**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF LEGAL STUDIES**

**ASSIGNMENT TOPICS FOR 3 YEAR LL.B COURSES**

**COURSE: 3 YEAR LLB SEMESTER –I**

**SUBJECT: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW I**

**(DOCTRINAL &MOOTING)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No.** | **NAME** | **TOPICS** |
| 1 | Muskan Agarwal | A Historical Analysis of Evolution of Constitution during Crown Rule (1858-1947) |
| 2 | Puja Singh | Analysis of Government of India Act, 1935 as a Significant Source of Constitution of India |
| 3 | Srabani Rani Dey | Critical Analysis of the Role of Judiciary in Expanding the Scope of Article 12 |
| 4 | Sima Roy | A Study relating to Need of Horizontal Application of Fundamental Rights in India |
| 5 | Priya Kundu | “A Statutory Body Qualifies to be Considered as an Instrumentality of State” Explain the Statement with the Case Analysis of *Rajasthan State Electricity Board* v. *Mohanlal*, AIR 1967 SC 1857 |
| 6 | Anamika Das | Discuss the Inclusion of Non-Statutory Body within the Purview of Article 12 with the help of *Ajay Hasia* v. *Khalid Mujib*, AIR 1981 SC 212. |
| 7 | Amit Roy | Analyse the Meaning of *“Deep and Pervasive Control”* of State with the aid of *Zee Telefilms* v. *Union of India*, AIR 2005 SC 2677. |
| 8 | Payel Sarkar | An Analysis of Deliberate Exclusion of Judiciary from the Scope of Article 12 with the help of *Rupa Ashok Hurra* v. *Ashok Hurra*, AIR 2002 SC 1771. |
| 9 | Irin Pervin | (a) Doctrine of Eclipse.*(b) Bhikaji* v. *State of Madhya Pradesh*, AIR 1955 SC 781. |
| 10 | Mitali Roy | (a) Doctrine of Severability.*(b) R.M.D.C.* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1957 SC 628. |
| 11 | Tapashi Roy | (a) Doctrine of Waiver.*(b) Behram* v. *State of Maharashtra*, AIR 1955 SC 123. |
| 12 | Mehul Mishra | A Study relating to the Applicability of Article 13 upon Custom with comprehensive analysis of *Shayara Bano* v. *Union of India,* WP (C) 118/2016. |
| 13 | Ayanabha Talukdar | Analysis of Interplay between Equality Before Law and Equal Protection of Laws with the help of Judicial Pronouncements.  |
| 14 | Akash Majumdar | *E.P. Royappa* v. *State of Tamil Nadu*, AIR 1974 SC 555 (Petitioner) |
| 15 | Rohit Oli Sharma | *E.P. Royappa* v. *State of Tamil Nadu*, AIR 1974 SC 555 (Respondent) |
| 16 | Suraj Prasad Yadav | *Romesh Thapar* v. *State of Kerala,*AIR 1961SC 552 (Petitioner) |
| 17 | Hiya Ghosh | *Romesh Thapar* v. *State of Kerala,*AIR 1961SC 552 (Respondent) |
| 18 | Nabanita Saha | A Study relating to the Scope of Positive Discrimination as Enumerated in Article 15 with the help of Judicial Interpretations. |
| 19 | Chetan Ahire | A Study relating to Judicial Shift from *Yusuf Abdul Aziz* v. *State of Maharashtra*, AIR 1954 SC 321 to *Joseph Shine* v. *Union of India*, Writ Petition (Criminal) No. 194 of 2017. |
| 20 | Biswadip Paul | Analysis the Ruling of *State of Madras* v. *ChampakamDorairajan*, AIR 1951 SC 226 along with the need of Insertion of Clause (4) to Article 15. |
| 21 | Sudipta Paul | *Janhit Abhiyan* v. *Union of India*, WP (C) 55/2019 (Petitioner) |
| 22 | Jaydeb Basak | *Janhit Abhiyan* v. *Union of India*, WP (C) 55/2019 (Respondent) |
| 23 | Tuhina Parvin | A Study relating to the Significance of Clause (5) of Article 15 along with the ruling of *P.A. Inamdar* v. *State of Maharashtra*, (2005) 6 SCC 537 |
| 24 | Ghazala Perween | *Indra Sawhney* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1993 SC 477 (Petitioner). |
| 25 | Aniket Bhuimali | *Indra Sawhney* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1993 SC 477 (Respondent) |
| 26 | Dhritabrata Sarkar | A Study relating to Reservation in Matters of Promotion: Paradigm Shift from Catch-Up Rule to Consequential Seniority. |
| 27 | Suchit Kumar Das | *M. Nagraj* v. *Union of India*, AIR 2007 SC 71 (Petitioner) |
| 28 | Tania Saha | *M. Nagraj* v. *Union of India*, AIR 2007 SC 71 (Respondent) |
| 29 | Abhishek Ram | *Sakal Papers* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1962 SC 305 (Petitioner) |
| 30 | Pooja Mandal | *Sakal Papers* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1962 SC 305 (Respondent) |
| 31 | Kinshuk Roy | *R. C. Cooper* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1970 SC 564 (Petitioner) |
| 32 | Amar Ghosh | *R. C. Cooper* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1970 SC 564 (Respondent) |
| 33 | Pragyaa Chhetri | Critical Analysis of Right against Self Incrimination in the Light of *Selvi* v. *State of Karnataka*, Criminal Appeal Nos. 54 of 2005 |
| 34 | Arijeet Saha | A Study relating to Paradigm Shift from Procedure Established by Law to Due Process of Law: Journey from A.K. Gopalan [AIR 1950 SC 27] to Maneka Gandhi [AIR 1978 SC 597]. |
| 35 | Anupam Datta Sarkar | *Maneka Gandhi* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1978 SC 597 (Petitioner) |
| 36 | Ankona Chakraborty | *Maneka Gandhi* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1978 SC 597 (Respondent) |
| 37 | Twinkle Agarwal | *Sheela Barse* v. *State of Maharashtra*, AIR 1986 SC 1773 (Petitioner) |
| 38 | Shreshtha Tripathi | *Sheela Barse* v. *State of Maharashtra*, AIR 1986 SC 1773 (Respondent) |
| 39 | Saurav Dutta | *Nilabati Bahera* v. *State of Orissa*, AIR 1993 SC 1960 (Petitioner) |
| 40 | Debojyoti Deb | *Nilabati Bahera* v. *State of Orissa*, AIR 1993 SC 1960 (Respondent) |
| 41 | Sahajan Mia | *Olga Tellis* v. *Bombay Municipal Corporation*, AIR 1986 SC 180 (Petitioner) |
| 42 | Tanushree Pramanik | *Olga Tellis* v. *Bombay Municipal Corporation*, AIR 1986 SC 180 (Respondnet) |
| 43 | Moumita Chakraborty | A Study relating to Judicial Shift from *P. Rathinam* [AIR 1994 SC 1844] to *Gian Kaur* [AIR 1996 Sc 946] vis-à-vis Right to Die |
| 44 | Binayak Sen | Analysis of Rights of a Detenu under Article 22 of the Indian Constitution with the help of Judicial Pronouncements. |
| 45 | Samir Das | *D. K. Basu* v. *State of West Bengal*, AIR 1997 SC 610 (Petitioner) |
| 46 | Anita Kumari Yadav | *D. K. Basu* v. *State of West Bengal*, AIR 1997 SC 610 (Respondent) |
| 47 | Md Zishan | *Ashok Kumar Thakur* v. *Union of India*, (2008) 6 SCCC 1 (Petitioner) |
| 48 | Ranjita Roy | *Ashok Kumar Thakur* v. *Union of India*, (2008) 6 SCCC 1 (Respondent) |
| 49 | Gourab Nath | *Aruna Ramchandra Shanbaug* v. Union of India &Ors, WP (Cr.) 115 of 2009 (Petitioner) |
|  50 | Md Jamshed | *Aruna Ramchandra Shanbaug* v. Union of India &Ors, WP (Cr.) 115 of 2009 (Respondent) |
| 51 | Himangshu Sinha | *Neerja Choudhary* v. *State of Madhya Pradesh*, AIR 1984 SC 1099 (Petitioner) |
| 52 | Rana Guha | *Neerja Choudhary* v. *State of Madhya Pradesh*, AIR 1984 SC 1099 (Respondent) |
| 53 | Himangshu Barman | Critical Analysis of the Doctrine of Essential Practice with the help of Judicial Pronouncements |
| 54 | Arun Tamang | *Commissioner, Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras* v*. Sri**Lakshimindra Thirtha Swamiar of Sri Shirur Mutt*, (1954) SCA 415 (Petitioner) |
| 55 | Tanumoy Sinha | *Commissioner, Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras* v*. Sri**Lakshimindra Thirtha Swamiar of Sri Shirur Mutt*, (1954) SCA 415 (Respondent) |
| 56 | Saikat Ray | Case Analysis of Shri Govindlalji v. Stateof Rajasthan, AIR 1963 SC 1638 (Petitioner) |
| 57 | Harshit Atri | Case Analysis of Shri Govindlalji v. Stateof Rajasthan, AIR 1963 SC 1638 (Respondent) |
| 58 | Anupama Roy | *Bijoe Emmanuel* v. *State of Kerala*, AIR 1987 SC 748 (Petitioner) |
| 59 | Nigam Barman | *Bijoe Emmanuel* v. *State of Kerala*, AIR 1987 SC 748 (Respondent) |
| 60 | Aurajit Sanyal | Critical Analysis of Secularism in Indian Constitution with the Help of Judicial Rulings. |
| 61 | Laxmi Das | “State can regulate and govern the secular activities of a religious institution” Explain the Statement with the help of *Sri Jagannath Temple Puri Management Committee* v. *Chintamani*, AIR 1997 SC 3839. |
| 62 | Disha Sarkar | A Critical Study relating to Doctrine of Creamy Layer and Its Applicability. |
| 63 | Putul Choubay | A Study relating to the Inter-Relation between Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles of State Policy with the help of Different Stages of Judicial Rulings |
| 64 | Dalia Das | *Minerva Mills* v. *Union of India*, 1981 SCR (1) 206 (Petitioner) |
| 65 | Pramod Mahato | *Minerva Mills* v. *Union of India*, 1981 SCR (1) 206 (Respondent) |
| 66 | Aparna Barman | “Fundamental Right as a means to Attain the Ends of Directive Principles” Elucidate with the help of Judicial Pronouncements  |
| 67 | Shahil Rai | *S. R. Bommai* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1994 SC 1918 (Petitioner) |
| 68 | Rittik Singha | *S. R. Bommai* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1994 SC 1918 (Respondent) |
| 69 | Abhishek Tiwary | An Analysis of Writ Jurisdiction of Supreme Court of India: Scope and Limitation. |
| 70 | Sankalpa Basu | A Study relating to Impact of Article 31C upon the Relationship between Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles. |
| 71 | Anirban Dey | *Mohini Jain* v. *State of Karnataka*, AIR 1992 SC 1858 (Petitioner) |
| 72 | Ruksana Parvin | *Mohini Jain* v. *State of Karnataka*, AIR 1992 SC 1858 (Respondent) |
| 73 | Akash Ali | *Navtej Singh Johar* v. *Union of India*, (2019) 3 SCC 345 (Petitioner) |
| 74 | Namrata Saha | *Navtej Singh Johar* v. *Union of India*, (2019) 3 SCC 345 (Respondent) |
| 75 | Muhammad Yusuf | *People’s Union for DemocraticRights* v. *Union of India,*AIR 1982 SC 1743 (Petitioner) |
| 76 | Arundhati Paul | *People’s Union for DemocraticRights* v. *Union of India,*AIR 1982 SC 1743 (Respondent) |
| 77 | Pallavee Suman | *National Legal Service Authority*v*. Union of India,*AIR 2014 SC 1863 (Petitioner) |
| 78 | Akash Gupta | *National Legal Service Authority*v*. Union of India,*AIR 2014 SC 1863 (Respondent) |
| 79 | Prasenjit Sen | *S. Azeez Basha* v*. Union of India,*AIR 1968 SC 662 (Petitioner) |
| 80 | Adreeja Bagchi | *S. Azeez Basha* v*. Union of India,*AIR 1968 SC 662 (Respondent) |
| 81 | AbhantikaKhawas | *Lily Thomas* v. *Union of India,* AIR 2000 SC 1650 (Petitioner) |
| 82 | Dr Kheya Baidya | *Lily Thomas* v. *Union of India,* AIR 2000 SC 1650 (Respondent) |
| 83 | Nabankur Nandy | *State of Nagaland* v. *Ratan Singh*, AIR 1967 SC 212 (Petitioner) |
| 84 | Ravi Raj | *State of Nagaland* v. *Ratan Singh*, AIR 1967 SC 212 (Respondent) |
| 85 | Md Ejaz Alam | *Common Cause, A Registered Society* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1996 SC 1619 (Petitioner) |
| 86 | Prasenjit Das | *Common Cause, A Registered Society* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1996 SC 1619 (Respondent) |
| 87 | D B Neelotpal | *Shakti Vahini* v.*Union of India*, (2018) 7 SCC 192 (Petitioner) |
| 88 | Sumita Haldar | *Shakti Vahini* v.*Union of India*, (2018) 7 SCC 192 (Respondent) |
| 89 | Priyanka Ranjan | *Suchita Srivastava &Anr*.v.*Chandigarh Administration*, (2009) 9 SCC 1 (Petitioner) |
| 90 | Saheli Ghosh | *Suchita Srivastava &Anr*.v.*Chandigarh Administration*, (2009) 9 SCC 1 (Respondent) |
| 91 | Arkadeep Bhowmick | *Bandhua Mukti Morcha* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1984 SC 802 (Petitioner) |
| 92 | Maimuna Ali | *Bandhua Mukti Morcha* v. *Union of India*, AIR 1984 SC 802 (Respondent) |
| 93 | Sonu Kumar Sharma | *Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) and Anr.* v.*Union Of India And Ors.* AIR 2017 SC 4161 (Petitioner) |
| 94 | Lucky Singh | *Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) and Anr.* v.*Union Of India And Ors.* AIR 2017 SC 4161 (Respondent) |

**SUBJECT: JURISPRUDENCE: BASIS THEORY OF LAW**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SI.NO** | **NAMES OF STUDENTS** | **TOPICS**  |
|  | MUSKAN AGARWAL | BRIEFLY EXPLAIN THE ANCIENT CONCEPT OF DHARMA  |
|  | PUJA SINGH | AN OVEWVIEW TO THE APPROACH TO THE STUDY OF JURISPRUDENCE. |
|  | SRABANI RANI DEY | A STUDY ON RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LAW AND JURSPRUDENCE  |
|  | SIMA ROY | A STUDY ON THE IDEA OF COMMAND AND SOVEIRGN IN AUSTIN THEORY  |
|  | PRIYA KUNDU | AN OVERVIEW ON HART’S CONCEPT OF LAW |
|  | ANAMIKA DAS | AN OVERVIEW ON AUSTIN’S IMPERATIVE THEORY OF LAW |
|  | AMIT ROY | JOHN FINNIST CONCEPT OF PRATICAL- REASONABLENESS  |
|  | PAYEL SARKAR | COMMENT ON WHEATHER JURISPRUDENCE IS THE SCIENCE OR NOT |
|  | IRIN PERVIN | ANALYIS ON HART’S FULLER DEBATE ON LAW AND MORALITY |
|  | MITALI ROY | AN ANALYSIS OF BENTHAM’S UTALITERIAN THEORY |
|  | TAPASHI ROY | EXPLAIN THE IDEA OF COMMAND AND SOVERIGN IN AUSTINANIAN THEORY |
|  | MEHUL MISHRA | EXPLAIN HOW AMERICAN REALISM IS DIFFERENT FROM SCANDINAVIAN  |
|  | AYANABHA TALUKDAR | AN ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BENTHAM AND AUSTIN THEORY OF LAW |
|  | AKASH MAJUMDAR | A STUDY OF PRIMARY AND SECONDARY RULE OF OBLIGATION IN THE FORMATION OF LEGAL SYSTEM |
|  | ROHIT OLI SHARMA | AN ANALYSIS OF MARKSIST SCHOOL OF JURISPRUDENCE  |
|  | SURAJ PRASAD YADAV | AN STUDY OF KELSEN THEORY OF LAW |
|  | HIYA GHOSH | BRIEF OVERVIEW ON DIFFERENT SOURCES OF LAW |
|  | NABANITA SAHA | BRIEFLY ANLAYSIS ROSCOE POUND THEORY OF SOCIAL ENGINEERING |
|  | CHETAN AHIRE | BRIEFLY ANALYSIS RUDOLPH VON IVERENE THEORY OF SOCIAL UTILITARIAN |
|  | BISWADIP PAUL | DISCUSS THE IDEA OF GRUNDNORMS IN KELSEN’S PURER THEORY OF LAW |
|  | SUDIPTA PAUL | ANALYSIS OF PERSON: LEGAL STATUS OF ANIMALS, UNBORN PERSON & DEAD MAN |
|  | JAYDEB BASAK | AN ANALYSIS OF FULLER’S CONCEPT OF INNER MORALITY OF LAW |
|  | TUHINA PARVIN | A STUDY OF JURAL POSTULATES OF ROSCOE POUND IN BRIEF |
|  | GHAZALA PERWEEN | UNDERSTANDING THE CONCEPT OF LAW OF OBLIGATION UNDER JURISPRUDENCE- ELEMENTS AND KINDS |
|  | ANIKET BHUIMALI | AN OVERVIEW OF THE HISTORICAL SCHOOL OF LAW. |
|  | DHRITABRATA SARKAR | UNDERSTANDING THE TERM "PRECEDENT" AND ITS IMPACT ON MODERN DAY LEGAL PROCEEDINGS |
|  | SUCHIT KUMAR DAS | AN ANALYSIS OF THE THEORIES OF PUNISHMENT AN ITS RELEVANCE IN THE CURRENT SYSTEM OF ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE IN INDIA |
|  | TANIA SAHA | A STUDY ON THE CHARACTERISTICS OF LEGAL RIGHTS AND KINDS OF LEGAL RIGHTS |
|  | ABHISHEK RAM | CONCEPT OF RIGHTS AND DUTIES IN JURISPRUDENCE |
|  | POOJA MANDAL | JUDICIAL PRECEDENT IS A SOURCE OF LAW IN COMMON LAW COUNTRIES |
|  | KINSHUK ROY | A BRIEF ANALYSIS ON DECILNE AND REVIVAL OF NATURAL LAW SCHOOL. |
|  | AMAR GHOSH | A STUDY OF PHILOSOPHICAL SCHOOL OF JURISPRUDENCE |
|  | PRAGYAA CHHETRI | LEGAL STATUS AND RIGHTS OF LUNATIC AND DRUNKEN PERSON |
|  | ARIJEET SAHA | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON THE ORIGIN OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE. |
|  | ANUPAM DATTA SARKAR | AGREEMENTS - A MATERIAL SOURCE OF LAW IN TODAY'S WORLD. |
|  | ANKONA CHAKRABORTY | AN ANALYSIS OF THE CONCEPT OF "THE STATE" INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION FROM ITS AMBIT |
|  | TWINKLE AGARWAL | DIMENSIONS OF MODERN LEGAL PERSONALITY |
|  | SHRESHTHA TRIPATHI | THEORIES OF CORPORATION - A STUDY ON THE LEGAL STATUS OF CORPORATION. |
|  | SAURAV DUTTA | A STUDY ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES DESTROYING THE BINDING FORCE OF PRECEDENT |
|  | DEBOJYOTI DEB | BRIEFLY STUDYING THE KINDS OF RIGHTS (POSITIVE & NEGATIVE, PERFECT & IMPERFECT, LEGAL & EQUITABLE, AND SERVIENT & DORMANT) |
|  | SAHAJAN MIA | EXPANING THE CONCEPT OF LEGAL WRONG |
|  | TANUSHREE PRAMANIK | JUVENILE OFFENDERS AND REFORMATIVE THEORY |
|  | MOUMITA CHAKRABORTY | A STUDY OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN RATIO DECIDENDI AND OBITER DICTA |
|  | BINAYAK SEN | NORMS AND NORMATIVE SYSTEM UNDER KELSON’S THEORY |
|  | SAMIR DAS | BRIEFLY EXPLAIN THE ANCIENT CONCEPT OF DHARMA  |
|  | ANITA KUMARI YADAV | AN OVEWVIEW TO THE APPROACH TO THE STUDY OF JURISPRUDENCE. |
|  | MD ZISHAN | A STUDY ON RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LAW AND JURSPRUDENCE  |
|  | RANJITA ROY | A STUDY ON THE IDEA OF COMMAND AND SOVEIRGN IN AUSTIN THEORY  |
|  | GOURAB NATH | AN OVERVIEW ON HART’S CONCEPT OF LAW |
|  | MD JAMSHED | AN OVERVIEW ON AUSTIN’S IMPERATIVE THEORY OF LAW |
|  | HIMANGSHU SINHA | JOHN FINNIST CONCEPT OF PRATICAL- REASONABLENESS  |
|  | RANA GUHA | COMMENT ON WHEATHER JURISPRUDENCE IS THE SCIENCE OR NOT |
|  | HIMANGSHU BARMAN | ANALYIS ON HART’S FULLER DEBATE ON LAW AND MORALITY |
|  | ARUN TAMANG | AN ANALYSIS OF BENTHAM’S UTALITERIAN THEORY |
|  | TANUMOY SINHA | EXPLAIN THE IDEA OF COMMAND AND SOVERIGN IN AUSTINANIAN THEORY |
|  | SAIKAT RAM | EXPLAIN HOW AMERICAN REALISM IS DIFFERENT FROM SCANDINAVIAN  |
|  | HARSHIT ATRI | AN ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BENTHAM AND AUSTIN THEORY OF LAW |
|  | ANUPAMA ROY | A STUDY OF PRIMARY AND SECONDARY RULE OF OBLIGATION IN THE FORMATION OF LEGAL SYSTEM |
|  | NIGAM BARMAN | AN ANALYSIS OF MARKSIST SCHOOL OF JURISPRUDENCE  |
|  | AURAJIT SANYAL | AN STUDY OF KELSEN THEORY OF LAW |
|  | LAXMI DAS | BRIEF OVERVIEW ON DIFFERENT SOURCES OF LAW |
|  | DISHA SARKAR | BRIEFLY ANLAYSIS ROSCOE POUND THEORY OF SOCIAL ENGINEERING |
|  | PUTUL CHOUBAY | BRIEFLY ANALYSIS RUDOLPH VON IVERENE THEORY OF SOCIAL UTILITARIAN |
|  | DALIA DAS | DISCUSS THE IDEA OF GRUNDNORMS IN KELSEN’S PURER THEORY OF LAW |
|  | PRAMOD MAHATO | ANALYSIS OF PERSON: LEGAL STATUS OF ANIMALS, UNBORN PERSON & DEAD MAN |
|  | APARNA BARMAN | AN ANALYSIS OF FULLER’S CONCEPT OF INNER MORALITY OF LAW |
|  | SHAHIL RAI | A STUDY OF JURAL POSTULATES OF ROSCOE POUND IN BRIEF |
|  | RITTIK SINGHA | UNDERSTANDING THE CONCEPT OF LAW OF OBLIGATION UNDER JURISPRUDENCE- ELEMENTS AND KINDS |
|  | ABHISHEK TIWARY | AN OVERVIEW OF THE HISTORICAL SCHOOL OF LAW. |
|  | SANKALPA BASU | UNDERSTANDING THE TERM "PRECEDENT" AND ITS IMPACT ON MODERN DAY LEGAL PROCEEDINGS |
|  | ANIRBAN DEY | AN ANALYSIS OF THE THEORIES OF PUNISHMENT AN ITS RELEVANCE IN THE CURRENT SYSTEM OF ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE IN INDIA |
|  | RUKSANA PARVIN | A STUDY ON THE CHARACTERISTICS OF LEGAL RIGHTS AND KINDS OF LEGAL RIGHTS |
|  | AKASH ALI | CONCEPT OF RIGHTS AND DUTIES IN JURISPRUDENCE |
|  | NAMRATA SAHA | JUDICIAL PRECEDENT IS A SOURCE OF LAW IN COMMON LAW COUNTRIES |
|  | MUHAMMAD YUSUF | A BRIEF ANALYSIS ON DECILNE AND REVIVAL OF NATURAL LAW SCHOOL. |
|  | ARUNDHATI PAUL | A STUDY OF PHILOSOPHICAL SCHOOL OF JURISPRUDENCE |
|  | PALLAVEE SUMAN | LEGAL STATUS AND RIGHTS OF LUNATIC AND DRUNKEN PERSON |
|  | AKASH GUPTA | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON THE ORIGIN OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE. |
|  | PRASENJIT SEN | AGREEMENTS - A MATERIAL SOURCE OF LAW IN TODAY'S WORLD. |
|  | ADREEJA BAGCHI | AN ANALYSIS OF THE CONCEPT OF "THE STATE" INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION FROM ITS AMBIT |
|  | ABHANTIKA KHAWAS | DIMENSIONS OF MODERN LEGAL PERSONALITY |
|  | DR KHEYA BAIDYA | THEORIES OF CORPORATION - A STUDY ON THE LEGAL STATUS OF CORPORATION. |
|  | NABANKUR NANDY | A STUDY ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES DESTROYING THE BINDING FORCE OF PRECEDENT |
|  | RAVI RAJ | BRIEFLY STUDYING THE KINDS OF RIGHTS (POSITIVE & NEGATIVE, PERFECT & IMPERFECT, LEGAL & EQUITABLE, AND SERVIENT & DORMANT) |
|  | MD EJAZ ALAM | EXPANING THE CONCEPT OF LEGAL WRONG |
|  | PRASENJIT DAS | JUVENILE OFFENDERS AND REFORMATIVE THEORY |
|  | D B NEELOTPAL | A STUDY OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN RATIO DECIDENDI AND OBITER DICTA |
|  | SUMITA HALDAR | NORMS AND NORMATIVE SYSTEM UNDER KELSON’S THEORY |

**SUBJECT: FAMILY LAW-I**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SRL . NOS.** | **NAME**  | **TOPICS** |
|  | PUJA SINGH | ANALYSIS OF ADULTERY AS A GROUND FOR DIVORCE WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON JOSEPH SHRINE V. UNION OF INDIA.  |
|  | SRABANI RANI DEY | COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DIVORCE UNDER VARIOUS PERSONAL LAWS |
|  | SIMA ROY | ANALYSIS OF ADOPTION UNDER VARIOUS LAW AND THE NEED FOR A UNIFORM CODE |
|  | PRIYA KUNDU | THE HINDU SUCCESSION (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2005 - LANDMARK IN CREATING GENDER EQUALITY.  |
|  | ANAMIKA DAS | AN OVERVIEW OF GROUNDS OF DIVORCE AVAILABLE TO A HINDU WIFE UNDER HINDU MARRIAGE ACT, 1955 |
|  | AMIT ROY | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE DOWRY SYSTEM IN INDIA AND THE LEGAL PROVISIONS TO COMBAT IT. |
|  | PAYEL SARKAR | AN OVERVIEW OF CONSTITUTION, POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF FAMILY COURTS |
|  | IRIN PERVIN | EFFECTS OF THE NON-FULFILMENT OF THE CONDITIONS OF A VALID HINDU MARRIAGE |
|  | MITALI ROY | A DETAIL STUDY OF ADOPTION UNDER HINDU LAW |
|  | TAPASHI ROY | ANALYSIS OF RESTITUTION OF CONJUGAL RIGHTS WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS |
|  | MEHUL MISHRA | A CRITICAL APPRAISAL OF HINDU LAW |
|  | AYANABHA TALUKDAR | LEGALITY OF SAME SEX MARRIAGES IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE |
|  | AKASH MAJUMDAR | A STUDY ON ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN FAMILY LAW MATTERS |
|  | ROHIT OLI SHARMA | LOCATING LIVE-IN RELATIONSHIPS IN FAMILY LAW FRAMEWORK |
|  | SURAJ PRASAD YADAV | LEGAL PLURALISM AND UNIFORM CIVIL CODE |
|  | HIYA GHOSH | CHANGING CONTOURS OF FAMILY AND MARRIAGE |
|  | NABANITA SAHA | A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CHILD MARRIAGES IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE |
|  | CHETAN AHIRE | NEED FOR CRIMINALISATION OF MARITAL RAPE IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE |
|  | BISWADIP PAUL | VOID AND VOIDABLE MARRIAGES UNDER HINDU MARRIAGE ACT,1955 |
|  | SUDIPTA PAUL | JUDICIAL SEPARATION AS A MATRIMONIAL REMEDY UNDER HINDU LAW |
|  | JAYDEB BASAK | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON SUCCESSION TO THE PROPERTY OF A HINDU MALE |
|  | TUHINA PARVIN | A STUDY ON THE PRE-REQUISITES & EFFECTS OF ADOPTION UNDER THE HINDU ADOPTIONS AND MAINTENANCE ACT, 1956 |
|  | GHAZALA PERWEEN | COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DOWRY AND STRIDHANA. |
|  | ANIKET BHUIMALI | AN ANALYSIS ON THE CONCEPT OF PARTITION UNDER MITAKSHARA LAW |
|  | DHRITABRATA SARKAR | ANALYSIS ON THE ROLE, RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF A KARTA UNDER HINDU JOINT FAMILY |
|  | SUCHIT KUMAR DAS | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE REQUISITES OF A VALID ADOPTION |
|  | TANIA SAHA | COMPARATIVE STUDY AND ANALYSIS OF DEBTS UNDER MITAKSHARA LAW AND DAYABHAGA LAW WITH ITS CASE LAWS |
|  | ABHISHEK RAM | GUARDIANSHIP AND PARENTAL RIGHTS- WELFARE OF THE CHILD PRINCIPLE |
|  | POOJA MANDAL | AN ANALYSIS ON ALIMONY AND MAINTENANCE UNDER HINDU LAW |
|  | KINSHUK ROY | VINEETA SHARMA V. RAKESH SHARMA: A CASE ANALYSIS OF DAUGHTERS RIGHT TO PROPERTY |
|  | AMAR GHOSH | ANALYSIS OF ADULTERY AS A GROUND FOR DIVORCE WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON JOSEPH SHRINE V. UNION OF INDIA.  |
|  | PRAGYAA CHHETRI | COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DIVORCE UNDER VARIOUS PERSONAL LAWS |
|  | ARIJEET SAHA | ANALYSIS OF ADOPTION UNDER VARIOUS LAW AND THE NEED FOR A UNIFORM CODE |
|  | ANUPAM DATTA SARKAR | THE HINDU SUCCESSION (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2005 - LANDMARK IN CREATING GENDER EQUALITY.  |
|  | ANKONA CHAKRABORTY | AN OVERVIEW OF GROUNDS OF DIVORCE AVAILABLE TO A HINDU WIFE UNDER HINDU MARRIAGE ACT, 1955 |
|  | TWINKLE AGARWAL | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE DOWRY SYSTEM IN INDIA AND THE LEGAL PROVISIONS TO COMBAT IT. |
|  | SHRESHTHA TRIPATHI | AN OVERVIEW OF CONSTITUTION, POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF FAMILY COURTS |
|  | SAURAV DUTTA | EFFECTS OF THE NON-FULFILMENT OF THE CONDITIONS OF A VALID HINDU MARRIAGE |
|  | DEBOJYOTI DEB | A DETAIL STUDY OF ADOPTION UNDER HINDU LAW |
|  | SAHAJAN MIA | ANALYSIS OF RESTITUTION OF CONJUGAL RIGHTS WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS |
|  | TANUSHREE PRAMANIK | A CRITICAL APPRAISAL OF HINDU LAW |
|  | MOUMITA CHAKRABORTY | LEGALITY OF SAME SEX MARRIAGES IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE |
|  | BINAYAK SEN | A STUDY ON ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN FAMILY LAW MATTERS |
|  | SAMIR DAS | LOCATING LIVE-IN RELATIONSHIPS IN FAMILY LAW FRAMEWORK |
|  | ANITA KUMARI YADAV | LEGAL PLURALISM AND UNIFORM CIVIL CODE |
|  | MD ZISHAN | CHANGING CONTOURS OF FAMILY AND MARRIAGE |
|  | RANJITA ROY | A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CHILD MARRIAGES IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE |
|  | GOURAB NATH | NEED FOR CRIMINALISATION OF MARITAL RAPE IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE |
|  | MD JAMSHED | VOID AND VOIDABLE MARRIAGES UNDER HINDU MARRIAGE ACT,1955 |
|  | HIMANGSHU SINHA | JUDICIAL SEPARATION AS A MATRIMONIAL REMEDY UNDER HINDU LAW |
|  | RANA GUHA | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON SUCCESSION TO THE PROPERTY OF A HINDU MALE |
|  | HIMANGSHU BARMAN | A STUDY ON THE PRE-REQUISITES & EFFECTS OF ADOPTION UNDER THE HINDU ADOPTIONS AND MAINTENANCE ACT, 1956 |
|  | ARUN TAMANG | COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DOWRY AND STRIDHANA. |
|  | TANUMOY SINHA | AN ANALYSIS ON THE CONCEPT OF PARTITION UNDER MITAKSHARA LAW |
|  | SAIKAT RAM | ANALYSIS ON THE ROLE, RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF A KARTA UNDER HINDU JOINT FAMILY |
|  | HARSHIT ATRI | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE REQUISITES OF A VALID ADOPTION |
|  | ANUPAMA ROY | COMPARATIVE STUDY AND ANALYSIS OF DEBTS UNDER MITAKSHARA LAW AND DAYABHAGA LAW WITH ITS CASE LAWS |
|  | NIGAM BARMAN | GUARDIANSHIP AND PARENTAL RIGHTS- WELFARE OF THE CHILD PRINCIPLE |
|  | AURAJIT SANYAL | AN ANALYSIS ON ALIMONY AND MAINTENANCE UNDER HINDU LAW |
|  | LAXMI DAS | VINEETA SHARMA V. RAKESH SHARMA: A CASE ANALYSIS OF DAUGHTERS RIGHT TO PROPERTY |
|  | DISHA SARKAR | ANALYSIS OF ADULTERY AS A GROUND FOR DIVORCE WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON JOSEPH SHRINE V. UNION OF INDIA.  |
|  | PUTUL CHOUBAY | COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DIVORCE UNDER VARIOUS PERSONAL LAWS |
|  | DALIA DAS | ANALYSIS OF ADOPTION UNDER VARIOUS LAW AND THE NEED FOR A UNIFORM CODE |
|  | PRAMOD MAHATO | THE HINDU SUCCESSION (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2005 - LANDMARK IN CREATING GENDER EQUALITY.  |
|  | APARNA BARMAN | AN OVERVIEW OF GROUNDS OF DIVORCE AVAILABLE TO A HINDU WIFE UNDER HINDU MARRIAGE ACT, 1955 |
|  | SHAHIL RAI | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE DOWRY SYSTEM IN INDIA AND THE LEGAL PROVISIONS TO COMBAT IT. |
|  | RITTIK SINGHA | AN OVERVIEW OF CONSTITUTION, POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF FAMILY COURTS |
|  | ABHISHEK TIWARY | EFFECTS OF THE NON-FULFILMENT OF THE CONDITIONS OF A VALID HINDU MARRIAGE |
|  | SANKALPA BASU | A DETAIL STUDY OF ADOPTION UNDER HINDU LAW |
|  | ANIRBAN DEY | ANALYSIS OF RESTITUTION OF CONJUGAL RIGHTS WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS |
|  | RUKSANA PARVIN | A CRITICAL APPRAISAL OF HINDU LAW |
|  | AKASH ALI | LEGALITY OF SAME SEX MARRIAGES IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE |
|  | NAMRATA SAHA | A STUDY ON ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN FAMILY LAW MATTERS |
|  | MUHAMMAD YUSUF | LOCATING LIVE-IN RELATIONSHIPS IN FAMILY LAW FRAMEWORK |
|  | ARUNDHATI PAUL | LEGAL PLURALISM AND UNIFORM CIVIL CODE |
|  | PALLAVEE SUMAN | CHANGING CONTOURS OF FAMILY AND MARRIAGE |
|  | AKASH GUPTA | A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CHILD MARRIAGES IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE |
|  | PRASENJIT SEN | NEED FOR CRIMINALISATION OF MARITAL RAPE IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE |
|  | ADREEJA BAGCHI | VOID AND VOIDABLE MARRIAGES UNDER HINDU MARRIAGE ACT,1955 |
|  | ABHANTIKA KHAWAS | JUDICIAL SEPARATION AS A MATRIMONIAL REMEDY UNDER HINDU LAW |
|  | DR KHEYA BAIDYA | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON SUCCESSION TO THE PROPERTY OF A HINDU MALE |
|  | NABANKUR NANDY | A STUDY ON THE PRE-REQUISITES & EFFECTS OF ADOPTION UNDER THE HINDU ADOPTIONS AND MAINTENANCE ACT, 1956 |
|  | RAVI RAJ | COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DOWRY AND STRIDHANA. |
|  | MD EJAZ ALAM | AN ANALYSIS ON THE CONCEPT OF PARTITION UNDER MITAKSHARA LAW |
|  | PRASENJIT DAS | ANALYSIS ON THE ROLE, RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF A KARTA UNDER HINDU JOINT FAMILY |
|  | D B NEELOTPAL | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE REQUISITES OF A VALID ADOPTION |
|  | SUMITA HALDAR | COMPARATIVE STUDY AND ANALYSIS OF DEBTS UNDER MITAKSHARA LAW AND DAYABHAGA LAW WITH ITS CASE LAWS |
|  | PUJA SINGH | GUARDIANSHIP AND PARENTAL RIGHTS- WELFARE OF THE CHILD PRINCIPLE |

**SUBJECT: LAW OF TORTS**

**DOCTRINAL ASSIGNMENT TOPICS**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Roll No.** | **Name** | **Roll No.** | **Name** | **ASSIGNMENT TOPICS** |
| 1 | MUSKAN AGARWAL | 52 | RANA GUHA | ANALYSE THE VARIOUS REMEDIES AVAIALBLE IN LAW OF TORTS |
| 2 | PUJA SINGH | 53 | HIMANGSHU BARMAN | DISCUSS THE APPLICABILITY OF LAW OF TORTS IN INDIA. |
| 3 | SRABANI RANI DEY | 54 | ARUN TAMANG | ANALYZE TYE SIGNIFICANCE OF MENTAL ELEMENT IN TORTS. |
| 4 | SIMA ROY | 55 | TANUMOY SINHA | STATE OF RAJASTHAN VS MST VIDHYAWATI AIR 1962 SC 933: CASE ANALYSIS |
| 5 | PRIYA KUNDU | 56 | SAIKAT RAM | ANALYSE THE IMPORTANCE OF THREE TIER CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL MECHANISM AVAILABLE UNDER CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 2019  |
| 6 | ANAMIKA DAS | 57 | HARSHIT ATRI | ANALYSE THE RULE LAID DOWN IN DONOGHUE VS. STEVENSON AND ITS APPLICATION IN INDIA.  |
| 7 | AMIT ROY | 58 | ANUPAMA ROY | COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PROVISIONS OF CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 1986 AMD 2019.  |
| 8 | PAYEL SARKAR | 59 | NIGAM BARMAN | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE LAID DOWN IN THE CASE OF M.C MEHTA VS. UNIOIN OF INDIA AIR (1987) 1 SCC 395. |
| 9 | IRIN PERVIN | 60 | AURAJIT SANYAL | DISCUSS MEANING,ESSENTIALS AND NATURE OF TORTS |
| 10 | MITALI ROY | 61 | LAXMI DAS | WHETHER IT IS LAW OF TORT OR TORTS?-ANALYSE. |
| 11 | TAPASHI ROY | 62 | DISHA SARKAR | ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF VICARIOUS LIABILITY |
| 12 | MEHUL MISHRA | 63 | PUTUL CHOUBAY | ANALYZE TYE SIGNIFICANCE OF MENTAL ELEMENT IN TORTS. |
| 13 | AYANABHA TALUKDAR | 64 | DALIA DAS | STATE OF RAJASTHAN VS MST VIDHYAWATI AIR 1962 SC 933: CASE ANALYSIS |
| 14 | AKASH MAJUMDAR | 65 | PRAMOD MAHATO | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE LAID DOWN IN THE CASE GLOUCESTER GRAMMAR SCHOOL (1410) YB HILL II HEN, AND ITS APPLICATION IN INDIA. |
| 15 | ROHIT OLI SHARMA | 66 | APARNA BARMAN | KASTURI RALIA RAM V. THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH-CASE STUDY AIR 1965 SC 1039-CASE ANALYSIS |
| 16 | SURAJ PRASAD YADAV | 67 | SHAHIL RAI | BOLAM TEST: AN INADEQUATE PARAMETER FOR ASSESSING MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE-ANALYSE |
| 17 | HIYA GHOSH | 68 | RITTIK SINGHA | ANALYSE THE CRIMINAL AND TORTIPUS LIABILITY IN CASES OF NEGLIGENCE. |
| 18 | NABANITA SAHA | 69 | ABHISHEK TIWARY | PRINCIPLE OF NO FAULT LAIBILITY UNDER THE MOTR VEHICLE ACT. |
| 19 | CHETAN AHIRE | 70 | SANKALPA BASU | ANALYSIS OF SALMOND AND WINFIELD THEORIES IN LAW OF TORTS OR LAW OF TORT. |
| 20 | BISWADIP PAUL | 71 | ANIRBAN DEY | CRITICALLY ANALYZE THE DOCTRINE OF SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY AND ROLE OF INDIAN JUDICIARY. |
| 21 | SUDIPTA PAUL | 72 | RUKSANA PARVIN | JACOB MATHEW V. STATE OF PUNJAB, AIR 2005 SC 3180 –CASE ANALYSIS |
| 22 | JAYDEB BASAK | 73 | AKASH ALI | TORTUOUS LIABILITY IN CASES OF NUISANCE: CRITICALLY ANALYZE |
| 23 | TUHINA PARVIN | 74 | NAMRATA SAHA | VOLENTI-NON-FIT INJURIA AS A DEFENCE OF TORTS: CRITICALLY ANALYSE. |
| 24 | GHAZALA PERWEEN | 75 | MUHAMMAD YUSUF | CRITICALLY ANALIZE THE MEANING AND SIGNIFICANCE OF MALICIOUS PROCESUTION IN LAW OF TORTS. |
| 25 | ANIKET BHUIMALI | 76 | ARUNDHATI PAUL |  ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF VOLENTI NON FIT INJURIA IN THE LIGHT OF STANLEY V. POWELL ([1891] 1 QB 86 ) |
| 26 | DHRITABRATA SARKAR | 77 | PALLAVEE SUMAN | USHABEN V. BHAGYAKAXMI CHITRAMANDIR, AIR 1978 GUJ. 13-CASE ANALYSIS |
| 27 | SUCHIT KUMAR DAS | 78 | AKASH GUPTA | ANALYSE THE ESSENTIALS OF DEFAMATION IN THE LIGHT OF D.P. CHOUDHURY V. MANJULATA, AIR 1997 RAJ. 170- |
| 28 | TANIA SAHA | 79 | PRASENJIT SEN | TORTUOUS LIABILITY IN CASES OF NUISANCE: CRITICALLY ANALYZE |
| 29 | ABHISHEK RAM | 80 | ADREEJA BAGCHI | DISCUSS THE MAJOR CHANGES BROUGHT BY THE MOTOR VEHICLE (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2019 |
| 30 | POOJA MANDAL | 81 | ABHANTIKA KHAWAS | ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF ‘INEVITABLE ACCIDENT’ AND ‘ACT OF GOD’ AS AN EXCEPTION TO THE TORTIOUS LIABILITY. |
| 31 | KINSHUK ROY | 82 | DR KHEYA BAIDYA |  ANALYSE THE ESSENTIALS OF TORT. |
| 32 | AMAR GHOSH | 83 | NABANKUR NANDY | ANALYSE ROLE OF INDIAN JUDICIARY IN APPLICATION OF TORTIOUS LIABILITY. |
| 33 | PRAGYAA CHHETRI | 84 | RAVI RAJ | INSURANCE OF MOTOR VEHICLES AGAINST THIRD PARTY RISKS |
| 34 | ARIJEET SAHA | 85 | MD EJAZ ALAM | BHIM SINGH VS. STATE OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR AIR 1986 SC 494-CASE ANALYSIS |
| 35 | ANUPAM DATTA SARKAR | 86 | PRASENJIT DAS | DEFENCES TO AN ACTION FOR TORT OF DEFAMATION |
| 36 | ANKONA CHAKRABORTY | 87 | D B NEELOTPAL | CRITICALLY ANALYSE MORGAN V INCORPORATED CENTRAL COUNCIL OF THE GIRLS’ FRIENDLY SOCIETY (1936) 1 ALL E.R. 4 |
| 37 | TWINKLE AGARWAL | 88 | SUMITA HALDAR | CRITICALLY ANALYSE RATLAM MUNICIPALITY V. VARDHICHAND AIR 1980 SC 1622, 1981 SCR (1) 97 |
| 38 | SHRESHTHA TRIPATHI | 89 |  | ANALYZE ABSOLUTE AND QUALIFIED PRIVILEG AS DEFENCE TO AN ACTION OF LAW OF TORTS |
| 39 | SAURAV DUTTA | 90 |  | ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE LAID DOWN IN THE CASE OF HALL VS. BROOKLAND CAR RACING CLUB CASE.  |
| 40 | DEBOJYOTI DEB | 91 |  | INDIAN COUNCIL FOR ENVIRO – LEGAL ACTION V UOI AIR 1996 SC 1446, 1996 SCC (3) 212 |
| 41 | SAHAJAN MIA | 92 |  | ANALYZE THE DIRECTNESS TEST IN THE LIGHT OF IN RE POLEMISE CASE |
| 42 | TANUSHREE PRAMANIK | 93 |  | DOCTRINE OF REASONABLE FORESIGHT IN THE LIGHT OF THE WAGON MOUND CASE |
| 43 | MOUMITA CHAKRABORTY | 94 |  |  COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE PROVISIONS OF MOTOR VEHICLES ACT,1986 AND 2019. |
| 44 | BINAYAK SEN | 95 |  | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF NOVUS ACTUS INTERVIENS |
| 45 | SAMIR DAS | 96 |  | ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE LAID DOWN IN THE CASE OF HAYNES VS. HARWOOD. |
| 46 | ANITA KUMARI YADAV | 97 |  | ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF REMOTENESS OF DAMAGE. |
| 47 | MD ZISHAN | 98 |  | ANALYZE THE CONCEPT OF VICARIOUS LIABILITY IN THE LIGHT OF MODERN TEST OF HIRE AND FIRE RULE. |
| 48 | RANJITA ROY | 99 |  | ANALYSIS OF SALMOND AND WINFIELD THEORIES IN LAW OF TORTS OR LAW OF TORT. |
| 49 | GOURAB NATH | 100 |  |  ANALYSE THE DOCTRINE OF REASONABLE FORESIGHTNESS. |
| 50 | MD JAMSHED | 101 |  |  ANALYSE THE MEANING AND SIGNIFICANCE OF RES IPSA LIQUITOR. |
| 51 | HIMANGSHU SINHA | 102 |  | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE CONCEPT OF NERVOUS SHOCK IN TORTS. |

**SUBJECT: CONTRACT I**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SL.NO** | **NAME** | **TOPICS** |
| 1. | MUSKAN AGARWAL | A study on Force Majeure and the Doctrine of Frustration |
| 2. | PUJA SINGH | Contract law: a discussion on its essentials in a changing global environment |
| 3 | SRABANI RANI DEY | The importance of contract law in the shipping and transport industry of India: A study  |
| 4 | SIMA ROY | Globalization and contract law: a study |
| 5 | PRIYA KUNDU | Critically analyze the present scenario of making an Online Contract. |
| 6 | ANAMIKA DAS | The role of contract law in governing the monetary transactions of multinational organizations in India.  |
| 7 | AMIT ROY | Penalty under contract law: Arguments from an Indian law perspective. |
| 8 | PAYEL SARKAR | The effects of contract law in changing the global business environment to be more sustainable. |
| 9 | IRIN PERVIN | Privity of contract & third-party beneficiary in a contract. |
| 10 | MITALI ROY | A detailed study on Consideration and its exceptions.  |
| 11 | TAPASHI ROY | Privity of contract rule in India and England. A comparison |
| 12 | MEHUL MISHRA | Digital Contracts in today’s era: An analysis  |
| 13 | AYANABHA TALUKDAR | Relationship of blood, marriage or adoption not ***sine quo non****,* under sec 16 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 |
| 14 | AKASH MAJUMDAR | A study of the salient features of contract law in India. |
| 15 | ROHIT OLI SHARMA | Discuss the impact of globalization on contract law. |
| 16 | SURAJ PRASAD YADAV | Enforcement of contingent contracts under the Indian contract act, 1872 |
| 17 | HIYA GHOSH | A study on Force Majeure and the Doctrine of Frustration |
| 18 | NABANITA SAHA | The concept of *consensus-ad-idem’* as represented under section 13 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872  |
| 19 | CHETAN AHIRE | ROSE AND FRANK CO. CROMPTON & BROS. LTD (1925) A.C. 445: Case Analysis |
| 20 | BISWADIP PAUL | Legal issues involved in Electronic Contracts. |
| 21 | SUDIPTA PAUL | Public Policy in Relation to Contracts: The recent Trend. |
| 22 | JAYDEB BASAK | Force Majeure and the Doctrine of Frustration during Covid 19: A study   |
| 23 | TUHINA PARVIN | Contract law: a discussion on its essentials in a changing global environment |
| 24 | GHAZALA PERWEEN | Critically analyze the present scenario of making an Online Contract. |
| 25 | ANIKET BHUIMALI | Quasi-Contractual Obligations: An Analysis |
| 26 | DHRITABRATA SARKAR | Discuss the importance of contract law in our day-to-day life |
| 27 | SUCHIT KUMAR DAS | A discussion of contract law in the digital age. Its importance and challenges  |
| 28 | TANIA SAHA | Globalization and contract law: a study  |
| 29 | ABHISHEK RAM | Explain the law relating to agreements in restraint of trade with reference to English and Indian law. |
| 30 | POOJA MANDAL | Discuss the impact of globalization on contract law. |
| 31 | KINSHUK ROY | The challenges and barriers to valid Contract law and unjust enrichment implementation. |
| 32 | AMAR GHOSH | Electronic contracts: An analysis |
| 33 | PRAGYAA CHHETRI | A discussion of contract law in the digital age. Its importance and challenges |
| 34 | ARIJEET SAHA | Critically analyse the Ratification of the minor’s agreement |
| 35 | ANUPAM DATTA SARKAR | An agreement enforceable by law is a contract. It creates legal obligations between the parties. Discuss |
| 36 | ANKONA CHAKRABORTY | The challenges and barriers to valid Contract law and unjust enrichment implementation. |
| 37 | TWINKLE AGARWAL | Agreement by way of wager under section 30 of the Indian contract act, 1872. |
| 38 | SHRESHTHA TRIPATHI | Communication of offer and acceptance and revocation of offer |
| 39 | SAURAV DUTTA | LALMAN SHUKLA VS GAURI DUTT (1913) 11 All. L.J. 489 |
| 40 | DEBOJYOTI DEB | NORDENFELT VS MAXIM NORDENFELT GUNS AND AMMUNITION CO. Ltd. (1894) AC 535. Case analysis |
| 41 | SAHAJAN MIA | CARLILL VS CARBOLIC SMOKE BALL COMPANY [1893] 1 QB 256 (CA): CASE ANALYSIS |
| 42 | TANUSHREE PRAMANIK | Examine the self-induced Frustration or cases where the Frustration could be prevented under the Indian Contract Act, of 1872 |
| 43 | MOUMITA CHAKRABORTY | Time-barred debt is one of the exceptions under section 25 of the Indian contract act, of 1872 |
| 44 | BINAYAK SEN | HADLEY vs. BAXANDALE [1854] EWHC J70, (1854) 156 ER 145, 9 ExCh 341, (1854) 23 LJ Ex 179, 18 Jur 358, [1843-60] All ER Rep 461: case analysis |
| 45 | SAMIR DAS | The duties and responsibilities of the state in contract law. A case study on the Indian government |
| 46 | ANITA KUMARI YADAV | “Damages and Penalty Clauses” in cross-border contracts and State contracts, and its practical implications on business |
| 47 | MD ZISHAN | The effects of contract law in changing the global business environment to be more sustainable. |
| 48 | RANJITA ROY | Quasi-contracts arise where obligations are created without a contract. Discuss |
| 49 | GOURAB NATH | Globalization and contract law: a study |
| 50 | MD JAMSHED | Critically analyze the present scenario of making an Online Contract. |
| 51 | HIMANGSHU SINHA | The role of contract law in governing the monetary transactions of multinational organizations in India.  |
| 52 | RANA GUHA | Penalty under contract law: Arguments from an Indian law perspective. |
| 53 | HIMANGSHU BARMAN | The effects of contract law in changing the global business environment to be more sustainable. |
| 54 | ARUN TAMANG | Astudy on Privity of contract & third-party beneficiary in a contract. |
| 55 | TANUMOY SINHA | Privity of contract rule in India and England- A comparison |
| 56 | SAIKAT RAM | Consideration and it’s kinds: A study |
| 57 | HARSHIT ATRI | Digital Contracts in today’s era: An analysis  |
| 58 | ANUPAMA ROY | Relationship of blood, marriage or adoption not ***sine quo non****,* under sec 16 of the Indian contract act, 1872 |
| 59 | NIGAM BARMAN | A study of the salient features of contract law in the India. |
| 60 | AURAJIT SANYAL | Discuss the impact of globalization on contract law. |
| 61 | LAXMI DAS | Enforcement of contingent contracts under the Indian contract act, 1872 |
| 62 | DISHA SARKAR | A study on Force Majeure and the Doctrine of Frustration |
| 63 | PUTUL CHOUBAY | The concept of *consensus-ad-idem’* as represented under section 13 of Indian contract act, 1872  |
| 64 | DALIA DAS | ROSE AND FRANK CO. CROMPTON & BROS. LTD (1925) A.C. 445 : Case Analysis |
| 65 | PRAMOD MAHATO | Legal issues involved in Electronic Contracts. |
| 66 | APARNA BARMAN | Public Policy in relation to Contracts: The recent trend. |
| 67 | SHAHIL RAI | Force majeure and the Doctrine of frustration during Covid 19: A study   |
| 68 | RITTIK SINGHA | Contract law: a discussion on its essentials in a changing global environment |
| 69 | ABHISHEK TIWARY | Critically analyze the present scenario of making an Online Contract. |
| 70 | SANKALPA BASU | Quasi Contractual Obligations: An Analysis |
| 71 | ANIRBAN DEY | Discuss the importance of contract law in our day-to-day life |
| 72 | RUKSANA PARVIN | A discussion of contract law in the digital age. Its importance and challenges  |
| 73 | AKASH ALI | Globalisation and contract law: a study  |
| 74 | NAMRATA SAHA | Explain the law relating to agreements in restraint of trade with reference to English and Indian law. |
| 75 | MUHAMMAD YUSUF | Discuss the impact of globalization on contract law. |
| 76 | ARUNDHATI PAUL | Force majeure and the Doctrine of frustration during Covid 19: A study   |
| 77 | PALLAVEE SUMAN | Contract law: a discussion on its essentials in a changing global environment |
| 78 | AKASH GUPTA | The importance of contract law in the shipping and transport industry of India: A study  |
| 79 | PRASENJIT SEN | Globalization and contract law: a study |
| 80 | ADREEJA BAGCHI | Critically analyze the present scenario of making an Online Contract. |
| 81 | ABHANTIKA KHAWAS | The role of contract law in governing the monetary transactions of multinational organizations in India.  |
| 82 | DR KHEYA BAIDYA | Penalty under contract law: Arguments from Indian law perspective. |
| 83 | NABANKUR NANDY | The effects of contract law in changing the global business environment to be more sustainable. |
| 84 | RAVI RAJ | Privity of contract & third-party beneficiary in a contract. |
| 85 | MD EJAZ ALAM | An examination of whether consideration should be replaced with a much more adequate concept of contractual intention in the 21st Century. |
| 86 | PRASENJIT DAS | Analyse the statement that privity of contract rule be reformed in order to allow the third party to sue on a contract for their benefit |
| 87 | D B NEELOTPAL | Digital Contracts in today’s era: An analysis  |
| 88 | SUMITA HALDAR | Relationship of blood, marriage or adoption not ***sine quo non****,* under sec 16 of the Indian contract act, 1872 |

**COURSE: 3YEARS LL. B SEMESTER-III**

**SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL LAW**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SL. NO.** | **NAME** | **TOPICS** |
| 1 | SUPRADHA CHETTRI | AIR QUALITY INDEX IN SILIGURI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 2 | MANISHA MINJ | ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROCESS AND ITS EFFECTIVENESS IN INDIA |
| 3 | SUSHANT MOTHAY | LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION OF WETLANDS IN INDIA |
| 4 | ANJALI GUPTA | ANALYSIS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS GOVERNING THE MINING SECTOR IN INDIA |
| 5 | SUDHIKCHYA CHETTRI | LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR CONTROLLING AIR POLLUTION IN INDIA |
| 6 | MD MUBARAK ALI | REGULATION OF GENTICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS IN INDIA |
| 7 | SUDHIR DAS | LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE PROTECTION OF WILDLIFE AND BIODIVERSITY IN INDIA |
| 8 | SRIJA BHOWMIK | METHODS ADOPTED FOR CONTROLLING WATER POLLUTION IN SILIGURI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 9 | PANKAJ ROY | AIR QUALITY INDEX IN SHIVMANDIR: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 10 | SAYANTONY LAHIRI | AIR QUALITY INDEX IN BAGDOGRA: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 11 | SAYAN BANERJEE | METHODS ADOPTED FOR CONTROLLING WATER POLLUTION IN SHIVMANDIR: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 12 | MADHUMITA ROY | ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE IN INDIA: ANALYSIS OF THE ROLES OF DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDERS |
| 13 | LAWRENCE RAI | CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINBILITY IN INDIA |
| 14 | VISHAKA JINDAL | METHODS ADOPTED FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT IN SILIGURI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 15 | SILVIA MUKHIA | ROLE OF NGOs IN PROMOTING ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION IN INDIA |
| 16 | AYAN DAS | METHODS ADOPTED FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT IN DAGAPUR: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 17 | ANUP MONDAL | AIR QUALITY INDEX IN BAGDOGRA: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 18 | PRIYANKA ROY | METHODS ADOPTED FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT IN DAGAPUR: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 19 | SWASTIKA ROY | METHODS ADOPTED FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT IN SILIGURI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 20 | NIKITA SIGCHI | LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR WATER MANAGEMENT IN INDIA |
| 21 | SUBHADEEP SONAR | ROLES OF NGOs IN PROMOTING ENVIRONEMNTAL PROTECTION IN INDIA |
| 22 | AJOY MONDAL | AIR QUALITY INDEX IN BAGDOGRA: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 23 | ROHIT DEY | METHODS ADOPTED FOR CONTROLLING WATER POLLUTION IN SHIVMANDIR: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY |
| 24 | PARNILA SAHA | AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE METOHDS ADOPTED FOR THE CONSERVATION OF BAIKUNTHAPUR FOREST |
| 25 | SONIA SARKAR | ANAYSIS OF INDIA’S NATIOANL PLAN ON CLIMATE CHANGE AND ITS EFFECTIVENESS  |
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| 97 | SHARMILA GUPTA | DISCUSS THE PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE RECENT REFORMS IN MATERNITY BENEFIT ACT |
| 98 | PARTHA RAY | JUDICIAL REVIEW OF LABOUR COURT AND INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL DECISION. |
| 99 | MEHETAB MIDDE | LABOUR LAWS AND PROTECTION OF WOMEN |
| 100 | RAM KUMAR SINGH | LEGAL ISSUES OF THE CONTRACT OF EMPLOYMENT |
| 101 | SONALI BARMAN | LIABILITIES OF TRADE UNION FOR GOING ON ILLEGAL STRIKE UNDER INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE ACT |
| 102 | HEMANT AGARWAL | MAKE A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE CONCEPT OF LAY OFF, RETRENCHMENT, AND CLOSURE WITH THE HELP OF DECIDED CASE LAWS |
| 103 | DEVJYOTI RAJ | MAKE A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE ASPECT OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF DISABLEMENT AS PROVIDED UNDER THE WORKMEN’S COMPENSATION ACT, 1923 |
| 104 | MAHUA SINGH | MATERNITY BENEFIT AMENDMENT ACT: ANALYSIS. |
| 105 | BAISHAKHI SAHA | NEED FOR REFORMING LAW REGULATING CLOSURE. |
| 106 | SHIVESH KUMAR SINGH | READING TRADE UNION AND I.D ACT IN PARIMETERIA- A CRITICAL ANALYSIS. |
| 107 | PIYA BISWAS | RETRENCHMENT, NEED FOR REFORMS IN LABOUR LAW |
| 108 | KABITA GUHA ROY | RIGHTS TO STRIKE BY WORKMAN IN LIGHT OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS. |
| 109 | SIMRAN AGARWAL | STRIKE IN JET AIRWAYS- A CRITICAL ANALYSIS. |
| 110 | SUSHANTA BARMAN | STRIKES AND LOCK OUT- HOW EFFECTIVE THEY ARE AS WEAPONS OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING. |
| 111 | SANJAY KUMAR | “LAST COME FIRST GO”- A CRTICAL ANALYSIS WITH RESPECT TO INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947 |
| 112 | PRACHI GARG | ANALYSE OLD AND NEW DEFINITION OF INDUSTRY GIVEN UNDER THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE ACT, 1947 |
| 113 | SAMIR KUMAR THAPA | ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF HAZARDOUS PROCESSES UNDER THE FACTORIES ACT, 1948 |
| 114 | MOON SAHA | ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF MISCONDUCT WITH RESPECT TO DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS UNDER THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1948  |
| 115 | CHINMOY BARMAN | ANALYSE THE CONDITIONS OF RETRENCHMENT AND ITS RELATED PROVISIONS |
| 116 | MADHURIMA DUTTA | ANALYSE THE DEFINITION OF ‘FACTORY’ UNDER THE FACTORIES ACT, 1948  |
| 117 | MOUMITA ROY | ANALYSE THE DOCTRINE OF NOTIONAL EXTENSION OF TIME AND PLACE |
| 118 | RIYA ROY CHOWDHURY | ANALYSE THE PROVISIONS RELATED TO WORKING HOURS OF ADULT UNDER THE FACTORIES ACT, 1948 |
| 119 | DHIRAJ KUMAR CHOUDHURY | ANALYSE THE PROVISIONS RELATING TO HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS OF THE WORKERS AS PROVIDED UNDER FACTORIES ACT, 1948. |
| 120 | NUSRAT JAHAN | ANALYSE THE PROVISIONS WITH RESPECT TO ANNUAL LEAVE WITH WAGES UNDER THE FACTORIES ACT, 1948 |
| 121 | NIKHIL MAHARAJ | ANALYSE THE VARIOUS KIND OF STRIKES |
| 122 | SAYONI BHATTACHARJEE | ANALYSIS OF THE FACTORIES ACT, 1948: IS THERE A NEED FOR REFORM. |
| 123 | SUDEB KUMAR SINGHA | APPROPRIATE GOVERNMENT’S POWER OF REFERENCE IN THE ERA OF LIBERALIZATION |
| 124 | JYOTIRMOY CHOUDHURY | BWSSB CASE AND ITS RELEVANCE TO UNORGANISED SECTOR |
| 125 | SUMITA ROY | CONTRIBUTION OF JUSTICE DESAI TO INDUSTRIAL RELATION |
| 126 | MUKESH KUMAR SAHANI | CONTRIBUTION OF JUSTICE KRISHNA IYER TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATION |

**SUBJECT: INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SL. NO.** | **NAME** | **TOPICS** |
| 1 | SUPRADHA CHETTRIMANISHA MINJ | *ADM, Jabalpur v. Shivakant Shukla,* (1976) 2 SCC 521 |
| 2 | SUSHANT MOTHAYANJALI GUPTA | *Air India v. NargeshMeerza*AIR 1981 SC 1829 |
| 3 | SUDHIKCHYA CHETTRIMD MUBARAK ALI | *A.K. Gopalan v. State of Madras* AIR 1950 SC 27 |
| 4 | SUDHIR DASSRIJA BHOWMIK | *A.K. Kripaik v. Union of India*, (1969) 2 SCC 262 |
| 5 | PANKAJ ROYSAYANTONY LAHIRI | *B.S Wadera v. Union of India*, AIR 1969 SC 118 |
| 6 | SAYAN BANERJEEMADHUMITA ROY | *Dhulabhai v. State of M.P*., AIR 1969 SC 78 |
| 7 | LAWRENCE RAIVISHAKA JINDAL | *Deep Chand v. State of U.P*., AIR 1959SC 648 |
| 8 | SILVIA MUKHIAAYAN DAS | *Minnerva Mills Ltd. v. Union of India,*AIR 1980 SC 1789 |
| 9 | ANUP MONDALPRIYANKA ROY | *Sajjan Singh v. State of Rajasthan*, AIR 1965 SC 845 |
| 10 | SWASTIKA ROYNIKITA SIGCHI | *Shankari Prasad vs. Union of India* AIR1951 SC 458 |
| 11 | SUBHADEEP SONARAJOY MONDAL | *Indra Sharma v. K .V.Sharma* (2013) 15 SCC 755 |
| 12 | ROHIT DEYPARNILA SAHA | *Som Prakash Rekhi vs. Union of India* (1981) 1 SCC 499 |
| 13 | SONIA SARKARSONIYA SARKAR | *S.P. Gupta v.Union of India*, AIR 1982 SC 149 |
| 14 | MOUMITA ROYRAJNI KUMARI | *S. Prakash v. K. M. Kurian*, (1999) 5 SCC 624 |
| 15 | ANJALI JAISWALSANGHAMITRA GHOSH | *S.R. Bhagwat v. State of Mysore* (1995) 6 SCC 16 |
| 16 | NANDITA SARKARANINDITA DEY | *R.C Cooper v. Union of India*, AIR 1970 SC 564 |
| 17 | MILI AKHTERSHAMIK DUTTA | *SheelaBarse v. State of Maharashtra*, AIR 1986 SC 1773 |
| 18 | PRIYANKA NIRMALMARINA PALIT | *State of Gujarat v. Ambika mills* (1974) 4 SCC 656 |
| 19 | PRIYANKA DASPRITAM ROY | *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* (1997) 6 SCC 241 |
| 20 | BIPTI ADHIKARIAKASH SARKAR | *State of Kerala v. N.M Thomas*, (1976) 2 SCC 310 |
| 21 | NIPA PAULVISHAL KUMAR GUPTA | *Tata Iron and Steel Co. ltd v. state of Bihar*, AIR 1958 SC 452  |
| 22 | DIBYENDU BHATTACHARJEEMD NABUL HUSSAIN | *NilabatiBahera v. State of Orissa*, AIR 1993 SC 1960 |
| 23 | RENUKA SINGHNILOY CHANDRA ROY | *S. R. Bommai v. Union of India*, AIR 1994 SC 1918 |
| 24 | RAHUL GHOSHAVAY PRASAD | *Supreme Court Advocates-on-Records Association v. Union of India,* (1993) 4 SCC 441 |
| 25 | DEBRAJ PAULPRITI GOYAL | *T.M.A Pai Foundation v. State of Karnataka*, (2002) 8 SCC 481 |
| 26 | KAJAL GUPTASARASWATI KUMARI JHA | *UjjamBai v. State of U.P*., AIR 1962 SC 1621 |
| 27 | ABHISHEK MISHRAHEENA CHOUDHARY | *IndraSawney v. Union of India*AIR 1993 SC 477 |
| 28 | VIVEK UPADHYAYAANNIE PAUL | *Indra Nehru Gandhi v. Raj Narain*, AIR 1975 SC 2299 |
| 29 | SAHELEE BOSESWARNAYU GOPE | *I.R Coehlo v. State of Tamil Nadu*AIR 2007 SC 861 |
| 30 | SUPRODIP MAZUMDERSPANDAN BAISHNAB | *BandhuaMuktiMorcha v. Union of India*1984 AIR 802 |
| 31 | PUJA SARKARMOUSHUMI ROY | *Mithu v. State of Punjab*, AIR 1983 SC 473 |
| 32 | KOYANA PAULRAHUL ROY | *BashesharNath v. CIT* AIR 1959 SC 149 |
| 33 | MAMATA SARKARCHINKEY AGARWAL | *Bengal Immunity Co. Ltd. v. State of Bihar,* AIR 1955 SC 661 |
| 34 | SUSHAMA SARKARSHAMRAGGY DEWAN | *Bhim Singh v. State of J&K* (1985) 4 SCC 677 |
| 35 | ABHIJIT KUMAR SINGHSAGAR BHAGAT | *D.K Basu v. State of West Bengal*, AIR 1997SC 610 |
| 36 | DIPAK KUMAR AGARWALJONI SABJI | *Murli S Deora v. Union of India*, AIR 2002 SC 40 |
| 37 | PRAKIRTI SINHASHREYA PANJA | *D.SNakara v. Union of India*, AIR 1983 SC 130 |
| 38 | SUBHAM CHOWDHURYTENZING ONGMU | *Rupa Ashok Hurra v. Ashok Hurra* AIR 2002 SC 1771 |
| 39 | SAYANTANI GHOSHSAGARNIL DAS | *Daryao v. State of U.P.,* AIR 1961 SC 1457 |
| 40 | SUKANTA CHATTERJEESUJAY BARMAN | *HussainaraKhatoon v. State of Bihar*, AIR 1979 SC 1360 |
| 41 | SUMIT DASYASMIN ARA | *State of Bombay v. F.N Balsara*. AIR 1951 SC 318 |
| 42 | SAIFUL ALAMUDAY KUMAR CHHETRI | *Olga Tellis v. Bombay Municipal Corporation*, AIR 1986 SC 180 |
| 43 | RINSHU KUMAR BOSAKPRITHIRAJ DAS | *Bennet Coleman & Co. v. Union of India*, AIR 1973 SC 106 |
| 44 | SHREYASHI ROYSUBEKCHA CHETTRI | *RomeshThapar v. State of Kerala*, AIR 1961 SC 552 |
| 46 | SOHAIB HASMISUSHANTA MANDAL | *Balaji v. State of Mysore*, AIR 1963 SC 649 |
| 47 | APETO ASSUMIKOUSHAL SAHA | *Unnikrishnan J.P. v. State of Andhra Pradesh,* AIR 1993 SC 2178 |
| 48 | SANJUMA KHATUNMD.SAYEED AFRIDI | *Bijoe Emmanuel v. State of Kerala,* AIR 1987 SC 748 |
| 49 | ASRAF HOSSAINJUDHISTHIR SAHU | *Centre for Public Interest Litigation v. Union of India,* AIR 2012 SC 3725 |
| 50 | SHARMILA GUPTAPARTHA RAY | *AshokaKumar Thakur v. Union of India*(2008) 6 SCC 1 |
| 51 | MEHETAB MIDDERAM KUMAR SINGH | *Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab*, AIR 1980 SC 898 |
| 52 | SONALI BARMANHEMANT AGARWAL | *Kharak Singh v. State of U.P*, AIR 1963 SC 1295 |
| 53 | DEVJYOTI RAJMAHUA SINGH | *Excel Wear v. Union of India*, AIR 1979 SC 25 |
| 54 | BAISHAKHI SAHASHIVESH KUMAR SINGH | *M.C Mehta (Child labour matter) v. State of T.N* ( 1996) 6 SCC 756 |
| 55 | PIYA BISWASKABITA GUHA ROY | *M.C Mehta( Taj corridor Scam) v. Union of India*( 2007) 1 SCC 110 |
| 56 | SIMRAN AGARWALSUSHANTA BARMAN | *Lily Thomas v. Union of India*, AIR 2000 SC 1650 |
| 57 | SANJAY KUMARPRACHI GARG | *HamdardDawakhana v. Union of India*, AIR 1960 SC 554 |
| 58 | SAMIR KUMAR THAPAMOON SAHA | *People’s Union for civil liberty v. Union of India.,*AIR 1997 SC 568 |
| 59 | CHINMOY BARMANMADHURIMA DUTTA | *Ajay Hasia v. Khalid Mujib*, AIR 1981 SC 487 |
| 60 | MOUMITA ROYRIYA ROY CHOWDHURY | *R.M.D.C v. Union of India*, AIR 1957 SC 628 |
| 61 | DHIRAJ KUMAR CHOUDHURYNUSRAT JAHAN | *Bhikaji v. State of Madras*, AIR 1955 SC 781 |
| 62 | NIKHIL MAHARAJSAYONI BHATTACHARJEE | *Zee Tele-films v. Union of India*,AIR 2005 SC 2677 |
| 63 | SUDEB KUMAR SINGHAJYOTIRMOY CHOUDHURY | *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India*, AIR 1978SC 597 |
| 64 | SUMITA ROYMUKESH KUMAR SAHANI | *Rural Litigation & Entitlement Kendra v. State of U.P*,AIR 1991 SC 2216 |

**SUBJECT: LAW OF CRIMES II**

**EMPIRICAL RESEARCH TOPICS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **NAME** | **PRESENTATION TOPICS** |
|

|  |
| --- |
| SUPRADHA CHETTRI |
| MANISHA MINJ |
| SUSHANT MOTHAY |
| ANJALI GUPTA |
| SUDHIKCHYA CHETTRI |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY PANITANKI POLICE STATION UNDER JUVENILE JUSTICE ACT WHEN A CHILD FOUND SEPARATED FROM GUARDIAN OR APPEARS TO BE AN ORPHAN |
|

|  |
| --- |
| MD MUBARAK ALI |
| SUDHIR DAS |
| SRIJA BHOWMIK |
| PANKAJ ROY |
| SAYANTONY LAHIRI |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE IN RELATION TO CHILDREN IN CONFLICT WITH LAW UNDER JUVENILE JUSTICE ACT WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO PRADHAN NAGAR POLICE STATION UNDER SPECIAL JUVENILE POLICE UNIT |
|

|  |
| --- |
| SAYAN BANERJEE |
| MADHUMITA ROY |
| LAWRENCE RAI |
| VISHAKA JINDAL |
| SILVIA MUKHIA |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE IN RELATION TO CHILDREN IN CONFLICT WITH LAW UNDER JUVENILE JUSTICE ACT WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BHAKTI NAGAR POLICE STATION UNDER SPECIAL JUVENILE POLICE UNIT |
|

|  |
| --- |
| AYAN DAS |
| ANUP MONDAL |
| PRIYANKA ROY |
| SWASTIKA ROY |
| NIKITA SIGCHI |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE IN RELATION TO CHILDREN IN CONFLICT WITH LAW UNDER JUVENILE JUSTICE ACT WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO MATIGARA POLICE STATION UNDER SPECIAL JUVENILE POLICE UNIT |
|

|  |
| --- |
| SUBHADEEP SONAR |
| AJOY MONDAL |
| ROHIT DEY |
| PARNILA SAHA |
| SONIA SARKAR |

 | A STUDY ON THE NUMBER OF CASES FILED RELATING TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE UNDER PRADHAN NAGAR POLICE STATION FROM 2005 TO 2022 |
|

|  |
| --- |
| SONIYA SARKAR |
| MOUMITA ROY |
| RAJNI KUMARI |
| ANJALI JAISWAL |
| SANGHAMITRA GHOSH |

 |  CRITICALLY EVALUATE THE ORDER OF MAINTENANCE GIVEN BY THE MAGISTRATE IN KALIMPONG UNDER SECTION 125 OF THE CODE FROM 2020-2021 |
|

|  |
| --- |
| NANDITA SARKAR |
| ANINDITA DEY |
| MILI AKHTER |
| SHAMIK DUTTA |
| PRIYANKA NIRMAL |

 | A STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF SECTION 498 A ON MEN IN INDIA: WITH A PARTICULAR FOCUS ON SILIGURI SUB-DIVISION |
|

|  |
| --- |
| MARINA PALIT |
| PRIYANKA DAS |
| PRITAM ROY |
| BIPTI ADHIKARI |
| AKASH SARKAR |

 | A STUDY ON THE NUMBER OF CASES FILED RELATING TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE UNDER MATIGARA POLICE STATION FROM 2005 TO 2022 |
|

|  |
| --- |
| NIPA PAUL |
| VISHAL KUMAR GUPTA |
| DIBYENDU BHATTACHARJEE |
| MD NABUL HUSSAIN |
| RENUKA SINGH |

 | A STUDY ON THE NUMBER OF CASES FILED RELATING TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE UNDER MIRIK POLICE STATION FROM 2005 TO 2022 |
|

|  |
| --- |
| NILOY CHANDRA ROY |
| RAHUL GHOSH |
| AVAY PRASAD |
| DEBRAJ PAUL |
| PRITI GOYAL |

 | DISCUSS THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY MATIGARA POLICE STATION TO ENQUIRE AND REPORT ON SUICIDE UNDER THE CODE |
|

|  |
| --- |
| KAJAL GUPTA |
| SARASWATI KUMARI JHA |
| ABHISHEK MISHRA |
| HEENA CHOUDHARY |
| VIVEK UPADHYAYA |

 | A CRITICAL STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE FOR INVESTIGATION FOLLOWED BY SILIGURI POLICE STATION WITH REGARD TO NDPS CASES |
|

|  |
| --- |
| ANNIE PAUL |
| SAHELEE BOSE |
| SWARNAYU GOPE |
| SUPRODIP MAZUMDER |
| SPANDAN BAISHNAB |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY THE MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS WHILE EXAMINING THE VICTIM OF RAPE UNDER JALPAIGURI MEDICAL COLLEGE  |
|

|  |
| --- |
| PUJA SARKAR |
| MOUSHUMI ROY |
| KOYANA PAUL |
| RAHUL ROY |
| MAMATA SARKAR |

 | A STUDY ON THE NUMBER OF CASES FILED RELATING TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE UNDER SILIGURI POLICE STATION FROM 2005 TO 2021 |
|

|  |
| --- |
| CHINKEY AGARWAL |
| SUSHAMA SARKAR |
| SHAMRAGGY DEWAN |
| ABHIJIT KUMAR SINGH |
| SAGAR BHAGAT |

 | A STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF SECTION 498 A ON MEN IN INDIA: WITH A PARTICULAR FOCUS ON DISTRICT OF KALIMPONG |
|

|  |
| --- |
| DIPAK KUMAR AGARWAL |
| JONI SABJI |
| PRAKIRTI SINHA |
| SHREYA PANJA |
| SUBHAM CHOWDHURY |

 | DISCUSS THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY SESSIONS COURT AT DARJEELING RELATING TO ANTICIPATORY BAIL |
|

|  |
| --- |
| TENZING ONGMU |
| SAYANTANI GHOSH |
| SAGARNIL DAS |
| SUKANTA CHATTERJEE |
| SUJAY BARMAN |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROVISIONS AS TO BAIL AND BONDS UNDER SECTION 436 OF THE CODE WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BAGDOGRA POLICE STATION |
|

|  |
| --- |
| SUMIT DAS |
| YASMIN ARA |
| SAIFUL ALAM |
| UDAY KUMAR CHHETRI |
| RINSHU KUMAR BOSAK |

 | DISCUSS THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY DARJEELING SADAR POLICE STATION TO ENQUIRE AND REPORT ON SUICIDE UNDER THE CODE |
|

|  |
| --- |
| PRITHIRAJ DAS |
| SHREYASHI ROY |
| SUBEKCHA CHETTRI |
| SOHAIB HASMI |
| SUSHANTA MANDAL |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY THE MAGISTRATES IN CASES OF PRIVATE COMPLAINTS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO KALIMPONG COURT |
|

|  |
| --- |
| APETO ASSUMI |
| KOUSHAL SAHA |
| SANJUMA KHATUN |
| MD.SAYEED AFRIDI |
| ASRAF HOSSAIN |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY THE MAGISTRATES IN CASES OF PRIVATE COMPLAINTS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO MIRIK COURT |
|

|  |
| --- |
| JUDHISTHIR SAHU |
| SHARMILA GUPTA |
| PARTHA RAY |
| MEHETAB MIDDE |
| RAM KUMAR SINGH |

 | DISCUSS THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY THE POLICE AT THE TIME OF EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES UNDER SECTION 161 OF THE CODE: WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO NAXALBARI POLICE STATION |
|

|  |
| --- |
| SONALI BARMAN |
| HEMANT AGARWAL |
| DEVJYOTI RAJ |
| MAHUA SINGH |
| BAISHAKHI SAHA |

 | DISCUSS THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY SESSIONS COURT AT SILIGURI RELATING TO ANTICIPATORY BAIL |
|

|  |
| --- |
| SHIVESH KUMAR SINGH |
| PIYA BISWAS |
| KABITA GUHA ROY |
| SIMRAN AGARWAL |
| SUSHANTA BARMAN |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED BY MATIGARA POLICE STATION UNDER JUVENILE JUSTICE ACT WHEN A CHILD FOUND SEPARATED FROM GUARDIAN OR APPEARS TO BE AN ORPHAN |
|

|  |
| --- |
| SANJAY KUMAR |
| PRACHI GARG |
| SAMIR KUMAR THAPA |
| MOON SAHA |
| CHINMOY BARMAN |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE IN RELATION TO CHILDREN IN CONFLICT WITH LAW UNDER JUVENILE JUSTICE ACT WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BAGDOGRA POLICE STATION UNDER SPECIAL JUVENILE POLICE UNIT |
|

|  |
| --- |
| MADHURIMA DUTTA |
| MOUMITA ROY |
| RIYA ROY CHOWDHURY |
| DHIRAJ KUMAR CHOUDHURY |
| NUSRAT JAHAN |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE IN RELATION TO CHILDREN IN CONFLICT WITH LAW UNDER JUVENILE JUSTICE ACT WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BHAKTI NAGAR POLICE STATION UNDER SPECIAL JUVENILE POLICE UNIT |
|

|  |
| --- |
| NIKHIL MAHARAJ |
| SAYONI BHATTACHARJEE |
| SUDEB KUMAR SINGHA |
| JYOTIRMOY CHOUDHURY |
| SUMITA ROY |

 | A STUDY ON THE PROCEDURE IN RELATION TO CHILDREN IN CONFLICT WITH LAW UNDER JUVENILE JUSTICE ACT WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO MATIGARA POLICE STATION UNDER SPECIAL JUVENILE POLICE UNIT |
| MUKESH KUMAR SAHANI | AN ANALYSIS OFDOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND THE REMEDIES PROVIDED BY THE INDIAN JUDICIARY. |

**COURSE: 3 YEAR LL. B SEMESTER V**

**SUBJECT: INSURANCE LAW**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **S.No.** | **NAME** | **TOPICS** |
| 1 | ARPITA SARKAR | DISCUSS THE HISTORICAL BACKGROUND, SCOPE AND OBJECT OF INSURANCE LAW |
| 2 | SUBHAM ROY | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF INSURANCE WITH THE LAW OF CONTRACT WITH THE HELP OF RELEVANT CASE LAWS.  |
| 3 | NAVNEET YANG LAMA | ANALYSIS RELEVANCY OF REMOTENESS OF DAMAGE UNDER THE INSURANCE LAW AND LAW OF TORTS. |
| 4 | SHILPA ACHARJEE | AN ANALYSIS THE ESSENTIALS OF A VALID CONTRACT AND ITS RELEVANCY TO THE LAW OF INSURANCE WITH RELEVANT JUDICIAL PRONOUNCEMENTS. |
| 5 | NAVED ANJUM HASHMI | THE VALIDITY OF PRINCIPLES OF INSURANCE LAW WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS – A CRITICAL APPROACH. |
| 6 | DARUL ISLAM | ANALYSE THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF LAW OF INSURANCE WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS.  |
| 7 | MD SHAKILUR RAHAMAN | BRIEFLY ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE OF SUBROGATION AND PRINCPLE OF CONTRIBUTION UNDER THE LAW OF INSURANCE. |
| 8 | PREM KANTA DAS | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE OF INDEMNITY WITH THE HELP OF CASE LAWS. |
| 9 | RITUSMITA SAHA | THE ISNURANCE LAW : A CONTRACT OF UTMOST GOOD FAITH- CRITICALLY ANALYSE |
| 10 | BEAUTY BEGUM | THE INSURANCE LAW: A CONTRACT OF PROBABILITY – CRITICALLY ANALYSE. |
| 11 | UDAY SANKAR ROY | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE NATURE AND CHARACTERISTICS OF INSURANCE. |
| 12 | PUJA BHATTACHARJEE | THE SIGNIFICANCE OF RISK IN INSURANCE LAW AND THE SCOPE OF RISK WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS – AN ANALYSIS |
| 13 | SUBHAM MOHANTA | DISCUSS THE ELEMENTS OF RISK IN INSURANCE LAW WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 14 | RAJU BARMAN | “THE PRESENCE OF INSURABLE INTEREST DIFFERS IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF INSURANCE CONTRACTS” – ANALYSE THE PRESENCE OF INSURABLE INTEREST WITH RESPECT TO LIFE INSURANCE. |
| 15 | SUVOM ROY | “ MARKETING CONCEPTS AS A WAY OF ENHANCING THE GROWTH OF SALE OF INSURANCE SERVICE ” - A CRITIQUE |
| 16 | HIMANSHU RAJ SAH | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE GOAL OF EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF LIFE ASSURNCE IN INDIA. |
| 17 | DEBALINA SAHA | CASE STUDY ON - ***KUNNILATASAHOO V. SR. D.M. OF L.I.C. OF INDIA, AIR 2010 ORI 19.*** |
| 18 | DONA BISWAS | CASE STUDY ON - ***L.I.C. OF INDIA V. VISHWANATHANVERMA, AIR 1995 SC 189.*** |
| 19 | PRIYA MONDAL | THE IMPACT OS INSURANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT IN INDIA – AN ANALYTICAL STUDY. |
| 20 | SAHIL PRASAD GUPTA | THE IMPACT OF IRDA GUIDELINES IN THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY – A CRITICAL APPROACH. |
| 21 | AMIT MALAKAR | LIFE INSURANCE AND ITS PROTECTION TO THE POLICY HOLDERS IN INDIA - AN ANALYSIS. |
| 22 | DIPA SARKAR | A CRITIQUE ON IRDA ACT 1999 |
| 23 | SHREYA CHAKRABORTY | WARRANTY, CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS OF INSURANCE CONTRACTS |
| 24 | SRIJITA MOITRA | IRDA CONTROL OVER SECTORWISE DISTRIBUTION OF INSURANCE BUSINESS – AN ANALYTICAL STUDY. |
| 25 | PAYEL MITRA | COMPULSORY INSURANCE OF MOTOR VEHICLE – CRITICALLY EVALUATE IN RELATION TO THIRD PARTY RISK. |
| 26 | APARNA KUMARI JHA | TAX EXEMPTION AND INSURANCE POLICY – AN ANALYSIS. |
| 27 | PARIDHI BAGHWAR | EVALUATION OF ESSENTIAL TENETS OF INSURANCE LAW WITH RELEVANT JUDICAL PRONOUNCEMENTS. |
| 28 | PRIYANKA SAHANI | INSURANCE FRAUD IN PROPOSAL AND CLAIM PAYMENT – AN ANALYTICAL APROACH |
| 29 | SANCHITA SEN | THE ROLE OF PROXIMATE CAUSE IN MARINE INSURANCE – CRITICALLY ANALYSE WITH RELEVENT CASE STUDIES. |
| 30 | SORMISTHA ROY | ASSESSMENT OF LOSS AND ABANDONMENT IN MARINE INSURANCE: A CRITIQUE |
| 31 | PRITAM GHOSH | THE INSURANCE LAW CONTRACT AS A CONTRACT OF GOOD FAITH: A CRITIQUE |
| 32 | SANJAY KUMAR SAHANI | A CRITIQUE ON CARGO INSURANCE |
| 33 | PUJA SINGH | LEGAL AND MORAL IMPLICATIONS – AN EVALUATION WITH REGARD TO LIFE INSURANCE POLICY |
| 34 | SURAJ DAS | INSURANCE LAW AND ITS DEVELOPMENT – AN OVERVIEW |
| 35 | ANIMITRA ROY | A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF LIFE INSURANCE VIS-À-VIS NON-LIFE INSURANCE CONTRACTS |
| 36 | JOGESH KUMAR KHAITAN | A CRITIQUE ON INSURANCE REGULATORY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY ACT, 1999 |
| 37 | LAKHAN PRASAD | LIFE INSURANCE AND SUICIDE: A STUDY OF THE LEGAL POSITION WITH RELEVANT JUDICIAL PRONOUNCEMENTS. |
| 38 | NABARUPAM DAS | PERSONS ENTITLED TO PAYMENT AND SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM - A CRITICAL APPROACH. |
| 39 | SARIKA BHAGAT | RISK AND INSURANCE: A RELATIONSHIP |
| 40 | SHIVAM AGARWAL | CROP AND CATTLE INSURANCE: LAW AND POLICY. |
| 41 | RAJENDRA KRISHNA | THE GENERAL INSURANCE BUSINESS NATIONALISATION ACT, 1977 - A CRITIQUE WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 42 | SACHIN KHAWAS | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF INSURANCE LAWS (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2015. |
| 43 | NIKET AGARWAL | INSURANCE “SERVICE” AND CONSUMERISM: STUDYING THE JUDICIAL TREND |
| 44 | SOHAIL MEHTAB | LIFE INSURANCE POLICIES: LAPSE, REVIVAL AND MATURITY – AN ANALYSIS. |
| 45 | SOPHIA SUMI | THE ISNURANCE LAW : A CONTRACT OF UTMOST GOOD FAITH- CRITICALLY ANALYSE |
| 46 | AJIT KUMAR MAHATO | DISCUSS THE HISTORICAL BACKGROUND, SCOPE AND OBJECT OF INSURANCE LAW |
| 47 | SIMRAN HASSAN | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF INSURANCE WITH THE LAW OF CONTRACT WITH THE HELP OF RELEVANT CASE LAWS.  |
| 48 | AMAN KUMAR JHA | ANALYSIS RELEVANCY OF REMOTENESS OF DAMAGE UNDER THE INSURANCE LAW AND LAW OF TORTS. |
| 49 | PAPI RANI ROY | AN ANALYSIS THE ESSENTIALS OF A VALID CONTRACT AND ITS RELEVANCY TO THE LAW OF INSURANCE WITH RELEVANT JUDICIAL PRONOUNCEMENTS. |
| 50 | SAMRIDHI CHOWDHURY | THE VALIDITY OF PRINCIPLES OF INSURANCE LAW WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS – A CRITICAL APPROACH. |
| 51 | KHRUKU SHIJOH | ANALYSE THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF LAW OF INSURANCE WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS.  |
| 52 | JINIYA SAHA | BRIEFLY ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE OF SUBROGATION AND PRINCPLE OF CONTRIBUTION UNDER THE LAW OF INSURANCE. |
| 53 | NUPUR SINGHA | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE OF INDEMNITY WITH THE HELP OF CASE LAWS. |
| 54 | RIYA PAUL | THE INSURANCE LAW : A CONTRACT OF UTMOST GOOD FAITH- CRITICALLY ANALYSE |
| 55 | BARNITA SINHA ROY | THE INSURANCE LAW: A CONTRACT OF PROBABILITY – CRITICALLY ANALYSE. |
| 56 | PRIYANKA SHA | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE NATURE AND CHARACTERISTICS OF INSURANCE. |
| 57 | MOHONA CHAKRABORTY | THE SIGNIFICANCE OF RISK IN INSURANCE LAW AND THE SCOPE OF RISK WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS – AN ANALYSIS |
| 58 | ASTHA LAMA | DISCUSS THE ELEMENTS OF RISK IN INSURANCE LAW WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 59 | WANGYAL TASHI SHERPA | “THE PRESENCE OF INSURABLE INTEREST DIFFERS IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF INSURANCE CONTRACTS” – ANALYSE THE PRESENCE OF INSURABLE INTEREST WITH RESPECT TO LIFE INSURANCE. |
| 60 | KAJAL SINGH | “ MARKETING CONCEPTS AS A WAY OF ENHANCING THE GROWTH OF SALE OF INSURANCE SERVICE ” - A CRITIQUE |
| 61 | SUBHRAJYOTI DATTA | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE GOAL OF EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF LIFE ASSURNCE IN INDIA. |
| 62 | ABHISHEK AGARWAL | CASE STUDY ON - ***KUNNILATASAHOO V. SR. D.M. OF L.I.C. OF INDIA, AIR 2010 ORI 19.*** |
| 63 | BIBEK SARKAR | CASE STUDY ON - ***L.I.C. OF INDIA V. VISHWANATHANVERMA, AIR 1995 SC 189.*** |
| 64 | SNEHA DEY | THE IMPACT OS INSURANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT IN INDIA – AN ANALYTICAL STUDY. |
| 65 | AJGAR ALI | THE IMPACT OF IRDA GUIDELINES IN THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY – A CRITICAL APPROACH. |
| 66 | PREM KUMAR | LIFE INSURANCE AND ITS PROTECTION TO THE POLICY HOLDERS IN INDIA - AN ANALYSIS. |
| 67 | MEGHA BHADURI | A CRITIQUE ON IRDA ACT 1999 |
| 68 | ROSHNI MALI | WARRANTY, CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS OF INSURANCE CONTRACTS |
| 69 | PESHWANI TIWARI | IRDA CONTROL OVER SECTORWISE DISTRIBUTION OF INSURANCE BUSINESS – AN ANALYTICAL STUDY. |
| 70 | GOUTAM DEBNATH | COMPULSORY INSURANCE OF MOTOR VEHICLE – CRITICALLY EVALUATE IN RELATION TO THIRD PARTY RISK. |
| 71 | SONALI PRIYA | TAX EXEMPTION AND INSURANCE POLICY – AN ANALYSIS. |
| 72 | ABINASH CHOWHAN | EVALUATION OF ESSENTIAL TENETS OF INSURANCE LAW WITH RELEVANT JUDICAL PRONOUNCEMENTS. |
| 73 | RITU HALDER | INSURANCE FRAUD IN PROPOSAL AND CLAIM PAYMENT – AN ANALYTICAL APROACH |
| 74 | DEVANSH AGARWAL | ASSESSMENT OF LOSS AND ABANDONMENT IN MARINE INSURANCE: A CRITIQUE |
| 75 | SANGITA GHOSH | THE INSURANCE LAW CONTRACT AS A CONTRACT OF GOOD FAITH: A CRITIQUE |
| 76 | MD MUBASSIR AQUIL | A CRITIQUE ON CARGO INSURANCE |
| 77 | MONNAF ALI MIAH | TYPES OF MARINE INSURANCE POLICIES AND ITS USEFULLNESS – AN ANALYTICAL APPROACH WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 78 | BHAWANA BISWAKARMA | INDEMNITY AND INSURANCE: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS |
| 79 | JEMEE KHATUN | LEGAL AND MORAL IMPLICATIONS – AN EVALUATION WITH REGARD TO LIFE INSURANCE POLICY |
| 80 | SUJOY ROY | INSURANCE LAW AND ITS DEVELOPMENT – AN OVERVIEW |
| 81 | RIYANKA PAUL | A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF LIFE INSURANCE VIS-À-VIS NON-LIFE INSURANCE CONTRACTS |
| 82 | SAIDUL ISLAM | A CRITIQUE ON INSURANCE REGULATORY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY ACT, 1999 |
| 83 | SAHASRANGSHU DAS | LIFE INSURANCE AND SUICIDE: A STUDY OF THE LEGAL POSITION WITH RELEVANT JUDICIAL PRONOUNCEMENTS. |
| 84 | AAKASH KUMAR GUPTA | PERSONS ENTITLED TO PAYMENT AND SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM - A CRITICAL APPROACH. |
| 85 | MD MIJANUR RAHAMAN | RISK AND INSURANCE: A RELATIONSHIP |
| 86 | JULI MAITY | CROP AND CATTLE INSURANCE: LAW AND POLICY. |
| 87 | ABHISEK GHOSH | THE GENERAL INSURANCE BUSINESS NATIONALISATION ACT, 1977 - A CRITIQUE WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 88 | SUCHITRA ROY | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF INSURANCE LAWS (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2015. |
| 89 | SACHIN KUMAR ROY | INSURANCE “SERVICE” AND CONSUMERISM: STUDYING THE JUDICIAL TREND |
| 90 | ISMEET SONDHI | LIFE INSURANCE POLICIES: LAPSE, REVIVAL AND MATURITY – AN ANALYSIS. |
| 91 | PAPIYA SEN | DISCUSS THE HISTORICAL BACKGROUND, SCOPE AND OBJECT OF INSURANCE LAW |
| 92 | PALASH ROY | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF INSURANCE WITH THE LAW OF CONTRACT WITH THE HELP OF RELEVANT CASE LAWS.  |
| 93 | ANUP MITRA | ANALYSIS RELEVANCY OF REMOTENESS OF DAMAGE UNDER THE INSURANCE LAW AND LAW OF TORTS. |
| 94 | BIKASH RAI | AN ANALYSIS THE ESSENTIALS OF A VALID CONTRACT AND ITS RELEVANCY TO THE LAW OF INSURANCE WITH RELEVANT JUDICIAL PRONOUNCEMENTS. |
| 95 | GOURAB HAZRA | THE VALIDITY OF PRINCIPLES OF INSURANCE LAW WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS – A CRITICAL APPROACH. |
| 96 | PRITILATA DAS | ANALYSE THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF LAW OF INSURANCE WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS.  |
| 97 | BIKI DAS | BRIEFLY ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE OF SUBROGATION AND PRINCPLE OF CONTRIBUTION UNDER THE LAW OF INSURANCE. |
| 98 | NILIMA ROY | CRITICALLY ANALYSE THE PRINCIPLE OF INDEMNITY WITH THE HELP OF CASE LAWS. |
| 99 | PRASANTA BARMAN | THE ISNURANCE LAW : A CONTRACT OF UTMOST GOOD FAITH- CRITICALLY ANALYSE |

**SUBJECT: LAW OF PROPERTY**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **S/NO.** | **NAME** | **TOPICS** |
| 1 | ARPITA SARKAR | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON IMMOVABLE PROPERTY  |
| 2 | SUBHAM ROY | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF IMMOVABLE PROPERTY  |
| 3 | NAVNEET YANG LAMA | A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF IMMOVABLE PROPERTY AND MOVABLE PROPERTY  |
| 4 | SHILPA ACHARJEE | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF NOTICE UNDER SECTION 3 OF TP ACT |
| 5 | ANAMIKA DAS | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON ATTESTED  |
| 6 | NAVED ANJUM HASHMI | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON ACTIONABLE CLAIMS  |
| 7 | DARUL ISLAM | ANALYSIS OF TRANSFER OF PROPERTY UNDER SECTION 5 OF TP ACT |
| 8 | MD SHAKILUR RAHAMAN | COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF RIGHT OF HEIR APPARENT TO TRANSFER PROPERTY  |
| 9 | PREM KANTA DAS | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF EASEMENTARY RIGHTS |
| 10 | RITUSMITA SAHA | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF CONTINGENT INTEREST  |
| 11 | BEAUTY BEGUM | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON TRANSFER FOR BENEFIT OF UNBORN PERSON  |
| 12 | UDAY SANKAR ROY | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON RULE AGAINST PERPETUITY  |
| 13 | PUJA BHATTACHARJEE | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON SIMPLE MORTGAGE  |
| 14 | SUBHAM MOHANTA | ANALYSIS OF REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO BOTH MORTGAGOR AND MORTGAGEE UNDER SIMPLE MORTGAGE  |
| 15 | RAJU BARMAN | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON MORTGAGE BY CONDITIONAL SALE |
| 16 | SUVOM ROY | REMEDIES AVAILABLE IN CASE OF MORTGAGE BY CONDITIONAL SALE TO BOTH PARTIES  |
| 17 | HIMANSHU RAJ SAH | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON USUFRUCTUARY MORTGAGE  |
| 18 | DEBALINA SAHA | CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON TIME PERIOD FOR REDEMPTION AND FORECLOSURE  |
| 19 | DONA BISWAS | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON TRANSFER BY OSTENSIBLE OWNER |
| 20 | PRIYA MONDAL | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON TRANSFER BY ONE CO-OWNER  |
| 21 | ANITA MAHATO | ANALYSIS OF FRAUDULENT TRANSFER UNDER SECTION 53 TP ACT |
| 22 | SAHIL PRASAD GUPTA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON PART PERFORMANCE  |
| 23 | NIKITA DAS | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF PERSON WHO HAS ALREADY TAKEN POSSESSION OF LAND UNDER PART PERFORMANCE  |
| 24 | SUCHITRA CHOWDHURY | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON SALE UNDER SECTION 54 TP ACT |
| 25 | RIYA DAS | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF BUYER AND SELLER |
| 26 | AMIT MALAKAR | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON MARSHALLING |
| 27 | DIPA SARKAR | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF FORECLOSURE ON SALE |
| 28 | SHREYA CHAKRABORTY | STUDY RELATING TO ACCESSION TO MORTGAGED PROPERTY  |
| 29 | SRIJITA MOITRA | CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON LIABILITIES OF MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION  |
| 30 | PAYEL MITRA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON POSTPONEMENT OF PRIOR MORTGAGEE |
| 31 | APARNA KUMARI JHA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CONDITION PRECEDENT  |
| 32 | PARIDHI BAGHWAR | STUDY RELATING TO CONDITION SUBSEQUENT  |
| 33 | PRIYANKA SAHANI | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CONDITIONAL TRANSFER  |
| 34 | SANCHITA SEN | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON JOINT TRANSFER FOR CONSIDERATION  |
| 35 | SORMISTHA ROY | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON ENGLISH MORTGAGE  |
| 36 | PRITAM GHOSH | ANALYSIS BETWEEN MORTGAGE BY CONDITIONAL SALE AND RIGHT OF PURCHASER TO REPURCHASE |
| 37 | SANJAY KUMAR SAHANI | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON MORTGAGE BY DEPOSIT OF TITLE DEEDS  |
| 38 | PUJA SINGH | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON ANOMALOUS MORTGAGE  |
| 39 | SURAJ DAS | ANALYSIS WHEN REDEMPTION OF PROPERTY STARTS  |
| 40 | ANIMITRA ROY | STUDY RELATING TO IMPROVEMENT TO MORTGAGED PROPERTY  |
| 41 | JOGESH KUMAR KHAITAN | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF RIGHT OF MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION  |
| 42 | LAKHAN PRASAD | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CONTRIBUTION TO MORTGAGED DEBT |
| 43 | TANMAY GUHANIOGI | STUDY RELATING TO PERSONS WHO MAY SUE FOR REDEMPTION  |
| 44 | PRASANTA BARMAN | ANALYSIS OF SUBROGATION |
| 45 | NABARUPAM DAS | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON PROHIBITION ON TACKING  |
| 46 | SARIKA BHAGAT | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON RIGHTS OF MESNE MORTGAGEE  |
| 47 | RUPAM SINGH | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF PARTIES TO ANOMALOUS MORTGAGE  |
| 48 | SHIVAM AGARWAL | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CHARGES |
| 49 | RAJENDRA KRISHNA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON LEASE |
| 50 | SACHIN KHAWAS | STUDY RELATING TO HOW LEASE ARE MADE |
| 51 | NIKET AGARWAL | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF LESSOR AND LESSEE |
| 52 | SOHAIL MAHTAB | STUDY RELATING TO RIGHTS OF LESSORS TRANSFEREE |
| 53 | SOPHIA SUMI | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON DETERMINATION OF LEASE |
| 54 | AJIT KUMAR MAHATO | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF WAIVER OF FORFEITURE  |
| 55 | SIMRAN HASSAN | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF WAIVER OF NOTICE TO QUIT |
| 56 | PAPI RANI ROY | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON EXCHANGE  |
| 57 | SAMRIDHI CHOWDHURY | STUDY RELATING TO RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF PARTIES UNDER EXCHANGE  |
| 58 | KHRUKU SHIJOH | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON GIFT |
| 59 | JINIYA SAHA | STUDY RELATING TO TRANSFER HOW MADE IN GIFT |
| 60 | NUPUR SINGHA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON UNIVERSAL DONEE IN GIFT |
| 61 | RIYA PAUL | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON ONEROUS GIFT |
| 62 | BARNITA SINHA ROY | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON IMMOVABLE PROPERTY  |
| 63 | PRIYANKA SHA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CHARGESCOMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON RIGHTS OF LESSORS TRANSFEREE |
| 64 | MOHONA CHAKRABORTY | STUDY RELATING TO EFFECT OF HOLDING OVER IN LEASE |
| 65 | ASTHA LAMA | STUDY RELATING TO EFFECT OF SURRENDER AND FORFEITURE ON UNDER-LEASE |
| 66 | NAWANG TASHI SHERPA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CESSATION OF INTEREST UNDER SECTION 84 TP ACT |
| 67 | WANGYAL TASHI SHERPA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON MORTGAGE BY DEPOSIT OF TITLE DEEDS  |
| 68 | KAJAL SINGH | STUDY RELATING TO RIGHT OF REDEEMING CO-MORTGAGOR TO EXPENSES  |
| 69 | SUBHRAJYOTI DATTA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON NO MERGER IN CASE OF SUBSEQUENT ENCUMBRANCE  |
| 70 | ABHISHEK AGARWAL | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON WASTE BY MORTGAGOR IN POSSESSION  |
| 71 | BIBEK SARKAR | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON RIGHTS TO FORECLOSURE OR SALE |
| 72 | SNEHA DEY | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON MARSHALLING BY SUBSEQUENT PURCHASER |
| 73 | AJGAR ALI | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON PRIORITY OF RIGHTS CREATED BY TRANSFER  |
| 74 | PREM KUMAR | STUDY RELATING TO FRAUDULENT TRANSFER  |
| 75 | MEGHA BHADURI | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF PART PERFORMANCE  |
| 76 | ROSHNI MALI | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF DEFINATION OF IMMOVABLE PROPERTY  |
| 77 | ABHIJIT MANDAL | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON ELECTION WHEN NECESSARY UNDER SECTION 35 TP ACT |
| 78 | PESHWANI TIWARI | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON RENT BONA FIDE PAID TO HOLDER UNDER DEFECTIVE TITLE |
| 79 | GOUTAM DEBNATH | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON IMPROVEMENT MADE BY BONA FIDE HOLDERS UNDER DEFECTIVE TITLE  |
| 80 | SONALI PRIYA | STUDY RELATING TO WHAT PROPERTY MAY OR MAY NOT BE TRANSFERRED  |
| 81 | ABINASH CHOWHAN | STUDY RELATING TO WHO ARE COMPETENT TO TRANSFER  |
| 82 | RITU HALDER | ANALYSIS OF TRANSFER OF PROPERTY MADE BY UNAUTHORISED PERSON  |
| 83 | DEVANSH AGARWAL | ANALYSIS OF TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT WITH SPECIFIC RELIEF ACT |
| 84 | SANGITA GHOSH | ANALYSIS OF TRANSFER OF PROPERTY WITH INJUNCTION  |
| 85 | MD MUBASSIR AQUIL | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON MOVABLE PROPERTY  |
| 86 | MONNAF ALI MIAH | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON DIFFERENCE BETWEEN IMMOVABLE PROPERTY AND MOVABLE PROPERTY  |
| 87 | BHAWANA BISWAKARMA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON IMPROVEMENT TO MORTGAGED PROPERTY  |
| 88 | JEMEE KHATUN | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CONDITION RESTRAINING ALIENATION  |
| 89 | SUJOY ROY | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON RESTRICTION REPUGNANT TO INTEREST CREATED |
| 90 | RIYANKA PAUL | STUDY RELATING TO TRANSFER OF PROPERTY TO UNBORN PERSON |
| 91 | SAIDUL ISLAM | STUDY ON THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN VESTED INTEREST AND CONTINGENT INTEREST  |
| 92 | SAHASRANGSHU DAS | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON CONDITIONAL TRANSFER  |
| 93 | AAKASH KUMAR GUPTA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON DIRECTION FOR ACCUMULATION  |
| 94 | RAJ CHIRANIA | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON TRANSFER TO MEMBERS OF A CLASS WHO ATTAIN A PARTICULAR AGE |
| 95 | MD MIJANUR RAHAMAN | COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON OPERATION OF TRANSFER UNDER TP ACT |
| 96 | JULI MAITY | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF SIMPLE MORTGAGE  |
| 97 | ABHISEK GHOSH | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF MORTGAGE BY CONDITIONAL SALE  |
| 98 | SUCHITRA ROY | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF USUFRUCTUARY MORTGAGE  |
| 99 | SACHIN KUMAR | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF ENGLISH MORTGAGE  |
| 100 | ISMEET SONDHI | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF MORTGAGE DEPOSIT OF TITLE DEEDS  |
| 101 | PAPIYA SEN | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF ANOMALOUS MORTGAGE  |
| 102 | PALASH ROY | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF VESTED INTEREST  |
| 103 | ANUP MITRA | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF CONTINGENT INTEREST  |
| 104 | BIKASH RAI | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF LIABILITY OF MORTGAGOR IN POSSESSION  |
| 105 | GOURAB HAZRA | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF DETERMINATION OF LEASE |
| 106 | PRITILATA DAS | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF MARSHALLING |
| 107 | MANOJ KUMAR ROY | RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TRANSFER OF PROPERTY WITH REGISTRATION ACT |
| 108 | BIKI DAS | RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TRANSFER OF PROPERTY WITH GENERAL CLAUSES ACT |
| 109 | NILIMA ROY | CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF NOTICE UNDER LEASE  |

**SUBJECT: LAND LAW INCLUDING TENANCY SYSTEM**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SL NO** | **NAME OF THE STUDENTS** | **TOPICS** |
| 01. | ARPITA SARKARSUBHAM ROYNAVNEET YANG LAMA | Land Reforms Pre & Post Independence: A Comparative Study of India's Land Reforms |
| 02. | SHILPA ACHARJEEANAMIKA DASNAVED ANJUM HASHMI | Examine the Causes and failure of the Land reforms measures in India |
| 03. | DARUL ISLAMMD.SHAKILUR RAHAMANPREM KANTA DAS | Evaluate the Rights of the Raiyats under the West Bengal Land Reforms Act 1955 |
| 04. | RITUSMITA SAHABEAUTY BEGUMUDAY SANKAR ROY | A Case Study of Bisan Singh V Khajan Singh AIR 1958SC838 |
| 05. | PUJA BHATTACHARJEESUBHAM MOHANTARAJU BARMAN | Throw light upon the Restriction on the Alienation of the Land by Schedule tribe |
| 06. | SUVOM ROYHIMANSHU RAJDEBALINA SAHA | A Case study of the Right and Restrictions of the Bargardars under the WBLR Act 1955 |
| 07. | DONA BISWASPRIYA MONDAL | A Critical Analysis of the Ceiling Area and its Exemptions in the Lights of the Judicial Pronouncement |
| 08. | SAHIL PRASAD GUPTA | Throw light upon the land Reform Measure in West Bengal: With Special Reference to Operation Barga  |
| 09. | AMIT MALAKARDIPA SARKAR | Comment upon the Power of the Revenue Officer to set Aside the Improper Transfer by Raiyat Belonging to Schedule Tribe. |
| 10. | SHREYA CHAKRABORTYSRIJITA MOITRAPAYEL MITRA | Throw light upon the Principles of the Distribution of the Land under the WBLR Act 1955 |
| 11. | APARNA KUMARI JHAPARIDHI BAGHWARPRIYANKA SAHANI | Critically Analyze the Effects of Pre-emption with the help of case laws. |
| 12. | SANCHITA SENSORMISTHA ROYPRITAM GHOSH | Analyze the Provision relating to the termination the Bargardars. |
| 13. | SANJAY KUMAR SAHANIPUJA SINGHSURAJ DAS | Analyze the Provision relating to Fair Rent under the West Bengal Premises Tenancy Act 1997 |
| 14. | JOGESH KUMAR KHAITANLAKHAN PRASAD | Critically Analyze the Old and New Definition of the term “Personal Cultivation” with Relevant Case Laws |
| 15. | TANMAY GUHANIOGIPRASANTA BARMANNABARUPAM DAS | Throw Light Upon the Grounds of Eviction available against the tenant Under the West Bengal Premises Tenancy Act 1997 |
| 16 | SARIKA BHAGATRUPAM SINGHSHIVAM AGARWAL | Kedarnath Yadav V State of West Bengal & others  |
| 17. | SACHIN KHAWASNIKET AGARWALRAJ CHIRANA | Basanti Bala V Ramakrishna (1985)2 CHN 232 |
| 18. | SOHAIL MAHTABSOPHIA SUMIAJIT KUMAR MAHATO | Analyze the Rights of the Tenants under the WBPT Act 1997 |
| 19. | SIMRAN HASSANPAPI PANI ROYSAMRIDHI CHOWDHARY | A Comprehensive Study upon the Land Reforms in India. |
| 20. | KHRUKU SHIJOHJINYA SAHANUPUR SINGHA | Analyze the Provisions relating to the Land acquisition under the WBLA  |
| 21. | RIYA PAULBARNITA SINHA ROYPRIYANKA SHA | A Study upon the Obligation of the ‘Land Lord ‘ and ‘Tenant’ under the West Bengal Premises Tenancy Act 1997 |
| 22. | MOHANA CHAKROBORTYASTHA LAMAWANGYEL TASHI SHERPAKAJAL SINGH  | A Study into the Development Area Land under the BLRO in Kalimpong  |
| 24. | SUBHRAJYOTI DATTAABHISHEK AGARWALBIBEK SARKARSNEHA DEY | Evaluate the Tenant’s Entitlement to Restoration and Compensation under West Bengal Premises Tenancy Act, 1997 |
| 25. | AJGAR ALIPREM KUMARMEGHA BHADURI | Analyze the provisions relating to partition on holding among co sharer of Raiyat. |
| 26. | ROSHNI MALIABHIJIT MANDALPESHWANI TIWARI | Empirical Study the Method of Providing Compensation by the Government to the Land and the Livelihood Losers of Shivmandir Area for Asian Highways II  |
| 27. | GOUTAM DEBNATHSONALI PRIYAABINASH CHOWMAN | Critically Analyze the Role of the BLRO and the services provided by them :An Empirical study into Matigara Block  |
| 28. | RITU HALDERDEVANSH AGARWALSANGITA AGARWAL | Highlight on the Importance of the Awareness of the Public about the Online application like ‘JomirTothya’ /Banglarbhumi in getting the Information of Land Records  |
| 29. | MD.MUBASSIR AQUILMONNAF ALI MIAHBHAWANA BISWAKARMA | Critical Analysis into the Management of the Land Under the West Bengal Land Reforms Act 1955 |
| 30. | JEMEE KHATUNSUJOY ROYRIYANKA PAUL | Elucidate upon the Rights of Raiyat |
| 31. | SAIDUL ISLAMSAHASRANGSHU DASAAKASH KUMAR GUPTA | Discuss the procedure of ‘Registering a land under WBLR Act 1955’ .Draft a Deed of Sale for the Registration of Land  |
| 32. | MD.MIJANPUR RAHAMANJULI MAITYRAJENDRA KRISHNAANIMITRA ROY  | Impact of the Prevention of Illegal quarrying of the Sand from the Balason River on the life of the Local People : An Empirical Study |
| 33. | ABHISHEK GHOSHSUCHITRA ROYSACHIN KUMAR ROY | Critically Analyze the all kinds of Land Reforms Programs taken up by state of West Bengal. |
| 34. | ISHMEET SONDHIPAPIYA SENPALASH ROY | Comparative Analysis of Compensatory Mechanism for acquisition of land under the Land Acquisition act, 1894 and right to fair Compensation and transparency in land acquisition, rehabilitation and resettlement act, 2013 also known as land acquisition act, 2013) |
| 35. | ANUP MITRABIKASH RAIGOURAB HAZRA | Impact of Kedar Nath Yadav Vs State of West Bengal & Ors on Land Acquisition Laws West Bengal. |
| 36 | PRITILATA DASMANOJ KUMAR ROYBIKI DASNILIMA ROYPRASANTA BARMAN  | Problems faced by the Schedule Tribe Raiyats in alienating their land to the Non-Schedule Tribe person : Do An Empirical Research in area of Siliguri/Kalimpong District  |

**SUBJECT: HEALTH LAW**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **S/NO.** | **NAME** | **TOPICS** |
| 1 | ARPITA SARKAR& SUBHAM ROY | RIGHT TO HEALTH WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO PART III AND PART IV UNDER INDIAN CONSTITUTION |
| 2 | NAVNEET YANG LAMA& SHILPA ACHARJEE | DUTIES AND LIABILITIES OF EMPLOYER IN CASE OF INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENTS WITH LANDMARK CASE LAWS. |
| 3 | PALASH ROYNAVED ANJUM HASHMI | ROLE OF JUDICIARY FOR PROMOTION AND PROTECTION OF RIGHT TO HEALTH IN INDIA WITH RELEVANT CASE LAWS. |
| 4 | DARUL ISLAM& MD SHAKILUR RAHAMAN | CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON NATIONAL HEALTH POLICY 2017 |
| 5 | PREM KANTA DAS& RITUSMITA SAHA | CASE ANALYSIS ON*BANDHUAMUKTIMORCHA V. UNION OF INDIA* AIR 1997 SC 2218 |
| 6 | BEAUTY BEGUM& UDAY SANKAR ROY | DUTIES AND LIABILITIES OF STATE IN CASE OF RAIL, AIR AND INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENTS |
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