAN | Evidence and Capital Sentencing |
| 48 | SACHIN MINTRI | Use of Narco-Analysis Results as Evidence: Selvi v. State of Karnataka |
| 49 | VISHAL AGARWAL | Sections 91 and 92: Rationale and Judicial Interpretation |
| 50 | MONIKA GOYAL | Confessions under the Indian Evidence Act: Pakala Narayanswamy v. Emperor, AIR 1939  PC 47 |
| 51 | ADITI JHUNJHUNWALA | Judgments of Courts as Relevant Fact |
| 52 | AISWARYA RAJYA RAI | Right to privacy and the law of evidence |
| 53 | AVIMANNU DAS | Right of the Accused to Confront Prosecution Witnesses |
| 54 | DIVYA PRAKASH VERMA | Section 32: Rationale and Scope |
| 55 | DOLI MONDAL | Scope of Section 106 (burden of proof of fact within special knowledge) |
| 56 | GOPA ACHARYA | What is the Scope of Presumptions under Section 114 of the Indian Evidence Act? |
| 57 | HEERAK DEB BARMAN | Conditions Precedent to Admissibility: Section 136 of the Indian Evidence Act |
| 58 | JATIN AGARWAL | Section 112 of the Indian Evidence Act and Contrary Scientific Evidence |
| 59 | KAUSHIK GOYAL | Burden of Proof under the Indian Evidence Act |
| 60 | KUMAR BIRAJ NARAYAN | Evidentiary Value of Retracted Confessions |
| 61 | LUSI SAHA | Fact, Relevant Fact and Fact in Issue |
| 62 | MAHADEB BARMAN | Presumptions under Sections 113A and 113B of the Indian Evidence Act |
| 63 | MARINA SUBBA | Exclusion of Extra-Judicial Confessions: Rationale and Scope |
| 64 | NIGAM MITTAL | Exclusion of Oral Evidence by Documentary Evidence: Rationale and Issues |
| 65 | PARTHA SARKAR | Estoppel in the Law of Evidence |
| 66 | PRATIK SARKAR | Section 132 and the Right Against Self-Incrimination |
| 67 | RABI KUMAR PRASAD | Conflict in Expert Opinion and the Law of Evidence |
| 68 | RAHUL PRADHAN | Identification Parades: Judicial Interpretation |
| 69 | SAIKAT BHATTACHARYA | Presumptions Relating to Documents: Rationale |
| 70 | SANDEEP KHATRI | Presumption as to Absence of Consent under Section 114A |
| 71 | SANDIP MANDAL | Types of Presumptions |
| 72 | SAURABH KUMAR | Section 167 of the Indian Evidence Act and Justice |
| 73 | SOWETA SHA | “The Best Rule of Evidence is No Rule of Evidence”/ Rule of Free Proof: Analyse |
| 74 | SUBHAM GHOSH | Nature of Evidence to Determine Framing of Charges |
| 75 | TANAY AICH | Dying Declarations |
| 76 | TUSHAR ARYA | Admissions as an Exception to the Hearsay Rule |
| 77 | SOURABH MUKHIA | Documentary Evidence under the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 |
| 78 | DIPANKAR RUDRA | Spousal Privilege under Evidence Law |
| 79 | BIJAN DUTTA | Testimony of Co-Accused |
| 80 | BAPI ROY | Reliance on Accomplice and Approver Evidence |
| 81 | KAUSHIK SARKAR | Evidence Related to Public Documents |
| 82 | RUPAMA ROY | Evidence in Sexual Offences |
| 83 | ARIJIT BANERJEE | Conviction on the basis of Circumstantial Evidence |
| 84 | CHANDAN ROUTH | Use of the ‘last seen theory’ as circumstantial evidence: Analysis |
| 85 | GANESH KAMTI | “Beyond Reasonable Doubt” and the Truth |
| 86 | GOPAL KUMAR SAH | Nature and Scope of Evidence under Section 27, Indian Evidence Act |
| 87 | PANKAJ MISHRA | Admissions under the Indian Evidence Act |
| 88 | BICKEY SAH | Child Witnesses and the Law of Evidence |
| 89 | MANALI ROY | Attorney-Client Privilege under Evidence Law |

**SEMESTER IV**

**SUBJECT: COMPANY LAW**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ROLL NO.** | **NAME OF THE STUDENTS** | **PRESENTATION TOPICS** |
| 1 | NISHI GANDHYA MAJUMDAR | KINDS OF COMPANIES AND THE PROCESS OF THEIR TRANSFORMATION FROM ONE KIND TO ANOTHER. |
| 2 | RAJIT MISRA | THE CONCEPT OF LIFTING OF THE CORPORATE VEIL. |
| 3 | TANNI GHOSH | THE CONCEPT OF MERGER AND DE-MERGER UNDER COMPANIES ACT, 2013 |
| 4 | ASHA DAS | MEMORANDUM OF ASSOCIATION AND ITS NECESSITIES. |
| 5 | SHARMISTHA ROY | ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE *TO LOCK V. QUEENSLAND INVESTMENT AND LAND MORTGAGE CO. (1986) 1 CH 397*. |
| 6 | RAHUL KUMAR SINGH | PROCESS OF REGISTRATION AND INCORPORATION UNDER COMPANIES ACT, 2013. |
| 7 | MEGHA PRASAD | ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF INCORPORATION OF A COMPANY. |
| 8 | ISHA GURUNG | SCOPE AND AMBIT OF THE STATUTORY PROVISIONS DEVIATING FROM THE CONCEPT OF SEPARATE LEGAL PERSONALITY OF THECOMPANY |
| 9 | TAMANNA SARKAR | “LIMITED LIABILITY”- AN  EXAMINATION OF THE CONCEPT FROM  LEGAL AND ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVES |
| 10 | RIYA BISWAS | ROLE OF JUDICIARY ON PREVENTING MISUSE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF CORPORATE VEIL. |
| 11 | ISHA SHARMA | WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO FOSS V. HARBOTTLE, DISCUSS THE MINORITY RULE AS ESTABLISHED BY THE JUDICIARY |
| 12 | KAJOL AGARWALA | MAKE A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF VOLUNTARY WINDING UP. |
| 13 | PROVAKAR BARMAN | DISCUSS THE CONSTITUTIONAL VALIDITY OF THE WINDING UP BY THE COURT. |
| 14 | KAUSHIK BARMAN | CRITICALLY EVALUATE THE CONCEPT OF ‘CORPORATE VEIL’ |
| 15 | SUNANDA BASAK | WITH REFERENCE TO THE CHARACTERISTICS, DISCUSS THE VARIOUS KINDS OF COMPANIES AS RECOGNIZED BY LAW |
| 16 | APARAJITA GHOSH | DISCUSS THE ROLE PLAYED BY THE COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL AND ITS RELEVANCE POST THE RECENT AMENDMENT. |
| 17 | DEBJANI SAHA | THE RIGHTS OF SHAREHOLDERS ARE OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE IN A COMPANY: DISCUSS WITH REFERENCE TO RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 18 | PINKI BARMAN | MAKE A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE APPOINTMENT OF DIRECTORS OF A COMPANY. |
| 19 | SUJIT BARMA | DISCUSS THE IMPORTANCE OF ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO LOCK V. QUEENSLAND INVESTMENT AND LAND MORTGAGE CO., (1986) 1 CH 397 |
| 20 | ANKITA MITRA | DISCUSS THE CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND OF ‘PERPETUAL SUCCESSION’ |
| 21 | SAMPA SAHA | A COMPANY IS AN ARTIFICIAL LEGAL PERSON: ANALYSE THE STATEMENT. |
| 22 | SAMIR SARKAR | IS REGISTRATION FOR INCORPORATION COMPULSORY? DISCUSS |
| 23 | SURAKSHA GURUNG | DISCUSS THE CONSTITUTIONAL VALIDITY OF THE WINDING UP BY THE COURT. |
| 24 | NOBIN MONDAL | MEMORANDUM OF ASSOCIATION AND ITS NECESSITIES. |
| 25 | PRIYANKA SAHA | ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE *TO LOCK V. QUEENSLAND INVESTMENT AND LAND MORTGAGE CO. (1986) 1 CH 397*. |
| 26 | TAPAS BARMAN | PROCESS OF REGISTRATION AND INCORPORATION UNDER COMPANIES ACT, 2013. |
| 27 | SOUVIK BARMAN | ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF INCORPORATION OF A COMPANY. |
| 28 | RANJIT MALLIK | SCOPE AND AMBIT OF THE STATUTORY PROVISIONS DEVIATING FROM THE CONCEPT OF SEPARATE LEGAL PERSONALITY OF THECOMPANY |
| 29 | ROHIT PRASAD | “LIMITED LIABILITY”- AN  EXAMINATION OF THE CONCEPT FROM  LEGAL AND ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVES |
| 30 | SIDDARTH BARAIK | ROLE OF JUDICIARY ON PREVENTING MISUSE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF CORPORATE VEIL. |
| 31 | MAHEN CHETTRI | WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO FOSS V. HARBOTTLE, DISCUSS THE MINORITY RULE AS ESTABLISHED BY THE JUDICIARY |
| 32 | ABHISHEK GUPTA | MAKE A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF VOLUNTARY WINDING UP. |
| 33 | AJAY ROUTH | DISCUSS THE CONSTITUTIONAL VALIDITY OF THE WINDING UP BY THE COURT. |
| 34 | BISHNU BARAI | CRITICALLY EVALUATE THE CONCEPT OF ‘CORPORATE VEIL’ |
| 35 | MOHIT KUMAR KEDIA | WITH REFERENCE TO THE CHARACTERISTICS, DISCUSS THE VARIOUS KINDS OF COMPANIES AS RECOGNIZED BY LAW |
| 36 | NIRBAN DHAR | DISCUSS THE ROLE PLAYED BY THE COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL AND ITS RELEVANCE POST THE RECENT AMENDMENT. |
| 37 | RAKESH SINGH | THE RIGHTS OF SHAREHOLDERS ARE OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE IN A COMPANY: DISCUSS WITH REFERENCE TO RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 38 | SANCHITA KHETAWAT | STUDY THE RELEVANT PROVISIONS REGARDING CONSTITUTION OF NCLT UNDER 2013 ACT AND HOW NCLT WILL PERFORM BETTER UNDER THE NEW COMPANY REGIME SPECIFICALLY IN CONTEXT OF MERGERS. |
| 39 | SHREYASH MARODIA | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE DOCTRINE OF INDOOR MANAGEMENT |
| 40 | SOURAV LOHANI | DISCUSS THE ‘TURQUAND RULE’ WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO HOUGHTON & CO. V. NOTHARD, LOWEE AND WILLS LTD., (1927) ALL ER 97 |
| 41 | PUJA AGARWAL | ANALYSE THE REMEDIES AVAILABLE FOR MISREPRESENTATION IN THE ARTICLES. |
| 42 | KHUSHBOO REHEMAN | DISCUSS THE CONCEPT OF ‘SHARES’ WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO DHANANJAY PANDEY V. DR. BAIS SURGICAL & MEDICAL INSTITUTE (P) LTD., (2005) 125 COMP CAS 626 CLB |
| 43 | ASHMITA MAZUMDAR | MAKE A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE APPOINTMENT OF DIRECTORS OF A COMPANY. |
| 44 | SUCHITRA ROY | DISCUSS THE IMPORTANCE OF ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO LOCK V. QUEENSLAND INVESTMENT AND LAND MORTGAGE CO., (1986) 1 CH 397 |
| 45 | POOJA GIRI | DISCUSS THE CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND OF ‘PERPETUAL SUCCESSION’ |
| 46 | ANANTA PRADHAN | A COMPANY IS AN ARTIFICIAL LEGAL PERSON: ANALYSE THE STATEMENT. |
| 47 | PUSPA BARMAN | IS REGISTRATION FOR INCORPORATION COMPULSORY? DISCUSS |
| 48 | SACHIN MINTRI | DISCUSS THE CONSTITUTIONAL VALIDITY OF THE WINDING UP BY THE COURT. |
| 49 | VISHAL AGARWAL | SCOPE AND AMBIT OF THE STATUTORY PROVISIONS DEVIATING FROM THE CONCEPT OF SEPARATE LEGAL PERSONALITY OF THECOMPANY |
| 50 | MONIKA GOYAL | “LIMITED LIABILITY”- AN  EXAMINATION OF THE CONCEPT FROM  LEGAL AND ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVES |
| 51 | ADITI JHUNJHUNWALA | ROLE OF JUDICIARY ON PREVENTING MISUSE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF CORPORATE VEIL. |
| 52 | AISWARYA RAJYA RAI | WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO FOSS V. HARBOTTLE, DISCUSS THE MINORITY RULE AS ESTABLISHED BY THE JUDICIARY |
| 53 | AVIMANNU DAS | MAKE A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF VOLUNTARY WINDING UP. |
| 54 | DIVYA PRAKASH VERMA | DISCUSS THE CONSTITUTIONAL VALIDITY OF THE WINDING UP BY THE COURT. |
| 55 | DOLI MONDAL | CRITICALLY EVALUATE THE CONCEPT OF ‘CORPORATE VEIL’ |
| 56 | GOPA ACHARYA | WITH REFERENCE TO THE CHARACTERISTICS, DISCUSS THE VARIOUS KINDS OF COMPANIES AS RECOGNIZED BY LAW |
| 57 | HEERAK DEB BARMAN | DISCUSS THE ROLE PLAYED BY THE COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL AND ITS RELEVANCE POST THE RECENT AMENDMENT. |
| 58 | JATIN AGARWAL | THE RIGHTS OF SHAREHOLDERS ARE OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE IN A COMPANY: DISCUSS WITH REFERENCE TO RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 59 | KAUSHIK GOYAL | STUDY THE RELEVANT PROVISIONS REGARDING CONSTITUTION OF NCLT UNDER 2013 ACT AND HOW NCLT WILL PERFORM BETTER UNDER THE NEW COMPANY REGIME SPECIFICALLY IN CONTEXT OF MERGERS. |
| 60 | KUMAR BIRAJ NARAYAN | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE DOCTRINE OF INDOOR MANAGEMENT |
| 61 | LUSI SAHA | DISCUSS THE ‘TURQUAND RULE’ WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO HOUGHTON & CO. V. NOTHARD, LOWEE AND WILLS LTD., (1927) ALL ER 97 |
| 62 | MAHADEB BARMAN | ANALYSE THE REMEDIES AVAILABLE FOR MISREPRESENTATION IN THE ARTICLES. |
| 63 | MARINA SUBBA | CRITICALLY EVALUATE THE CONCEPT OF ‘CORPORATE VEIL’ |
| 64 | NIGAM MITTAL | IS REGISTRATION FOR INCORPORATION COMPULSORY? DISCUSS |
| 65 | PARTHA SARKAR | DISCUSS THE CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND OF ‘PERPETUAL SUCCESSION.’ |
| 66 | PRATIK SARKAR | A COMPANY IS AN ARTIFICIAL LEGAL PERSON: ANALYSE THE STATEMENT |
| 67 | RABI KUMAR PRASAD | CRITICALLY DISCUSS THE GROUNDS OF CANCELLATION OF REGISTRATION. |
| 68 | RAHUL PRADHAN | ‘AN IMPORTANT STEP IN THE FORMATION OF A COMPANY IS TO PREPARE THE MEMORANDUM OF ASSOCIATION’: ANALYSE |
| 69 | SAIKAT BHATTACHARYA | ‘DIRECTORS ARE AGENTS OF THE COMPANY’: WITH REFERENCE TO THE STATEMENT DISCUSS THE POWERS & DUTIES OF DIRECTORS. |
| 70 | SANDEEP KHATRI | DISCUSS THE CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND OF ‘PERPETUAL SUCCESSION’ |
| 71 | SANDIP MANDAL | A COMPANY IS AN ARTIFICIAL LEGAL PERSON: ANALYSE THE STATEMENT. |
| 72 | SAURABH KUMAR | CRITICALLY DISCUSS THE GROUNDS OF CANCELLATION OF REGISTRATION. |
| 73 | SOWETA SHA | DISCUSS THE PROCESS OF ALTERATION OF THE OBJECT CLAUSE WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO INDIAN MECHANICAL GOLD EXTRACTING COMPANY, RE, (1891) 3 CH 538 |
| 74 | SUBHAM GHOSH | DISCUSS THE IMPORTANCE OF ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO LOCK V. QUEENSLAND INVESTMENT AND LAND MORTGAGE CO., (1986) 1 CH 397 |
| 75 | TANAY AICH | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE PROCESS OF FORMATION OF A COMPANY. |
| 76 | TUSHAR ARYA | CRITICALLY EVALUATE THE CONCEPT OF ‘CORPORATE VEIL’ |
| 77 | SOURABH MUKHIA | IS REGISTRATION FOR INCORPORATION COMPULSORY? DISCUSS |
| 78 | DIPANKAR RUDRA | DISCUSS THE CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND OF ‘PERPETUAL SUCCESSION.’ |
| 79 | BIJAN DUTTA | A COMPANY IS AN ARTIFICIAL LEGAL PERSON: ANALYSE THE STATEMENT |
| 80 | BAPI ROY | CRITICALLY DISCUSS THE GROUNDS OF CANCELLATION OF REGISTRATION. |
| 81 | KAUSHIK SARKAR | ‘AN IMPORTANT STEP IN THE FORMATION OF A COMPANY IS TO PREPARE THE MEMORANDUM OF ASSOCIATION’: ANALYSE |
| 82 | RUPAMA ROY | ‘DIRECTORS ARE AGENTS OF THE COMPANY’: WITH REFERENCE TO THE STATEMENT DISCUSS THE POWERS & DUTIES OF DIRECTORS. |
| 83 | ARIJIT BANERJEE | CRITICALLY EVALUATE THE CONCEPT OF ‘CORPORATE VEIL’ |
| 84 | CHANDAN ROUTH | WITH REFERENCE TO THE CHARACTERISTICS, DISCUSS THE VARIOUS KINDS OF COMPANIES AS RECOGNIZED BY LAW |
| 85 | GANESH KAMTI | DISCUSS THE ROLE PLAYED BY THE COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL AND ITS RELEVANCE POST THE RECENT AMENDMENT. |
| 86 | GOPAL KUMAR SAH | THE RIGHTS OF SHAREHOLDERS ARE OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE IN A COMPANY: DISCUSS WITH REFERENCE TO RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 87 | PANKAJ MISHRA | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE DOCTRINE OF INDOOR MANAGEMENT |
| 88 | BICKEY SAH | DISCUSS THE ‘TURQUAND RULE’ WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO HOUGHTON & CO. V. NOTHARD, LOWEE AND WILLS LTD., (1927) ALL ER 97 |
| 89 | MANALI ROY | ANALYSE THE REMEDIES AVAILABLE FOR MISREPRESENTATION IN THE ARTICLES. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **SEMESTER IV**  **SUBJECT- LABOUR AND INDUSTRIAL LAW II** | | | |
| **ROLL NO.** | **NAME OF THE STUDENTS** | | **PRESENTATION TOPICS** | |
| 1 | NISHI GANDHYA MAJUMDAR | | DEVELOPMENT OF TRADE UNION LAWS IN INDIA | |
| 2 | RAJIT MISRA | | BOKAJAN CEMENT CORP. EMPLOYEES’ UNION V. CEMENT CORP. OF INDIA | |
| 3 | TANNI GHOSH | | FUNCTIONS OF THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 4 | ASHA DAS | | IMMUNITES AVAILABLE TO A REGISTERED TRADE UNION | |
| 5 | SHARMISTHA ROY | | COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AND TRADE DISPUTES | |
| 6 | RAHUL KUMAR SINGH | | FIXATION OF MINIMUM RATES OF WAGES | |
| 7 | MEGHA PRASAD | | STANDARD CHARTERED BANK V. HINDUSTAN ENGINEERING AND GENERAL MAZDOOR UNION | |
| 8 | ISHA GURUNG | | RAM SINGH & OTHERS V. M/S ASHOKA IRON FOUNDRY & OTHERS | |
| 9 | TAMANNA SARKAR | | MEDICAL BENEFIT COUNCIL UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 10 | RIYA BISWAS | | BENEFITS AVAILABLE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 11 | ISHA SHARMA | | CONTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE IN THE ESI FUND | |
| 12 | KAJOL AGARWALA | | NE RAILWAY EMPLOYEES’ UNION, GORAKHPUR V. DPTY. LABOURR COMMISSIONER, GORAKHPUR | |
| 13 | PROVAKAR BARMAN | | EMPLOYEES INSURANCE COURT | |
| 14 | KAUSHIK BARMAN | | PROCEDURE FOR REGISTRATION OF TRADE UNION | |
| 15 | SUNANDA BASAK | | STANDING COMMITTEE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 16 | APARAJITA GHOSH | | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948 | |
| 17 | DEBJANI SAHA | | FEATURES OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 18 | PINKI BARMAN | | EMPLOYEES PENSION SCHEME | |
| 19 | SUJIT BARMA | | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS APPELLATE TRIBUNAL | |
| 20 | ANKITA MITRA | | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE FUND | |
| 21 | SAMPA SAHA | | DECLARATION OF THE RIGHTS OF CHILD, 1959 | |
| 22 | SAMIR SARKAR | | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND SCHEME | |
| 23 | SURAKSHA GURUNG | | PROHIBTION OF CHILDREN IN CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND PROCESSES | |
| 24 | NOBIN MONDAL | | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE ESI ACT, 1948. | |
| 25 | PRIYANKA SAHA | | REGULATION OF CONDITIONS OF WORK OF CHILDREN | |
| 26 | TAPAS BARMAN | | INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD, 1989 | |
| 27 | SOUVIK BARMAN | | VARIOUS AUTHORITIES UNDER THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 28 | RANJIT MALLIK | | RIGHT OF APPEAL AGAINST THE DECISION OF THE REGISTRAR | |
| 29 | ROHIT PRASAD | | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION | |
| 30 | SIDDARTH BARAIK | | AMALGAMATION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 31 | MAHEN CHETTRI | | RECOGNITION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 32 | ABHISHEK GUPTA | | CHILD LABOUR TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE | |
| 33 | AJAY ROUTH | | DEVELOPMENT OF TRADE UNION LAWS IN INDIA | |
| 34 | BISHNU BARAI | | BOKAJAN CEMENT CORP. EMPLOYEES’ UNION V. CEMENT CORP. OF INDIA | |
| 35 | MOHIT KUMAR KEDIA | | FUNCTIONS OF THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 36 | NIRBAN DHAR | | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 37 | RAKESH SINGH | | RIGHT OF APPEAL AGAINST THE DECISION OF THE REGISTRAR | |
| 38 | SANCHITA KHETAWAT | | AMALGAMATION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 39 | SHREYASH MARODIA | | RECOGNITION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 40 | SOURAV LOHANI | | PENALTIES FR OFFENCES UNDER THE CHILD LABOUR (PROHIBITION) ACT, 1986 | |
| 41 | PUJA AGARWAL | | HEMENDRA BHAI V. STATE OF CHATTISGARH | |
| 42 | KHUSHBOO REHEMAN | | NE RAILWAY EMPLOYEES’ UNION, GORAKHPUR V. DPTY. LABOUR COMMISSIONER, GORAKHPUR | |
| 43 | ASHMITA MAZUMDAR | | COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AND TRADE DISPUTES | |
| 44 | SUCHITRA ROY | | FEATURES THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 45 | POOJA GIRI | | IMMUNITES AVAILABLE TO A REGISTERED TRADE UNION | |
| 46 | ANANTA PRADHAN | | DISCUSS THE OBJECT AND REASONS OF THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 47 | PUSPA BARMAN | | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948 | |
| 48 | SACHIN MINTRI | | STANDING COMMITTEE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 49 | VISHAL AGARWAL | | BENEFITS AVAILABLE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 50 | MONIKA GOYAL | | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE FUND | |
| 51 | ADITI JHUNJHUNWALA | | MEDICAL BENEFIT COUNCIL UNDER ESI ACT | |
| 52 | AISWARYA RAJYA RAI | | OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 53 | AVIMANNU DAS | | DISCUSS THE OBJECT AND REASONS OF THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 54 | DIVYA PRAKASH VERMA | | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND SCHEME | |
| 55 | DOLI MONDAL | | CONTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE IN THE ESI FUND | |
| 56 | GOPA ACHARYA | | EMPLOYEES INSURANCE COURT | |
| 57 | HEERAK DEB BARMAN | | DECLARATION OF THE RIGHTS OF CHILD, 1959 | |
| 58 | JATIN AGARWAL | | FEATURES OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 59 | KAUSHIK GOYAL | | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION | |
| 60 | KUMAR BIRAJ NARAYAN | | OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 61 | LUSI SAHA | | EMPLOYEES PENSION SCHEME | |
| 62 | MAHADEB BARMAN | | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 63 | MARINA SUBBA | | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS APPELLATE TRIBUNAL | |
| 64 | NIGAM MITTAL | | INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD, 1989 | |
| 65 | PARTHA SARKAR | | PROHIBTION OF CHILDREN IN CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND PROCESSES | |
| 66 | PRATIK SARKAR | | CHILD LABOUR TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE | |
| 67 | RABI KUMAR PRASAD | | DISCUSS THE OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE CHILD LABOUR (PROHIBITION) ACT, 1986 | |
| 68 | RAHUL PRADHAN | | FEATURES THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 69 | SAIKAT BHATTACHARYA | | DISCUSS THE OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE CHILD LABOUR (PROHIBITION) ACT, 1986 | |
| 70 | SANDEEP KHATRI | | FIXATION OF MINIMUM RATES OF WAGES | |
| 71 | SANDIP MANDAL | | PENALTIES FR OFFENCES UNDER THE CHILD LABOUR (PROHIBITION) ACT, 1986 | |
| 72 | SAURABH KUMAR | | REGULATION OF CONDITIONS OF WORK OF CHILDREN | |
| 73 | SOWETA SHA | | STANDARD CHARTERED BANK V. HINDUSTAN ENGINEERING AND GENERAL MAZDOOR UNION | |
| 74 | SUBHAM GHOSH | | VARIOUS AUTHORITIES UNDER THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 75 | TANAY AICH | | AMALGAMATION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 76 | TUSHAR ARYA | | IMMUNITES AVAILABLE TO A REGISTERED TRADE UNION | |
| 77 | SOURABH MUKHIA | | COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AND TRADE DISPUTES | |
| 78 | DIPANKAR RUDRA | | PROCEDURE FOR REGISTRATION OF TRADE UNION | |
| 79 | BIJAN DUTTA | | DEVELOPMENT OF TRADE UNION LAWS IN INDIA | |
| 80 | BAPI ROY | | FEATURES THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 81 | KAUSHIK SARKAR | | PROCEDURE FOR REGISTRATION OF TRADE UNION | |
| 82 | RUPAMA ROY | | FUNCTIONS OF THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 83 | ARIJIT BANERJEE | | RIGHT OF APPEAL AGAINST THE DECION OF THE REGISTRAR | |
| 84 | CHANDAN ROUTH | | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION | |
| 85 | GANESH KAMTI | | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE ESI ACT, 1948. | |
| 86 | GOPAL KUMAR SAH | |  | |
| 87 | PANKAJ MISHRA | | BENEFITS AVAILABLE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 88 | BICKEY SAH | | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS APPELLATE TRIBUNAL | |
| 89 | MANALI ROY | | OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| **ROLL NO.** | **NAME OF THE STUDENTS** | **PRESENTATION TOPICS** | |
| 1 | NISHI GANDHYA MAJUMDAR | DEVELOPMENT OF TRADE UNION LAWS IN INDIA | |
| 2 | RAJIT MISRA | BOKAJAN CEMENT CORP. EMPLOYEES’ UNION V. CEMENT CORP. OF INDIA | |
| 3 | TANNI GHOSH | FUNCTIONS OF THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 4 | ASHA DAS | IMMUNITES AVAILABLE TO A REGISTERED TRADE UNION | |
| 5 | SHARMISTHA ROY | COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AND TRADE DISPUTES | |
| 6 | RAHUL KUMAR SINGH | FIXATION OF MINIMUM RATES OF WAGES | |
| 7 | MEGHA PRASAD | STANDARD CHARTERED BANK V. HINDUSTAN ENGINEERING AND GENERAL MAZDOOR UNION | |
| 8 | ISHA GURUNG | RAM SINGH & OTHERS V. M/S ASHOKA IRON FOUNDRY & OTHERS | |
| 9 | TAMANNA SARKAR | MEDICAL BENEFIT COUNCIL UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 10 | RIYA BISWAS | BENEFITS AVAILABLE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 11 | ISHA SHARMA | CONTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE IN THE ESI FUND | |
| 12 | KAJOL AGARWALA | NE RAILWAY EMPLOYEES’ UNION, GORAKHPUR V. DPTY. LABOURR COMMISSIONER, GORAKHPUR | |
| 13 | PROVAKAR BARMAN | EMPLOYEES INSURANCE COURT | |
| 14 | KAUSHIK BARMAN | PROCEDURE FOR REGISTRATION OF TRADE UNION | |
| 15 | SUNANDA BASAK | STANDING COMMITTEE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 16 | APARAJITA GHOSH | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948 | |
| 17 | DEBJANI SAHA | FEATURES OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 18 | PINKI BARMAN | EMPLOYEES PENSION SCHEME | |
| 19 | SUJIT BARMA | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS APPELLATE TRIBUNAL | |
| 20 | ANKITA MITRA | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE FUND | |
| 21 | SAMPA SAHA | DECLARATION OF THE RIGHTS OF CHILD, 1959 | |
| 22 | SAMIR SARKAR | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND SCHEME | |
| 23 | SURAKSHA GURUNG | PROHIBTION OF CHILDREN IN CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND PROCESSES | |
| 24 | NOBIN MONDAL | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE ESI ACT, 1948. | |
| 25 | PRIYANKA SAHA | REGULATION OF CONDITIONS OF WORK OF CHILDREN | |
| 26 | TAPAS BARMAN | INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD, 1989 | |
| 27 | SOUVIK BARMAN | VARIOUS AUTHORITIES UNDER THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 28 | RANJIT MALLIK | RIGHT OF APPEAL AGAINST THE DECISION OF THE REGISTRAR | |
| 29 | ROHIT PRASAD | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION | |
| 30 | SIDDARTH BARAIK | AMALGAMATION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 31 | MAHEN CHETTRI | RECOGNITION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 32 | ABHISHEK GUPTA | CHILD LABOUR TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE | |
| 33 | AJAY ROUTH | DEVELOPMENT OF TRADE UNION LAWS IN INDIA | |
| 34 | BISHNU BARAI | BOKAJAN CEMENT CORP. EMPLOYEES’ UNION V. CEMENT CORP. OF INDIA | |
| 35 | MOHIT KUMAR KEDIA | FUNCTIONS OF THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 36 | NIRBAN DHAR | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 37 | RAKESH SINGH | RIGHT OF APPEAL AGAINST THE DECISION OF THE REGISTRAR | |
| 38 | SANCHITA KHETAWAT | AMALGAMATION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 39 | SHREYASH MARODIA | RECOGNITION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 40 | SOURAV LOHANI | PENALTIES FR OFFENCES UNDER THE CHILD LABOUR (PROHIBITION) ACT, 1986 | |
| 41 | PUJA AGARWAL | HEMENDRA BHAI V. STATE OF CHATTISGARH | |
| 42 | KHUSHBOO REHEMAN | NE RAILWAY EMPLOYEES’ UNION, GORAKHPUR V. DPTY. LABOUR COMMISSIONER, GORAKHPUR | |
| 43 | ASHMITA MAZUMDAR | COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AND TRADE DISPUTES | |
| 44 | SUCHITRA ROY | FEATURES THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 45 | POOJA GIRI | IMMUNITES AVAILABLE TO A REGISTERED TRADE UNION | |
| 46 | ANANTA PRADHAN | DISCUSS THE OBJECT AND REASONS OF THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 47 | PUSPA BARMAN | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT, 1948 | |
| 48 | SACHIN MINTRI | STANDING COMMITTEE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 49 | VISHAL AGARWAL | BENEFITS AVAILABLE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 50 | MONIKA GOYAL | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE FUND | |
| 51 | ADITI JHUNJHUNWALA | MEDICAL BENEFIT COUNCIL UNDER ESI ACT | |
| 52 | AISWARYA RAJYA RAI | OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 53 | AVIMANNU DAS | DISCUSS THE OBJECT AND REASONS OF THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 54 | DIVYA PRAKASH VERMA | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND SCHEME | |
| 55 | DOLI MONDAL | CONTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE IN THE ESI FUND | |
| 56 | GOPA ACHARYA | EMPLOYEES INSURANCE COURT | |
| 57 | HEERAK DEB BARMAN | DECLARATION OF THE RIGHTS OF CHILD, 1959 | |
| 58 | JATIN AGARWAL | FEATURES OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 59 | KAUSHIK GOYAL | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION | |
| 60 | KUMAR BIRAJ NARAYAN | OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 61 | LUSI SAHA | EMPLOYEES PENSION SCHEME | |
| 62 | MAHADEB BARMAN | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 63 | MARINA SUBBA | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS APPELLATE TRIBUNAL | |
| 64 | NIGAM MITTAL | INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD, 1989 | |
| 65 | PARTHA SARKAR | PROHIBTION OF CHILDREN IN CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND PROCESSES | |
| 66 | PRATIK SARKAR | CHILD LABOUR TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE | |
| 67 | RABI KUMAR PRASAD | DISCUSS THE OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE CHILD LABOUR (PROHIBITION) ACT, 1986 | |
| 68 | RAHUL PRADHAN | FEATURES THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 69 | SAIKAT BHATTACHARYA | DISCUSS THE OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE CHILD LABOUR (PROHIBITION) ACT, 1986 | |
| 70 | SANDEEP KHATRI | FIXATION OF MINIMUM RATES OF WAGES | |
| 71 | SANDIP MANDAL | PENALTIES FR OFFENCES UNDER THE CHILD LABOUR (PROHIBITION) ACT, 1986 | |
| 72 | SAURABH KUMAR | REGULATION OF CONDITIONS OF WORK OF CHILDREN | |
| 73 | SOWETA SHA | STANDARD CHARTERED BANK V. HINDUSTAN ENGINEERING AND GENERAL MAZDOOR UNION | |
| 74 | SUBHAM GHOSH | VARIOUS AUTHORITIES UNDER THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
| 75 | TANAY AICH | AMALGAMATION OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 76 | TUSHAR ARYA | IMMUNITES AVAILABLE TO A REGISTERED TRADE UNION | |
| 77 | SOURABH MUKHIA | COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AND TRADE DISPUTES | |
| 78 | DIPANKAR RUDRA | PROCEDURE FOR REGISTRATION OF TRADE UNION | |
| 79 | BIJAN DUTTA | DEVELOPMENT OF TRADE UNION LAWS IN INDIA | |
| 80 | BAPI ROY | FEATURES THE MINIMUM WAGES ACT,1948 | |
| 81 | KAUSHIK SARKAR | PROCEDURE FOR REGISTRATION OF TRADE UNION | |
| 82 | RUPAMA ROY | FUNCTIONS OF THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE UNIONS | |
| 83 | ARIJIT BANERJEE | RIGHT OF APPEAL AGAINST THE DECION OF THE REGISTRAR | |
| 84 | CHANDAN ROUTH | EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION | |
| 85 | GANESH KAMTI | PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES UNDER THE ESI ACT, 1948. | |
| 87 | PANKAJ MISHRA | BENEFITS AVAILABLE UNDER ESI ACT, 1948 | |
| 88 | BICKEY SAH | EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS APPELLATE TRIBUNAL | |
| 89 | MANALI ROY | OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT, 1952 | |
|  |  |  | |

**Please Note: Students who have back papers in more than two subjects, kindly contact with the respective faculty members before proceeding with the presentation topics, as the process of scrutiny is still pending before the University due to the lockdown**