

**LEGAL WRITING - II**  
**5 YEARS BA. LLB SEM II A**  
**PRACTICAL TEACHER: Ms. Mingma D. Sherpa**

**Questions:**

**Q1.** Vikram Kumar, aged 32 years, a resident of Checkpost Colony, Siliguri, has been arrested from a nearby jewelry shop by the police on the charges of theft under **Section 303 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023**. Draft a bail application in the District Magistrate's Court in Siliguri for the bail of Vikram Kumar.

**Q2.** Asish Shah, aged 40 years, a resident of Champasari, Siliguri, has been arrested by the police and is in jail for 8 months on the following charges:

- **Criminal intimidation under Section 351 of BNS**
- **Intentional insult to provoke breach of peace under Section 352 of BNS**
- **Other offenses under Section 353 of BNS**

The bail application is under consideration in the Court of District Magistrate, Siliguri. Draft a bail bond regarding the conditions and security put by the court in this case.

**Q3.** Mr. Ramesh Kumar, aged 45 years, a resident of Shiv Mandir, Siliguri, is facing disturbances caused by his neighbors Santanu (27), Trinath (31), Gautam (34), and Basu (40), who play loud music every night, making the environment unlivable. He tried talking to them, but they continued their behavior. He now wants to lodge a police complaint. Draft a police complaint for the given situation.

**Q4.** Mrs. Manisha Dutta has been summoned to court for a hearing on 25.06.2023 regarding a case involving drunken driving. However, her father passed away on 12.06.2023, and the last rites ceremony is on the same date (25.06.2023). Draft a **Petition under 355 of BNSS (Section 205 of CrPC)** to request the court to grant her leave from appearing in court.

**Q5.** Mrs. Sakshi Parmar, a resident of Siliguri, married Mr. Harshit Parmar, a resident of Kolkata, on 9th November 2021 under the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

After one year of marriage, she was harassed by Harshit and his relatives for dowry. She filed a complaint under **Section 85 of BNS (previously 498A IPC)** before the District Magistrate Court at Alipore, Kolkata. After his parents were released on bail, they asked Sakshi to leave the matrimonial home. Now, Sakshi wants her case to be transferred to the District Magistrate's Court in Siliguri so that it is convenient for her to appear for the case. What is a Transfer Petition? Draft a Transfer petition in regards to the facts above.

**Q6.** Mr. Ranjeet Ghosh, aged 43 years, a resident of Shiv Mandir, working at an administrative post in an educational institute, has applied for a loan of Rs. 40 lakhs in HDFC Bank, Shivmandir Branch. One of the conditions to avail the loan is the submission of a **Search Report**. Draft a **Search Report** of the property to be submitted to the bank.

**Q7.** Analyze a **unanimous judgment** pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and write its summary.

**Q8.** Analyze a **non-unanimous judgment** pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, detailing the majority opinion and minority opinion. Also, explain the value of the **dissenting opinion** in the judgment.

## **PRACTICAL ASSIGNMENT TOPICS**

### **5YR. B.A LL.B. SEM II (B)**

#### **LEGAL WRITING – II**

**Subject teacher- Debasrita Choudhury**

- 1.** Kabir Singh, aged 27 years, a resident of Checkpost, Siliguri has been arrested from a nearby jewellery shop by the police on the charges of theft under Section 303 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. Explain what is bail and draft a bail application in the court of District Magistrate of Siliguri (under Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023) for the bail of Kabir Singh.

- 2.** Barfi Kumar, aged 30 years, a resident of Champasari, Siliguri has been arrested by the police and is in jail for 8 months on the charges of criminal intimidation under section 351(1) BNS, intentional insult with intent to provoke breach of peace under section 352 BNS and other offences mentioned under section 353 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. The bail application is under consideration in the court of District Magistrate, Siliguri. Explain the concept of bail bond and surety. Why are the conditions set up in the bail bond important? Draft a bail bond regarding the conditions and sureties put by the court in this case.
- 3.** Mohammed Reza, aged 40 years is a resident of Siliguri. He is working as a medical representative in Siliguri. Close to his house, there are some people living namely Rahat, aged 27 years, Vikas, aged 31 years, Gautam, aged 29 years and Rehan, aged 34 years. Every night, these four people organize parties and also invite other people and play music on loudspeakers creating an unpleasant environment for Mr. Reza. On several occasions, Mr. Reza tried to talk to them regarding his discomfort due to loud music but it was of no use. Finally, he decided to lodge a complaint to the police. Explain what is a Police Complaint and Draft a complaint for the same.
- 4.** Mr. Rahul Moitra, aged 39 years, resident of Matigara, Siliguri, was arrested by the police on accusation of Murder of one of his associates, Late Mr. Ashutosh Pratap who was a partner in his firm XYZ Builders and Associates. The police filed the chargesheet and the case in under-trial. Subsequently, Rahul was granted bail by the District Judge on the condition to present him in personal whenever the hearing takes place. The next hearing of the case is due on 22/06/2025 and on the same day Rahul has to visit Kolkata for an emergency surgery of his ailing mother. What is the concept of exemption petition from personal appearance and why it is granted by the courts? Draft a petition for Mr. Rahul under Section 228 of BNSS, claiming exemption from personal appearance on the said date of hearing.

- 5.** Mrs. Simran De, a resident of Siliguri was married to Mr. Abhay De, resident of Kolkata on February 14<sup>th</sup> 2020 as per the rites and rituals of Hindu Marriage Act. After one year of marriage, Simran was subjected to harassment by Abhay and his relatives and demand of dowry was put forth by them. On this Simran filed a complaint before the District Magistrate Court for cruelty under Section 85 and 86 of BNS. Abhay and his family members were arrested and the case is on trial. Later on, parents of Abhay were released on bail and as soon as they arrived home, they asked Simran to leave her matrimonial house. Simran came to Siliguri to live with her parents and has to visit Kolkata on every date of hearing which is becoming tough for her due to financial constraints. Simran wants her case to be transferred before the Court of District Magistrate in Siliguri so that it is convenient for her to appear for the case. What is a Transfer Petition and why is it used? Draft a Transfer Petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta for Simran under Section 447 of BNSS.
- 6.** Mr. Siddharth Malhotra, aged 29 years, a resident of Baghdogra, Siliguri, working as a consultant with a private company, has applied for a loan of amount Twenty lacs in HDFC Bank, Shiv Mandir Branch. To avail the loan, one of the conditions is the submission of Search Report regarding his property which he owns in Baghdogra, Siliguri. What is a Search Report and how it is used? Draft a Search Report of the property to be submitted in the bank.
- 7.** Anup Kumar, aged 38 years, resident of Siliguri and Deep Srivastava, aged 34 years, resident of Siliguri, are working as partners in the firm i.e., XYZ and Associates, essentially dealing with the manufacture of machine parts and tools. Due to some business requirement Anup has to visit Mumbai for a duration of Three months and in his absence, Deep will be responsible for all the affairs of the firm. Explain the concept of Power of Attorney and state its significance. Draft a Power of Attorney in favor of Deep for the duration of three months.

8. What do you understand by the term 'Judgment' in a case and what is a judgment of unanimous nature? Analyse any judgment of unanimous nature pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and summarise it.
9. Analyse a judgment of non-unanimous nature pronounced by the Supreme Court of India and dissect its Majority opinion and Minority opinion. Write its summary. Also, state what the value of dissenting opinion is, in a judgment.

**NAME OF THE PRACTICAL: LEGAL WRITING - II**

**SEMESTER: SEM II 5 YEARS BBA-LLB**

**NAME OF THE PRACTICAL TEACHER: Ms. Esther Pradhan**

Q1. Vikram Kumar aged 32 years, a resident of Checkpost Colony, Siliguri has been arrested from a nearby Jewelry shop by the police on the charges of theft under Section 378 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. Explain what bail is and draft a bail application in the District Magistrate's Court in Siliguri for the bail of Rajesh Naiyar.

Q2. Asish Shah, aged 40 years, a resident of Champasari, Siliguri has been arrested by the police and is in jail for 8 months on the following charges

- i. criminal intimidation under section 503 of IPC
- ii. Intentional insult to provoke breach of peace u/s 504 of IPC
- iii. Other offences mentioned u/s 505 of IPC

The bail application is under consideration in the Court of District Magistrate, Siliguri. Explain the concept of bail bond and surety.

Why are the conditions setup in the bail bond important? Draft a bond regarding the conditions and security put by the court in this case.

Q3. Mr. Ramesh Kumar, aged 45 years is a resident of Shiv Mandir, Siliguri. Mr Ramesh is working as a medical representative in Siliguri. Close to his house there are some people living nearby namely Santanu, aged 27; Trinath aged 31 years; Gautam aged 34 years and Basu, aged 40 years. Every night, these four individuals cause a ruckus by playing loud

music on a loudspeaker and create an unlivable environment for Mr. Ramesh. He tried talking to them but it was of no use as they would repeat their behaviour over and over again. He has now decided to lodge a complaint to the police. Explain what is a Police Complaint and Draft a complaint for the given situation.

Q4. Mrs. Manisha Dutta has been summoned to the court for a hearing on 25.06.2023 regarding a case involving drunken driving. However, her father passes away on 12.06.2023 and she must be present at her father's last rites ceremony which is on the same date (25.06.2023). Explain the concept of Exemption petition from personal appearance and why it is granted by the courts. Draft a Petition under Sec 205 of CrPC to request the court to grant her leave from appearing in court.

Q5. Mrs. Sakshi Parmar, a resident of Siliguri was married to Mr. Harshit Parmar, a resident of Kolkata on 9th November, 2021 as per the rites and rituals of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. After one year of marriage, Sakshi was subjected to harassment by Harshit and his relatives for demand of dowry. Sakshi filed a complaint under Sec 498A of the IPC before the District Magistrate Court at Alipore, Kolkata. Harshit and his family members were arrested and the case was put on trial. But as soon as his parents were released on bail, they arrived home and asked Sakshi to leave the matrimonial home. Now, Swati wants her case to be transferred before the District Magistrate's Court in Siliguri so that it is convenient for her to appear for the case. What is a Transfer Petition? Draft a Transfer petition in regards to the facts above.

Q6. Mr. Ranjeet Ghosh, aged 43 years, a resident of Shiv Mandir, working at an administrative post in an educational institute has applied for a loan amount of Rs. 40 lacs in HDFC Bank, Shivmandir Branch. To avail the loan one of the conditions is the submission of a Search Report. Explain the concept of Search Report. Draft a Search Report of the property to be submitted in the bank.

Q7. What do you understand by the term 'Judgement' in a case? What is a judgement of unanimous nature? Analyze a judgment of unanimous nature pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and write its summary.

Q8. Analyze a judgment of Non-Unanimous nature pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and analyse its Majority opinion and Minority opinion and write the summary for the same. Also state the value of the dissenting opinion in a judgement.

Prescribed Format for the Assignment: -

1. Cover Page
2. Acknowledgement
3. Table of Contents
4. Questions and Answers

**NAME OF THE SUBJECT: LEGAL WRITING II**

**5 YEARS B.COM. LL. B SEMESTER II**

**NAME OF THE SUBJECT TEACHER: Ms. BINNU TAMANG**

Q1. Rohit Sharma, aged 30 years, a resident of Bidhan Nagar, Siliguri, has been arrested by the police from a local electronics store on the charges of theft under Section 303 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita. He is accused of stealing a mobile phone worth ₹50,000. The police have taken him into custody, and he is currently lodged in Tihar Jail. His family seeks to apply for bail in the District Magistrate's Court in New Delhi. *Explain what bail is and draft a bail application in the District Magistrate's Court in Siliguri for the bail of Rajesh Naiyar.*

Q2. Mangesh Rao, aged 39 years, a resident of Salugara, Siliguri has been arrested by the police and is in jail for 8 months on the following charges

- i. criminal intimidation under section 351(1) of BNS
- ii. Intentional insult to provoke breach of peace u/s 352 of BNS
- iii. Other offences mentioned u/s 353 of BNS

The bail application is under consideration in the Court of District Magistrate, Siliguri.

*Explain the concept of bail bond and surety. Why are the conditions setup in the bail bond important? Draft a bond regarding the conditions and security put by the court in this case.*

Q3. Mr. Kamless Lunar, aged 45 years is a resident of Methibari, Siliguri. Mr Kamless is working as a medical representative in Siliguri. Close to his house there are some people living nearby namely Santanu, aged 27; Trinath aged 31 years; Gautam aged 34 years and Basu, aged 40 years. Every night, these four individuals cause a ruckus by playing loud music on a loudspeaker and create an unlivable environment for Mr. Ramesh. He tried talking to them but it was of no use as they would repeat their behaviour over and over again. He has now decided to lodge a complaint to the police. *Explain what is a Police Complaint and Draft a complaint for the given situation.*

Q4. Mrs. Majulika Dholna has been summoned to the court for a hearing on 25.03.2025 regarding a case involving drunken driving. However, her father passes away on 12.03.2025 and she must be present at her father's last rites ceremony which is on the same date (25.03.2025). *Explain the concept of Exemption petition from personal appearance and why it is granted by the courts. Draft a Petition under Sec 228 of BNSS to request the court to grant her leave from appearing in court.*

Q5. Mrs. Sakshi Parmar, a resident of Siliguri was married to Mr. Harshit Parmar, a resident of Kolkata on 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2021 as per the rites and rituals of the Hindu



Marriage Act, 1955. After one year of marriage, Sakshi was subjected to harassment by Harshit and his relatives for demand of dowry. Sakshi filed a complaint under Sec 85 of the BNS before the District Magistrate Court at Alipore, Kolkata. Harshit and his family members were arrested and the case was put on trial. But as soon as his parents were released on bail, they arrived home and asked Sakshi to leave the matrimonial home. Now, Swati wants her case to be transferred before the District Magistrate's Court in Siliguri so that it is convenient for her to appear for the case. *What is a Transfer Petition? Draft a Transfer petition in regards to the facts above*

Q6. Mr. Ranjeet Ghosh, aged 43 years, a resident of Shiv Mandir, working at an administrative post in an educational institute has applied for a loan amount of Rs. 40 lacs in HDFC Bank, Shivmandir Branch. To avail the loan one of the conditions is the submission of a Search Report. *Explain the concept of Search Report. Draft a Search Report of the property to be submitted in the bank.*

Q7. What do you understand by the term 'Judgement' in a case? What is a judgement of unanimous nature? Analyze a judgment of unanimous nature pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and write its summary.

Q8. Analyze a judgment of Non-Unanimous nature pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and analyse its Majority opinion and Minority opinion and write the summary for the same. Also state the value of the dissenting opinion in a judgement.

Q9. Priya Sharma has been arrested for a minor offense. The court has granted her bail on the condition that she submits a bail bond ensuring she will appear for all hearings. Her cousin, Rajesh, offers to stand as surety. Explain the concept of a Bail Bond and why courts impose conditions on it. Draft a bail bond for this case.

Q10. Vikrant Sharma, a senior executive, is summoned in a cheque bounce case. Due to frequent work travel, he wants to file a Petition under Section 228 BNSS seeking exemption from personal court appearances. What is a Petition under Section 228 BNSS? Under what circumstances can an accused seek exemption from personal appearance in court? Draft a Petition under Section 228 BNSS for the abovestated case.

Q11. ABC Ltd. has contracted PQR Logistics to deliver goods worth ₹50 lakhs. The logistics company is required to sign an indemnity bond, ensuring compensation for any damage or loss during transportation. What is an indemnity bond, and how does it protect parties in a contract? Who is legally responsible under an indemnity bond? Draft an indemnity bond for this case.

Q12. Mohan Singh, a truck driver, was caught over-speeding and now wants to plead guilty to the offense to avoid prolonged legal proceedings. What is a petition to plead guilty, and how does it help the accused? Can a court reject a plea of guilty? Draft a petition to plead guilty for Mohan's case.

Q13. Rohit Sinha, a businessman, is falsely accused of cheating under Section 318(4) BNS. Fearing arrest, he wants to file an anticipatory bail application in the District Court. What is anticipatory bail, and when can it be granted? What factors does the court consider before granting anticipatory bail? Draft an anticipatory bail application for Rohit.

**NOTE: Students are directed to write the definition and explain each topics with proper format.**

Prescribed Format for the Assignment: -

1. Cover Page
2. Acknowledgement

3. Table of Contents
4. Questions and Answers.

**SUBJECT: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

**QUESTIONS FOR THE PRACTICAL PAPER AND THE PROJECT WRITING**

**5 YEARS BA LL. B, SECTION: A SEMESTER IV**

**Subject Teacher: Dr. Mangal Raj**

**Part A: Theoretical Part**

1. What is Research? What are the various forms of research?

- Qualitative Research
- Quantitative Research
- Doctrinal Research
- Empirical Research

2. Define the following:

- Research Problem
  - Its importance
  - Its relevance
- Research Questions
  - Its importance
- Research Objectives
  - Its importance

3. What is Hypothesis? How a hypothesis is tested? Describe the different types of Hypothesis.

- Descriptive Hypothesis
- Rational Hypothesis
- Explanatory Hypothesis
- Null Hypothesis

Alternate Hypothesis

4. What is sampling? Describe the various types of Sampling?

- Probability Sampling
- Non-probability Sampling

5. What is citation? Write down the various citation methods followed by the Indian Law Institute?

6. What are the ethics that are to be followed while doing a research?

7. What is the importance of literature review?

8. What is bibliography?

### **Part B: Practical Part**

**Prepare a Synopsis on the topics allotted to individual students.**

**The Synopsis must include the following:**

- Title
- Evolution of the problem

- Statement of the problem
- Hypothesis/ Research question or both
- Scope of the study
- Limitation of the study
- Objective of the study
- Significance of the study
- Research methodology
- Footnote model adopted (Indian Law Institute method)
- Chapterisation
- Literature review (at-least 5)
- Literature survey/ Bibliography

**SUBJECT: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND**  
**PROJECT WRITING**

**ASSIGNMENT**

**B.A.L.L.B SEMESTER IV, SECTION: A**

<b>SL.NO.</b>	<b>NAME</b>	<b>TOPICS</b>
1	VISHAL DEBNATH	<b>RIGHTS OF THE UNDER-TRIAL PRISONERS IN INDIA: A STUDY</b>
2	MD AJIJ ALAM	<b>PROTECTION OF THE RIGHTS OF THE MIGRANT WORKERS: A STUDY OF THE INDIAN SCENARIO DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC</b>
3	PRITAM DAS	<b>RIGHT TO RELIGION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO HIJAB CASE (Resham &amp; Anr v. State of Karnataka, 10 feb 2022)</b>
4	SPANDAN CHHETRI	<b>RIGHT TO BE FORGOTTEN IN INDIA: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES</b>
5	VIKRAM KANODIA	<b>ANTI-DEFECTION LAWS IN INDIA: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES</b>
6	NITESH KUMAR BHAGAT	<b>SECULARISM IN INDIA: CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTS</b>
7	PRIYANGSHU NANDI	<b>A STUDY ON THE RIGHT AGAINST EXPLOITATION IN INDIA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE PLIGHTS OF THE DALIT COMMUNITY</b>
8	ANGEL PRADHAN	<b>FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO SPEEDY TRIAL IN INDIA: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES</b>
9	SUBHAM BHAN	<b>UNIFORM CIVIL CODE IN INDIA: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES</b>

10	PURBALI SENGUPTA	<b>CHILD TRAFFICKING IN INDIA: ISSUES, CHALLENGES AND WAY FORWARD</b>
11	ADITYA BARMAN	<b>SEXUAL HARASSMENT OF WOMEN AT WORKPLACE: A STUDY OF THE INDIAN LEGAL REGIME</b>
12	ISHITA DAS	<b>RIGHT TO FREE AND COMPULSORY EDUCATION IN INDIA: A SOCIO-LEGAL STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO SILIGURI</b>

13	ANISH SINGHA	<b>MEDIA TRIAL IN INDIA: ISSUES AND ITS CONSTITUTIONALITY</b>
14	RITU BARMAN	<b>A STUDY ON THE ROLE OF JUDICIARY IN CONTROLLING POST-POLL VIOLENCE IN INDIA</b>
15	PRIYONTI DAS	<b>THE ROLE OF JUDICIARY IN PRESERVING THE ENVIRONMENT WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE PERFORMANCE OF NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL: AN EVALUATIVE STUDY</b>
16	SAIKAT GHOSH	<b>VACARIOUS LIABILITY OF STATE UNDER CONSTITUTION AND TORTS: ISSUES AND DEVELOPMENTS</b>
17	RISHIKA TAMANG	<b>EFFECT OF COVID-19 IN THE FIELD OF TOURISM: A STUDY OF THE INDIAN LAWS</b>
18	ABHISHEK SINGH	<b>FREEDOM TO CHOOSE PARTNER: AN ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE OF JUDICIARY IN INDIA</b>
19	ANIK BHOWMIK	<b>THE CONCEPT OF LIVE-IN RELATIONSHIPS IN INDIA: AN ANALYSIS OF THE SUPREME COURT'S VIEW</b>
20	BHASWATI PRAMANIK	<b>RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF RELIGION IN INDIA: AN ANALYSIS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE CASE OF Indian Young Lawyers Association v. State of Kerala, (2019) 11 SCC 1.</b>
21	NEHARIKA CHETTRI SINHA	<b>RIGHT TO FREE LEGAL AID: A STUDY OF THE INDIAN LEGAL FRAMEWORK</b>



22	SAYAN CHAMPARAMARY	<b>CUSTODIAL DEATH IN INDIA: A GRAVE VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHT</b>
23	RIYA SARKAR	<b>HUMAN TRAFFICKING FOR PROSTITUTION: A STUDY OF THE INDIAN LEGAL FRAMEWORK</b>
24	ARNESHA BISWAS	<b>RIGHTS OF THE ARRESTED PERSONS IN INDIA: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS</b>
25	AYUSH GURUNG	<b>REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS OF THE WOMEN IN INDIA: A STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERNCE TO SILIGURI</b>
26	SHRUTI PRASAD	<b>RIGHT TO HUMAN DIGNITY OF THE RAPE SURVIVORS: A SOCIO-LEGAL STUDY</b>
27	SUBHASREE BISWAS	<b>PROHIBITION OF SEX DETERMINATION TEST IN INDIA: AN ANALYSIS OF THE INDIAN LEGAL REGIME</b>
28	MEGHNA DAS	<b>RIGHT NOT TO DIE: A STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE JUDGMENT OF COMMON CAUSE V. UNION OF INDIA</b>
29	AFSANA KHATUN	<b>RIGHT AGAINST CHILD LABOUR IN INDIA: A SOCIO- LEGAL STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO SILIGURI</b>
30	PUJA ROY	<b>RIGHTS OF THE WOMEN EMPLOYED IN UNORGANISED SECTORS IN INDIA: A SOCIO-LEGAL STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO SILIGURI</b>
31	TASKIN JAHAN	<b>A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE POCSO ACT AND ITS</b>
		<b>IMPLEMENTATION</b>

32	SAINI GUHA	<b>RESTRICTION ON MOVEMENT UNDER THE DISASTER MANAGEMENT ACT IN INDIA: AN ANALYSIS OF ITS IMPLEMENTATION</b>
33	SIMRAN PRADHAN	<b>RIGHTS OF THE TRANSGENDERS IN INDIA: A SOCIO- LEGAL STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO SILIGURI</b>
34	MALLIKA MANDAL	<b>FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND EXPRESSION VIS-À-VIS MEDIA TRIAL: A STUDY</b>
35	RUDRA PRATAP MANDAL	<b>CAPACITY OF A MINOR IN CONTRACT: AN ANALYSIS OF THE INDIAN LEGAL REGIME</b>
36	KAUSTAV BHATTACHARJEE	<b>DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AGAINST MARRIED WOMEN IN INDIA: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES</b>
37	SIMMI GURUNG	<b>DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AGAINST OLD-AGED PERSONS: A STUDY OF THE INDIAN LEGAL FRAMEWORK</b>
38	SHAHNSAH ALAM	<b>RIGHTS OF THE SEX-WORKERS IN INDIA: A SOCIO- LEGAL STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERNCE TO SILIGURI</b>
39	DIPANKAR DAS	<b>AN ANALYSIS OF THE CRIMINAL LAW AMENDMENT OF 2018 WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE MENACE GANG RAPE IN INDIA</b>
40	ESMIKA CHETTRI	<b>FRAUDULENT ONLINE TRANSACTIONS: A STUDY OF THE INDIAN LEGAL FRAMEWORK</b>
41	MANDIRA SHIL	<b>BREACH OF PRIVACY BY ONLINE SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES</b>

42	ASHMITA MUKHIA	<b>RIGHT AGAINST BONDED LABOUR IN INDIA: A SOCIO- LEGAL ANALYSIS</b>
43	SWECHA SHARMA	<b>PLIGHTS OF THE ACID-ATTACK SURVIVORS IN INDIA: AN ANALYSIS OF THE LEGAL REMEDIES</b>
44	DEBDEEP BONDHOPADHYAY	<b>DECRIMINALIZING SECTION 377 OF IPC: AN ANALYSIS</b>
45	MANDIRA KUNDU	<b>RIGHT TO PRIVATE DEFENCE AGAINST BODY AND PROPERTY</b>
46	SURESH RANA	<b>RIGHT TO PRIVACY IN THE DIGITAL ERA: A STUDY</b>
47	TSHETUL SHERPA	<b>DALIT WOMEN'S RIGHTS IN INDIA: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS</b>
48	MRS JHARNA ISHITA DEVADAS	<b>SPECIAL LAWS FOR WOMEN IN INDIA: AN ANALYSIS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE PROTECTION OF WOMEN AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ACT, 2005</b>
49	MAHEK THAPA	<b>CONCEPT OF HORIZONTAL APPLICATION OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS: AN ANALYSIS</b>
50	SUBASHNA GHIMIRAY	<b>HUMAN DIGNITY OF THE PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES IN INDIA: A SOCIO-LEGAL STUDY</b>
51	GOURAV SEN	<b>SEXUAL HARASSMENT OF WOMEN AT WORKPLACE: A STUDY OF THE INDIAN LEGAL REGIME</b>
52	KHUSHI KUMARI	<b>ORDINANCE MAKING POWER OF THE PRESIDENT IN INDIA: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY</b>
53	KAUSHIKI KAR	<b>FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO PROTEST: CHALLENGES, ISSUES AND WAY FORWARD</b>

54	NASEM ALAM	<b>DECRIMINALIZATION OF ADULTERY IN INDIA: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE SUPREME COURT VERDICT</b>
55	PUJA MALI	<b>GENERAL EXCEPTION UNDER BHARTIYA NAYAY SANHITA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO ACT DONE IN GOOD FAITH</b>
56	ANUBHAB PAUL	<b>DOWRY PROHIBITION LAWS IN INDIA: A SOCIO-LEGAL EXAMINATION OF THEIR IMPLEMENTATION AND EFFECTIVENESS</b>
57	SAYANTIKA GOPE	<b>HUMAN RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED IN THE INDIAN CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM: LEGAL PROTECTIONS AND PRACTICAL CHALLENGES</b>
58	UDAYAN SARKAR	<b>HUMAN RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED IN THE INDIAN CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM: LEGAL PROTECTIONS AND PRACTICAL CHALLENGES</b>
59	PRENITA DUTTA	<b>TRAFFICKING OF WOMEN AND CHILDREN IN INDIA: LEGAL FRAMEWORK, CHALLENGES, AND REHABILITATION MEASURES</b>

**B.A. LL.B. SEMESTER IV [SECTION-B]  
SUBJECT: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

**Subject Teacher: Ms. Tripti Yonzon**

**Part A: Theoretical Part**

1. What is Research? What are the various forms of research?

- Qualitative Research
- Quantitative Research
- Doctrinal Research
- Empirical Research

2. Define the following:

- Research Problem
  - Its importance
  - Its relevance
- Research Questions
  - Its importance
- Research Objectives
  - Its importance

3. What is Hypothesis? How a hypothesis is tested? Describe the different types of Hypothesis.

- Descriptive Hypothesis
- Rational Hypothesis
- Explanatory Hypothesis
- Null Hypothesis

Alternate Hypothesis

4. What is sampling? Describe the various types of Sampling?

- Probability Sampling
- Non-probability Sampling

5. What is citation? Write down the various citation methods followed by

the Indian Law Institute?

6. What are the ethics that are to be followed while doing a research?

7. What is the importance of literature review?

8. What is bibliography?

### **Part B: Practical Part**

**Prepare a Synopsis  
on the topics  
allotted to  
individual students.**

**The Synopsis must  
include the  
following:**

- Title
- Evolution of the problem
- Statement of the problem
- Hypothesis/ Research question or both
- Scope of the study
- Limitation of the study
- Objective of the study
- Significance of the study
- Research methodology
- Footnote model adopted (Indian Law Institute method)
- Chapterisation
- Literature review (at-least 5)

- Literature survey/ Bibliography

Sl. No.	Student Name	Assigned Topic
1	Bhumika Basnet	The role of community policing in crime prevention in Siliguri
2	Aryan Mehrotra	A legal study on refugee rights and rehabilitation policies in Siliguri
3	Riya Bhowmick	A Survey on the Implementation of the Forest Rights Act, 2006 in Lataguri
4	Sanchita Ghosh	Cybercrime trends and law enforcement strategies in Siliguri
5	Jaya Sarkar	A Study on the Rights of the Tea Plantation Workers in Gulma Tea Estate
6	Sneha Kumari Prasad	Domestic violence cases in Darjeeling: A legal perspective
7	Depanjana Das	Consumer protection rights and their awareness among local businesses in Siliguri
8	Arjun Paul	A Critical Study on the Decline of Jute Industry in Kadobari, Jalpaiguri
9	Rani Parveen	A Study on the Implementation of NEP, 2020 in the Government Schools in Matigara
10	Pranay Sarki	A study on the effectiveness of labor laws in Siliguri's unorganized sector
11	Gargi Chatterjee	Legal challenges in implementing wildlife conservation laws in Mahananda Wildlife Sanctuary
12	Sneha Agarwal	The impact of cross-border smuggling laws on local traders in Siliguri
13	Debalina Bhowal	Rights of the Women Tea Plantation Workers in Gulma Tea Estate
14	Taniya Chowdhury	A Study on the Rights of the Tea Plantation Workers in Gulma Tea Estate
15	Debaditya Roy	A Critical Study on the Decline of Jute Industry in Belakoba, Jalpaiguri
16	Chandrima Paul	Legal disputes over tea estate land ownership in Darjeeling District
17	Laboni Sarkar	Displacement and rehabilitation policies for indigenous communities in Siliguri
18	Ayush Kumar Darjee	The impact of Indo-Nepal border regulations on trade

		in Siliguri
19	Isha Subba	Customary marriage laws and legal recognition among tribal communities in Darjeeling
20	Turja Saha	Legal awareness among youth regarding cyberbullying in Darjeeling
21	Olimpiya Roy	The effectiveness of anti-deforestation laws in the Darjeeling Hills
22	Kashvi Saraf	Occupational safety and health laws in Darjeeling's tourism industry
23	Hritika Bose	A Survey on the Implementation of the Forest Rights Act, 2006 in Lataguri
24	Dipika Roy	Freedom of speech and expression: A study on media regulation in Darjeeling
25	Selina Kachhwa	A Study on the Implementation of NEP, 2020 in the Government Schools in Salbari
26	Sikriti Acharya	Land acquisition laws and their impact on local communities in Darjeeling
27	Rumi Jha	A Study on the Growing Drug Problem in Siliguri: Challenges and Solutions
28	Malaika Perween	Sexual harassment laws and their implementation in workplaces in Siliguri
29	Gourav Thapa	E-commerce and legal challenges faced by local entrepreneurs in Siliguri
30	Sarnali Deb	Legal regulations governing homestays in Darjeeling and their compliance
31	Swagata Saha	A Survey on the Implementation of the Forest Rights Act, 2006 in Lataguri
32	Nitesh Kumar Roy	A study on inheritance rights and property disputes in Siliguri
33	Shreya Paul	A Critical Study on the Decline of Jute Industry in Mandolghat, Jalpaiguri
34	Joishna Limbu	The impact of the Special Marriage Act on interfaith marriages in Siliguri
35	Md Ranit Sarkar	A Study on the strict implementation of Traffic Laws in Siliguri
36	Alvin Hang Subba	Forest land encroachment and legal interventions in Darjeeling
37	Prayatna Chettri	A study on juvenile delinquency and reformation processes in Siliguri



38	Sweety Roy	A Study on the Growing Drug Problem in Siliguri: Challenges and Solutions
39	Srijita Ghosh	A Study on the Implementation of NEP, 2020 in the Government Schools in Pradhan Nagar, Siliguri
40	Arnisha Yeasmin	A Critical Study on the Decline of the Jute Industry in Raninagar, Jalpaiguri
41	Riya Routh	Right of the Women Tea Plantation Workers in Gulma Tea Estate
42	Yanki Yolmo	A study on tourist safety laws in Siliguri and Darjeeling
43	Priyanka Adhikary	A critical analysis of border security laws and human rights in Darjeeling
44	Md Asif Ali	A study on pollution control laws and their implementation in Siliguri's industrial sector
45	Firdos Rahaman	Legal issues in the adventure tourism sector in North Bengal
46	Gitanjali Majumder	A Study on the Growing Drug Problem in Siliguri: Challenges and Solutions
47	Kasak Bhargava	A study on the Implementation of NEP, 2020 in the Government Schools in SukunaRANI
48	Tanu Kumari Shah	The legal impact of GST laws on small businesses in Darjeeling
49	Nikki Kumari Shah	The legal aspects of police investigations in Darjeeling under the Indian Penal Code
50	Pratiksha Bhujel	A study on the enforcement of anti-trafficking laws in Siliguri
51	BIVEKANANDA BISWAS	Legal awareness and women's property rights in the rural areas of Siliguri
52	SOUMADWIP SAHA	A Survey on the Implementation of the Forest Rights Ariyact, 2006 in Lataguri
53	NEHA BARMAN	The role of the Minimum Wages Act in regulating workers right in Siliguri
54	MD RAJA MURAD	A study on food safety regulations in Siliguri's street food industry
55	ARPITA KUNDU	A Study on the Growing Drug Problem in Siliguri: Challenges and Solutions
56	NANDINI ROY	The right to education and the status of primary education in Siliguri slums
57	SHRADJA THAPA	The right to education and the status of primary

	MANGAR	education in Siliguri slums
--	--------	-----------------------------

ROLL NO.	NAME OF THE STUDENT	TOPICS
----------	---------------------	--------

58	TABASUM PARBIN	A Study on the Growing Drug Problem in Siliguri: Challenges and Solutions
59	ANKITA SAHA	A study on tenancy laws and housing rights in Siliguri
60	ADITYA GOUTAM (Casual	A study on inheritance rights and property disputes in Siliguri

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY BB.A. LL.B., Semester IV**

**Subject Teacher: Mrs Tridipa Sehanobis**

1.	PURNIMA KUMARI	Human Rights Vis-à-vis Gender Justice: An Analytical Study
2.	MAINAK ROY	Study on the Narco Analysis test vis-à-vis Right to Privacy
3.	SAMRAT DUTTA	Study on Possibilities of Uniform Civil Code in India
4.	AISHIK DUTTA BISWAS	Laws as a Tool of Operation or Justice
5.	SABNAM PARVIN	A study of Succession Laws under Hindu & Muslim Jurisprudence
6.	SAMSER ALAM	Muslim Women's Right & Misconceptions: Examine Reality Versus Stereotype
7.	JYOTIRMAYEE DAS	Should a person trying to commit suicide be punished?: Analyzing the intricacies of Law and Morality
8.	AMISHA TIRKEY	Right to Equal Pay for Equal Work: A study of the relevant laws and their Impact
9.	AARIF KHAN	Critically examination of the concept of marriage under the Muslim Law
10.	AKSHAT VERMA	A study of the Jurisprudential Development on the Rights of the partners in live relationship in India
11.	SHREYA DEY SIKDER	Maintenance under Hindu Law: An Analytical Study
12.	VISHAKHA SHAW	Cruelty as a ground of Divorce and a Crime: A Study
13.	KARAN KUMAR	Secularism in India: A Study
14.	SAMIK ROWSAN	One Nation, One Election: A threat to Federalism?
15.	KRISH KUMAR YADAV	Parliamentary form of Government in India and UK: Comparative Study
16.	SUDESHNA BARMAN	Void Marriage under Hindu law: A Study
17.	BIDISHA ROY	Examining Judicial Separation under Hindu Law: A study of the legal and social implications

18.	ARYAN GUPTA	Preventative Detention laws in India: A Critical Study
19.	CHANDRIMA ROY	Abuse of males by females: Need for Gender Neutral Laws
20.	CHANCHAL ROY	The legal and ethical implication of reproductive rights: Comparative study of Indian and US Jurisprudence
21.	JOYDIP BARMAN	Procedure Established by law and Due Process of Law: A study of the development of Indian Jurisprudence
22.	MD CHAND	Constitution and Constitutionalism: A study
23.	BIPRODEEP CHAKRABORTY	Constitutional Morality in Indian Context: Discussion of Relevant Case Laws
24.	LHAKBU TSHERING LEPCHA	Critically examine Right To Speech vis-à-vis Hate Speech
25.	KINGSHUK ROY	Right to Environment in India: A Critical Study
26.	MANAN CHHETRI	Secular Character of Indian Constitution: A Critical Discussion
27.	SHREYA JHA	Alimony in Divorce under Hindu Law: A Study
28.	SITIJ DUTTA	Polygamy under Muslim Law: A Critical Analysis
29.	JUHI GUPTA	Writ Jurisdiction of Supreme Court and Enforcement of Fundamental Rights in India: An Analysis
30.	SONU SARKAR	Right to Equality and Gender Justice: A Study of the Relevant Landmark Judgements in India
31.	TANUJA BHOWMICK	Rights of LGBTQ+ Community: Tracing the Concept of Transformative Constitutionalism in India
32.	SRIJONE DAS	Critically examine The Motor Vehicle Act 1988 along with its latest amendments
33.	MD SAHIL ANSARI	Study of the Farmers Bills and the Current Scenario in India

34.	SUKANYA GHOSH	Critically Examine the Uniform Civil Code and its possible Impact on Indian Society
35.	MEGHA SINGHA	Khula vs Talaq : Addressing Gender inequality in Muslim Divorce Laws in India
36.	SAMAIRA ADHIKARI	Right to equality viz-a-viz Right to freedom of speech in India
37.	SAYEED AFRIDI	Rights of Muslim women in India vs. other Islamic countries: A Comparative Study
38.	SUSHRITA SAHA	Essential Religious Practice Test: An Analysis of its applicability and legality in India
39.	SONALI ROY	Concept of conversion: A comparative study of Muslim law & Hindu law
40.	SOUNAK BHATTACHARYA	The death penalty in India: Ethical & Legal challenges.
41.	SAYAREE CHAKRABORTY	Reservation Policy In India: An Analysis
42.	ARPITA SAHA	The Hindu Widow Remarriage Act 1856: A Critical Examination
43.	AGNIK ACHARJEE	Right to Privacy in India: A Study
44.	DIVYANTA PRADHAN	Rights of LGBTQ+ community: A Study
45.	DIPIKA RUDRA	Marriage Rights of the Same Sex Couples in India vs. Western Countries: A Comparative Study
46.	SOHANDEEP GHOSH	Desertion as a ground of Divorce under Hindu Law: A Critical Appraisal
47.	SHANTAYAN PAUL	The impact of AI on Privacy & Data Protection laws in India: A Study
48.	MD AMANULLAH	Waqf Laws in Transition: Evaluating the 2024 Amendments to the Waqf Act, 1995
49.	SAMIRAN SARKAR	Legality of Triple Talaq in India: An Analysis
50.	ISHA GURUNG	Child Marriage in India: A study of the

		relevant laws
51.	PRATI KSHA SHARMA	Inter caste marriage and Honour killing in India: A Study
52.	PARIJAT CHAKRABORTY	Prevalence of Dowry system in India: A Study of the effectiveness of the relevant Laws
53.	MAMPI DAM	Effectiveness of Consumer Protection Act, 2019: An Analysis

**\*Instruction: Students are to Write Research Paper/Articles on the given topics along with a synopsis (format of it is given in part B of the syllabus).**

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND PROJECT WRITING**

**SEMESTER: IV**

**B.COM LL.B**

**SUBJECT TEACHER: TRISHALA CHETTRI**

**Part A- (Students will be given lectures through examples in order to make them understand what is research and what are the various steps involved in writing a research. This will help the students in writing their practical papers)**

1. Introduction to the process of conducting research
2. Research Design

3. Qualitative Research
4. Qualitative Research
5. Writing Projects
6. Writing up/ discussion on mixed methods

**Part B- Practical Paper (Students will be assigned with topics and they are to write a research paper. Students will be evaluated on the different steps involved in their research.)**

For writing the Research Paper Students are required to follow the outline provided below.

1. Title
2. Acknowledgement
3. Statement of the Problem
4. Literature Review
5. Hypothesis
6. Scope of study
7. Significance of study
8. Research question
9. Objective of study
10. Research Methodology
11. Footnote Model adopted (Indian Law Institute Method)
12. Chapterisation
13. Bibliography

Roll	Name of students	Assignment Topics
------	------------------	-------------------

No		
----	--	--

1	ANSHUMAN PRASAD	Right to Privacy in India: Evolution, Interpretation, and Contemporary Challenges
2	MITHALI SUBBA	Access to Justice for Marginalized Communities: Challenges and Solutions
3	MD WASIM KHAN	Child Marriage Prohibition Laws in India: Effectiveness and Implementation Challenges
4	ANURAG SARKAR	A Socio Legal Study on Women Trafficking in India: Issues and Challenges
5	SOHOM ROY	Judicial Review in India: An Examination of the Role of the Judiciary in Maintaining the Supremacy of the Constitution.
6	HARUN AR RASHID	Federalism in India: The Balance of Power Between the Centre and the States.
7	SNEHA SINHA	A Critical Analysis of the Death Penalty in India: Legal and Ethical Implications.
8	MOUPRIYA ADHIKARY	Cybercrime and the Legal Framework in India: Current Challenges and Future Directions



9	ANUSHKA PAL	Juvenile Justice in India: Analysis of the Juvenile Justice Act, 2015.
10	ALOK DAS	Inter-Caste Marriages and the Legal Framework in India: Issues and Challenges.
11	ANSH JAISWAL	The Legal Recognition of Transgender Rights in India: Challenges and Constitutional Protections.
12	RAJ BISWAKARMA	The Role of Forest Rights Act, 2006 in Protecting the Rights of Tribal Communities.
13	ADITYA KARMAKAR	The Legal Status of Women in Inheritance and Property Rights: A Comparative Study of Personal Laws.
14	DEVRAJ ROY	Women's Rights in the Workplace: Legal Reforms and Challenges in Achieving Gender Equality.
15	ANANNYA BARAI	Plights Of the Acid-Attack Survivors In India: An Analysis Of The Legal Remedies
16	VINAYAK MISHRA	The Legal Framework for Forest Conservation in India: Challenges and Opportunities.
17	VEDANT GAMI	Human Trafficking for Prostitution: A Study of The Indian Legal Framework
18	SUBHADEEP CHAKRABORTY	The Impact of Cybercrime Legislation on Data Privacy in India.
19	NIHAR DAS	The Impact of the Uniform Civil Code on Personal Laws in India.

20	GIRISHA SRINET	Freedom of Speech and Expression in India: Balancing National Security and Individual Rights
21	SOUGATA GHOSH	Reproductive Rights and Gender Justice: A Critical Review of Laws Protecting Women's Autonomy over Reproductive Choices.
22	BASUDHA MITRA	Prohibition Of Sex Determination Test In India: An Analysis Of The Indian Legal Regime
23	MOKTICK SINHA	The Effectiveness of the National Green Tribunal (NGT) in India: A Critical Analysis.
24	ABHISHIKHA DAN	The Role of the Judiciary in Protecting Fundamental Rights in India: A Critical Evaluation
25	ANSU PRASAD	Constitutional Perspectives on Environmental Justice: Analyzing Legal Remedies for Climate Change
26	MEGHRAJ SMILE BARWA	Impact of Legal Aid Clinics in Prisons on Access to Justice and Legal Rights of Inmates in India.
27	LOHIT KUMAR BHOWMICK	Death Penalty in India: Legal and Moral Challenges in 21st Century Jurisprudence.
28	AYUSHMAN MITRA	Right To Human Dignity of The Rape Survivors: A Socio-Legal Study
29	SANJEEV KUMAR GUPTA	Impact Of Globalisation On Trans National Organised Crimes

30	CHRIS RAI	Judicial Activism in Environmental Protection: A Critical Analysis of Indian Case Law
31	SNEHA DAS	Right Against Bonded Labour in India: A Socio-Legal Analysis
32	NEHA SHAH	Revisiting Sedition Laws in India: Balancing National Security and Freedom of Speech
33	DEBANGANA DAS	Constitutional Protection of Child Rights in Adoption: Evaluating Adoption Laws and Procedures
34	RATAN KUMAR GUPTA	Protection of the Rights of the Migrant Workers: a study of the Indian Scenario during Covid-19 Pandemic
35	SHANKHODEEP DEBNATH	Impact Of Globalisation on Organ Trafficking In India: Case Study Of The Northeastern Regions Of India
36	AASMA KHATOON	Impact Of Globalisation on Human Trafficking in India: Case Study of The Northeastern Regions Of India
37	PROSENJIT DAS	Domestic Violence Against Married Women In India: Issues And Challenges
38	BISHAL TIGGA	Human Dignity of The People with Disabilities in India: A Socio-Legal Study
39	AARIZ AFTAB	Custodial Death in India: A Grave Violation of Human Right
40	RAIHAN	Regulating Artificial Intelligence and Data Privacy: Legal Challenges in the Age of Automation.

41	SUSMITA PAUL	Child Marriage Prohibition Laws in India: Effectiveness and Implementation Challenges
42	KAUSHIK BARDEWA	Right To Free and Compulsory Education in India: A Socio-Legal Study with Special Reference to Siliguri
43	ASIF REZA	The Role of Cyber Crime Cells in India: Effectiveness and Challenges in Investigation and Prosecution.
44	JOYDWIP RAJBANSHI	Child Trafficking In India: Issues, Challenges And Way Forward
45	PRAKASH ROY	Hate Speech Laws in India: Balancing Freedom of Expression and Social Harmony
46	SEMONTI GHOSH	Role of Public Interest Litigation (PIL) in Advancing Social Justice in India
47	PRIYANKA TAMANG	Environmental Law and Sustainable Development: The Role of the UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).
48	UJJWAL MANDAL	Constitutional Challenges to Reservation Policies in India: Impact on Social Justice.

**SERVICE LEARNING UNDER MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988**

**5 YEARS LL. B SEMESTER-VI SECTION A**

**Subject Teacher: Ms. Dichen Bhutia**

**(PART A)**

√ CONTENTS OF THE PRACTICAL PROJECT (WITH PAGE NOS.)

- ACKNOWLEDGMENT
- TABLE OF CASES
- ABBREVIATIONS

<b>CHAPT ER</b>	<b>TOPICS</b>
I	<b><i>INTRODUCTION</i></b>
II	<b><i>INTRODUCTION TO MOTOR VEHICLES ACT IN INDIA</i></b> ➤ SUB-TOPICS a. MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988 (FEATURES, RULES AND REGULATIONS) b. THE CENTRAL MOTOR VEHICLES RULES, 1989 (FEATURES, RULES AND REGULATIONS) c. MOTOR VEHICLES AMENDMENT BILL, 2017
III	<b><i>DRAFTING OF COMPLAINT UNDER THE MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988</i></b>
IV	<b><i>LIABILITIES UNDER THE M.V. ACT, 1988</i></b> ➤ SUB-TOPICS a. NO FAULT LIABILITY UNDER SECTION 140. b. LIABILITY UNDER SECTION 163 A c. LIABILITY UNDER 166 <b>d. RECENT DEVELOPMENT REGARDING PENALTIES UNDER THE MOTOR VEHICLES (AMENDMENT) ACT 2019.</b> e. APPLICATION FOR COMPENSATION MADE UNDER SECTION 166 OF MOTOR VEHICLE ACT, 1988.

V	<b>MAKE A VISIT TO THE MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIM TRIBUNAL SILIGURI, AND PREPARE REPORT ON MINIMUM 5 CASES</b>
VI	<b>PART B</b> (05 CASES JUDGMENT (MUST INCLUDE ONE LAND MARK JUDGMENT AS ALLOTTED) (THE CASES ARE ATTACHED HEREWITH ALONG WITH THE NAME OF THE STUDENTS)
VII	<b>CONCLUSION</b>
VIII	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>

**(PART B)**

<b>SL. NO.</b>	<b>NAME OF THE STUDENTS</b>	<b>CASE LAWS</b>
1.	UJALA SAHA	NATIONAL INSURANCE CO.LTD V. SINITHA & ORS (2011)
2	SAGAR BISWAS	UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD. V. KARAM CHAND & ORS. (2011)
3	PRAWESHIKA SUBBA	SMT. TULASI SAHUKAR VS NEW INDIA ASSURANCE COMPANY ON 2 FEBRUARY, 2010
4	MADHUMITA RUIDAS	UTTAR PRADESH STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION V. NATIONAL INSURANCE CO.

		&ORS. (2021)
5	SANGAY TAMANG	UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD., ... VS MEMBER, MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS ... ON 22 SEPTEMBER, 1992
6	SAGORIKA SINGHA	RAJPAL SINGH VS THE UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. ON 20 FEBRUARY 1985
7	SAPTAPARNO DAS	NEW INDIA ASSURANCE COMPANY ... VS THE MEMBER, MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS ... ON 3 NOVEMBER 2000
8	SRESHTHA BARUA	NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD. VS TAKHUBEN RAGHABHAI AND ORS. ON 30 NOVEMBER, 2006
9	SUPRATIM ROY	SMT. NARMADA CHOUDHURY ANDORS. VS MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL ... ON 25 JULY, 1983
10	KUSUM LAMA	RAMCHANDRA VS R.M., UNITED INDIA INS.CO.LTD ON 2 AUGUST, 2013
11	PRITI DAS	RAJAN KUTTIL NAYAR ALIAS RAJAN ... VS TAMIL NADU STATE TRANSPORT ... ON 22 JUNE,

		2007
12	PRATHAM SEN	HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH ... VS 3 THE ORIENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY ON 5 FEBRUARY, 2008
13	SAGAR GURUNG	THE NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. VS SELVARAJ ON 17 FEBRUARY, 2010
14	SUNNY RAJ	UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD. VS MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL ... ON 20 JANUARY, 2003
15	MANIK DUTTA	THE ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO. LTD. VS M/S MOHAN CAR CARE CENTRE & ANOTHER ON 14 DECEMBER, 2010
16	ROSE SARKAR	NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD. VS MEMBER, MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS ... ON 3 MARCH, 2006
17	AKASH SARKAR	MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL VS. SRI V.K. GOPALKRISHNA 2009
18	NEEHA SHARMA	SRI BISWANATH BHAKTA &ORS VS THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL &ANR ON 22 FEBRUARY, 2010



19	SAYAN BOSE	NEW INDIA ASSURANCE COMPANY LTD. ... VS SMT. WASHEEMA BANO ANDORS. ON 10 JUNE, 2022
20	SWARNALY PAUL	ORIENTAL INSURANCE COMP. LTD. ... VS SMT. CHANCHALA AND ORS. ON 7 MAY, 2019
21	AVINASH CHETTRI	NATIONAL INSURANCE CO.LTD V. SINITHA&ORS (2011)
22	KHUSHI SHAU	UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD. V. KARAM CHAND &ORS. (2011)
23	SUBRATA DEBNATH	SMT. TULASI SAHUKAR V. NEW INDIA ASSURANCE COMPANY (2010)
24	AKRITI MANGRATI	THE ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO. LTD V. HANSRAJBHAI V. KODALA&ORS (2001)
25	SMARAN THAPA	UTTAR PRADESH STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION V. NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. &ORS. (2021)
26	BARBIE KHILLAN	NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD. V. RULA&ORS. (2000)
27	HARSHADITYA SARKAR	NATIONAL INSURANCE CO.LTD V. PARVATHANENI&ANR (2009)

28	ANISHA RAI	NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD V. SWARAN SINGH &ORS. (2004)
29	RAJIBUL HAQUE SARKAR	SHAMANNA VS. THE DIVISIONAL MANAGER (2018).  NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD VS LAXMI NARAIN DHUT (2007)
30	TITHI SINGHA	PARMINDER SINGH V. NEW INDIA ASSURANCE COMPANY LTD. (2019)
31	MD SAMIM AKTAR	THE NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. VS SELVARAJ ON 17TH FEBRUARY 2010
32	MD ARJAUH HOQUE	DIVISIONAL MANAGER, ORIENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD. V. TUSHAR RANJAN DAS &ORS. (2010)
33	KHUSHI RANJAN	NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD VS LAXMI NARAIN DHUT (2007)
34	AL RIDA ALI	YADAV KUMAR VS. DIVISIONAL MANAGER, NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED & OTHERS (2010)
35	ARPITA SHIL SHARMA	MR. HELEN C. REBELLO & OTHERS VS. MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION & OTHERS(1998)
36	KOYEL ROY	SARLA VERMA & ORS VS DELHI

		TRANSPORT CORP.& ANR (2009)
37	SATYATA WAIBA	NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD. VS. CHARLIE (2005)
38	PROTIMA BISWAS	TN STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION LTD. VS. RAJAPRIYA (2005)
39	CHIRAG CHETTRI	UP STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION VS. KRISHNA BALA (2006)
40	SAURAV BARMAN	ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO. LTD. VS. MEENA VARIYAL (2007)
41	PRASHANT BHUJEL	NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD VS SWARAN SINGH &ORS(2004)
42	KUMARI MAMTA	NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD. VS. RULA&ORS. (2000)
43	HABIL SHARMA	VIMAL KANWAR &ORS VS KISHORE DAN &ORS (2013)
44	YOJEENA CHHETRI	UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD. VS. GIAN CHAND AND OTHERS (1997)
45	MONALISA SAHA	UNION OF INDIA VS. DR. RITA PANT 2009 (4) ACC 696
46	YOJANA KHAWAS	UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD. VS. GIAN CHAND AND OTHERS (1997)
47	WRIDDHIMANROY CHOUDHURY	ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.

		VS. MEENA VARIYAL (2007)
48	LITON MAJUMDAR	UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD. VS. GIAN CHAND AND OTHERS (1997)
49	SHEIKH MAMPI	NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD VS SWARAN SINGH &ORS(2004)
50	KISHORE KANTA BARMAN	NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD. VS. RULA&ORS. (2000)
51	HRITTIKA SAHA	VIMAL KANWAR &ORS VS KISHORE DAN &ORS (2013)
52	ABHISEK ROY	RAJESH TYAGI VS. JALBIR SINGH 2021 (1) TAC 561
53	ESSA SARKAR	NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD V. SINITHA &ORS (2011)

**SERVICE LEARNING UNDER MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988**

**VI SEMESETER B.A. LL.B. (HONS.)**

**SECTION B**

**Subject Teacher: Ms. Sreeparna Das**

The guidelines to be followed for the completion of the practical assignment are as follows:

- COVER PAGE
- ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
- RESEARCH METHEDODOLOGY

- AIMS AND OBJECTIVES
- RESEARCH QUESTIONS
- RESEARCH METHOD
- MODE OF CITATION BLUE BOOK, 2020
- LIST OF ABBREVIATION
- LIST OF STATUTES
- TABLE OF CASES
- TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **PART A**

### CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

### CHAPTER 2: A CONCEPTUAL STUDY OF MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988 IN INDIA

#### 2.1. HISTORICAL EVOLUTION AND DEVELOPMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988

#### 2.2. NEED AND SIGNIFICANCE OF MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988

#### 2.3. THE CENTRAL MOTOR VEHICLES RULES, 1989

#### 2.4 MOTOR VEHICLES AMENDMENT BILL ,2017

### CHAPTER 3: ELUCIDATE IN DETAIL THE PROCESS OF DRAFTING COMPLAINT UNDER MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988

### CHAPTER 4: EXPLAIN IN DETAIL THE LIABILITIES UNDER MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988

#### 4.1. NO FAULT LIABILITY UNDER SECTION 140

#### 4.2. LIABILITY UNDER SECTION 163A

4.3. LIABILITY UNDER SECTION 166

4.4. PUNISHMENT FOR CONTEMPT

CHAPTER 5: ANALYSIS OF LEGAL ISSUES ARISING OUT OF  
MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIM CASES

**PART B**

CHAPTER 6: FIVE IMPORTANT CASES RELATING TO THE MOTOR  
VEHICLES ACT, 1988(CASES HAVE BEEN ALLOTTED BELOW)

CHAPTER 7: FIVE CASES RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLES ACT,  
1988(CHOICE OF CASE LAAWS AR UPON THE DISCRETION OF  
THE STUDENTS)

CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSION

Roll no.	Cases
1-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ningamma&amp;Anr. Vs. United India Insurance Co. Ltd on 13 May, 2009</li><li>• Vimal Kanwar &amp;Ors. Vs. Kishore Dan &amp;Ors on 3May, 2013s</li><li>• Sohan Lal Passi vs. P. Sesh Reddy &amp;Ors on 17 July, 1996</li><li>• Ram Kumar Sharma vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh on 12 January, 2010</li><li>• Mrs. Helen C. Rabello &amp;Ors vs. Maharashtra State Road transport on 18 September, 1998</li></ul>

6-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Deepal Girishbhai Soni and Ors vs. United India Insurance Co. Ltd. Baroda on 18 March, 2004</li> <li>• Mangal Ram vs. The Oriental Insurance Company Ltd. On 6 April, 2018</li> <li>• Ved Prakash Garg vs. Premi Devi &amp;Ors on 25 September, 1997</li> <li>• S. Iyyapan vs. M/S United India Insurance Co. Ltd. &amp;Anr on 1 July, 2013</li> <li>• Smt. Rita Devi &amp;Ors vs. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. &amp;Anr on 27 April, 2000</li> </ul>
11-15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vijay Kumar Shurma&amp;orsEtc vs. State of Karnataka &amp;Ors. Etc on 27 February, 1990</li> <li>• Arun Kumar Agarwal &amp;Anr vs. National Insurance Co. Ltd. &amp;ors on 22 July, 2010</li> <li>• Mithilesh Garg etc. vs. Union of India and Ors. Etc. on 22 November, 1991</li> <li>• National Insurance Co. Ltd vs. Sinitha&amp; Others on 23 November, 2011</li> <li>• New India Assurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Sadanand Mukhi &amp;Ors on 18 December, 2008</li> </ul>
16-20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sebastiani Lakra vs. National Insurance Company Ltd. On 12 October, 2018</li> <li>• Manager, National Insurance Co. Ltd vs Saju p. Paul &amp; Anr on 3 January, 2013</li> <li>• National Insurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Cholleti</li> </ul>

	<p>Bharatamma &amp; ors on 12 October, 2007</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A . Manavalagan vs. A. Krishnamurthy And Ors. On 17 April, 2004</li> <li>• Dilip Dhariwal vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh on 22 September, 2015</li> </ul>
21-25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Balaram Prasad vs Kual Saha &amp; Ors on 24 October, 2013</li> <li>• Oriental insurance Co. Ltd vs. Rakesh Kumar &amp; Ors. On 29February, 2012</li> <li>• Relane General Insurance Comp. vs. Shahsi Sharma &amp; Ors on 23 September, 2016</li> <li>• Ram Krishna Verma Etc. vs. State of U.P. And Ors. Etc on 31 March, 1992</li> <li>• Shri Ram general Insurance Company Ltd. Vs. Benat Kaur And Ors on 14 March, 2019</li> </ul>
26-30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oriental Insurance Company vs. Smt. Indiro &amp; Others on 19 June, 2015</li> <li>• M/s Natwar Parikh &amp; Co. Ltd. Vs State of Karnataka &amp; Others on 1 September, 2005</li> <li>• United India Assurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Kamlesh &amp; Ors. On 9August, 2017</li> <li>• Mathew S/O. Devassia vs. Shaji Mathew on 14 July, 2009</li> <li>• Nhpc Ltd. Vs. The State of Himachal Pradesh Secretary on 6 September, 2023</li> </ul>
31-35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• S. Rajaseekaran vs Union of India &amp; Ors on 22 April, 2014</li> <li>• Ishwar Singh Bagga &amp; Ors. Etc vs State of</li> </ul>



	<p>Rajasthan Etc on 19 November, 1986</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hardev Motor Transport vs. State of M.P. &amp; Ors on 19 October, 2006</li> <li>• Adarsh Travel Bus Service &amp; Anr vs.State of U.p. &amp; Ors on 17 october, 1985</li> <li>• Beli Ram vs. Rajindet Kumar on 23 September,2020</li> </ul>
36-40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• H.C. Narayanapa And Others vs. The State of Mysore And Others on 28 April,1960</li> <li>• A . Sanjeevi Naidu Etc. Etc. vs. State of Madras And Anr on 5 Februar, 1970</li> <li>• Appaji (Since Deceased) And Anr. Vs. M. Krishna and Anr. On 17 December, 2003</li> <li>• Manjusri Raha &amp; Ors Etc vs B.L. Gupta &amp; Ors. Etc. on 9 February, 1977</li> <li>• The National Insurance Co. Ltd vs Krishnan on 15 March, 2013</li> </ul>
41-45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rajesh Tyagi &amp; Ors. Vs. Jaibir Singh &amp; Ors. On 28 May, 2009</li> <li>• Oriental Ins. Co. Lyd vs. Dyamavva &amp; Ors on 5 February, 2013</li> <li>• The Oriental Insurance Co Ltd vs Sri KC Subramanyam S/O Lt Chakrapathion 12 july, 2012</li> <li>• Smt Rukmani Devi vs The New India Assuarance Co Ltd And Anr on 19 May, 2008</li> <li>• Rajasthan State Road Transport vs. Smt. Poonam Pathwa And Ors on 9 July, 1997</li> </ul>
46-50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Birla Cement Works And Anr vs. State of</li> </ul>

	<p>Rajasthan And Ors. On 24 February, 2000</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The New Indian Assurance Co. Ltd. Vs. S. Krishnasamy on 10 Decemner, 2014</li> <li>• National Insurance Co. Ltd vs Malathi C. Salian on 11 April, 2003</li> <li>• Smt. Bhagwati Bai And Anr. Vs. Bablu @ Mukund And Ors on 4 November, 2006</li> <li>• Surendra Kumar Bhilawe vs. The New India Assurance Company Ltd. On 18 June, 2020</li> </ul>
51-54	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• United India nsurance Company Limited vs. V. Vijayakumar on 8 September, 2010</li> <li>• Mahesh Kumar Singhal And Ors. Vs State of M.P. And Ors on 1 May, 2008</li> <li>• The Oreiental Fire &amp; General Insurance vs Vimal Rai And Ors. On 9 May, 1972</li> <li>• Union of india And Others vs. K.S.Lakshmi Kumar And Others on 21 June, 2000</li> <li>• Sh Narinder Bishal And Anr. Vs. Sh. Rambir Singh And Ors. On 20 February, 2008</li> </ul>

**SERVICE LEARNING UNDER MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988**

**5 SEMESTER-VI**

**Subject Teacher: Mr. Ashim Ghatany**

**(BBA/B.COM)**

**(PART A)**

✓ CONTENTS OF THE PRACTICAL PROJECT (WITH PAGE NOS.)

➤ ACKNOWLEDGMENT

➤ TABLE OF CASES

➤ ABBREVIATIONS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TOPICS</b>
I	<b><i>Introduction</i></b>
II	<b><i>Introduction to Motor Vehicles Act in India</i></b> ➤ Sub-Topics a. Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Features, Rules and Regulations) b. The Central Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 (Features, Rules and Regulations) c. Motor Vehicles Amendment Bill, 2017
III	<b><i>Drafting of Complaint under the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988</i></b>
IV	<b><i>Liabilities under the M.V. Act, 1988</i></b> ➤ Sub-Topics a. No fault liability under section 140. b. Liability under section 163 A c. Liability under 166 d. Recent development regarding

	<p>penalties under the Motor Vehicles (Amendment) Act 2019.</p> <p><b>e.</b> Application for compensation made under section 166 of Motor Vehicle Act, 1988.</p>
--	--

V	<b><i>Analysis of legal issues arising out of Motor Accident Claim Cases</i></b>
VI	<b>PART B</b> (05 CASES JUDGMENT (MUST INCLUDE ONE LAND MARK JUDGMENT AS ALLOTTED) (The cases are attached herewith along with the name of the students)
VII	<b>CONCLUSION</b>
VIII	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>

**(PART B)**

*Analyze the given five cases observed in Motor Vehicle Accident Claim Tribunals.*

<b>STUDENTS NAME</b>	<b>NAME OF THE CASES</b>
----------------------	--------------------------

<p><i>MD ANJUM REZA</i>  <i>ANIKET TAMANG</i>  <i>GOURAV SAHA</i>  <i>MURTUJ ALI SHAH</i>  <i>MUSHARAT ZARRIN</i></p>	<p>1. National Insurance Co. Ltd v. Sinitha &amp;Ors (2011)</p> <p>2. United India Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Karam Chand &amp;Ors. (2011)</p> <p>3. Smt. Tulasi Sahukar vs New India Assurance Company on 2 February, 2010</p> <p>4. Uttar Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation v. National Insurance Co. &amp;Ors. (2021)</p> <p>5. United India Insurance Co. Ltd., ... vs Member, Motor Accident Claims ... on 22 September, 1992</p>
<p>SAYAN DUTTA  MRITTIKA DEBNATH  DIKSHA AGARWAL  MANZUR ALAM  ANUKANTA ROY</p>	<p>1. Rajpal Singh vs The Union of India And Ors. on 20 February, 1985</p> <p>2. New India Assurance Company ... vs The Member, Motor Accident Claims ... on 3 November, 2000</p> <p>3. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. vs Takhuben Ragunathan And Ors. on 30 November, 2006</p>

	<p>4. Smt. Narmada Choudhury AndOrs. vs Motor Accident Claims Tribunal ... on 25 July, 1983</p> <p>5. Ramchandra vs R.M., United India Ins.Co.Ltd on 2 August, 2013</p>
<p><i>ABHISHEK KUMAR SAHA</i></p> <p><i>BUSHRA BEGUM</i></p> <p><i>AMIT KUMAR SARKAR</i></p> <p><i>DOLMA TAMANG</i></p> <p><i>RUP KUMARI CHETRY</i></p>	<p>1. Rajan Kuttill Nayar Alias Rajan ... vs Tamil Nadu State Transport ... on 22 June, 2007</p> <p>2. High Court of Chhattisgarh At ... vs 3 The Oriental Insurance Company on 5 February, 2008</p> <p>3. The New India Assurance Co. vs Selvaraj on 17 February, 2010</p> <p>4. United India Insurance Co. Ltd. vs Motor Accident Claims Tribunal ... on 20 January, 2003</p> <p>5. The Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. vs M/S Mohan Car Care Centre &amp; Another on 14 December, 2010</p>
<p><i>ABANTIKA SAHA</i></p> <p><i>ATITHI BARMAN</i></p> <p><i>ADITYA THAPA</i></p> <p><i>KHUSHI RAI</i></p> <p><i>CHANDAN SHA</i></p>	<p>1. Motor Accident Claims Tribunal vs By Advs. Sri.V.K.Gopalakrishna ... on 2 January, 2009</p> <p>2. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. vs Member, Motor Accident Claims ... on 3 March, 2006</p> <p>3. Sri Biswanath Bhakta &amp;Ors vs The State of West Bengal &amp;Anr on 22 February, 2010</p> <p>4. New India Assurance Company Ltd. ... vs Smt. Washeema Bano AndOrs. on 10 June, 2022</p>

	<p>5. Oriental Insurance Comp. Ltd. ... vs Smt. Chanchala And Ors. on 7 May, 2019</p>
<p>DHRITI ROY  ZEENAT KHATOON  EKTA BANIK  PRIYA BARMAN  ABHIK SAHA</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd v. Hansrajbhai V. Kodala&amp;Ors (2001)</li> <li>2. National Insurance Co.Ltd v. Sinitha&amp;Ors (2011)</li> <li>3. United India Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Karam Chand &amp;Ors. (2011)</li> <li>4. Smt. Tulasi Sahukar v. New India Assurance Company (2010)</li> <li>5. Uttar Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation v. National Insurance Co. &amp;Ors. (2021)</li> </ol>



<p>SNEHA PODDAR YASH RATHI TSHESANG LHAMU YOLMO PARVEZ ALAM KANKHITA GUPTA</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Divisional Manager, Oriental Insurance Company Ltd. v. Tushar Ranjan Dash &amp;Ors. (2010)</li> <li>2. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. V. Rula&amp;Ors. (2000)</li> <li>3. National Insurance Co.Ltd v. Parvathaneni&amp;Anr (2009)</li> <li>4. National Insurance Co. Ltd v. Swaran Singh &amp;Ors. (2004)</li> <li>5. Shamanna vs. The Divisional Manager (2018).</li> </ol>
<p>ABHI SARKAR DIYA PATODIA ANISHA CHHETRI SHIV SANKAR KUMAR SAHA ANKITA RAI</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. National Insurance Co. Ltd vs Laxmi NarainDhut (2007)</li> <li>2. Parminder Singh v. New India Assurance Company Ltd. (2019)</li> <li>3. <i>The New India Assurance Company vs. Somwati</i> (2020)</li> <li>4. Yadav Kumar Vs. Divisional Manager, National Insurance Company Limited &amp; others (2010)</li> <li>5. Mr. Helen C. Rebello &amp; Others Vs. Maharashtra State Road Transport Corporation &amp; others (1998)</li> </ol>
<p>PIYUSH MANI TRIPATHI AMAN PRADHAN SNEHA SUZANA PRADHAN NISHA GHOSH CHEDUP SHERPA</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sarla Verma &amp;Ors vs Delhi Transport Corp.&amp;Anr (2009)</li> <li>2. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Charlie (2005)</li> <li>3. TN State Road Transport Corporation Ltd. Vs. Rajapriya (2005)</li> <li>4. UP State Road Transport Corporation vs. Krishna Bala (2006)</li> </ol>

	<p>5. Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Meena Variyal (2007)</p>
<p>PETER RAI ANUSHKA GAZMER KOUSTAV MITRA SOURAV KUMAR MAHATO SAINA GUPTA</p>	<p>1. United India Insurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Gian Chand and Others (1997) 2. National Insurance Co. Ltd vs Swaran Singh &amp;Ors(2004) 3. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Rula&amp;Ors. (2000) 4. Vimal Kanwar &amp;Ors vs Kishore Dan &amp;Ors (2013) 5. Ved Prakash Garg vs Premi Devi &amp;Ors(1997)</p>
	<p>1. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. vs</p>
<p>SURABI CHHETRI PURAB SHARMA AKRITI DIXIT SAHIL RAI TUHIN SARKAR</p>	<p>TakhubenRaghabhaiAndOrs. on 30 November, 2006 2. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Rula&amp;Ors. (2000) 3. United India Insurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Gian Chand and Others (1997) 4. Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Meena Variyal (2007) 5. Ved Prakash Garg vs Premi Devi &amp;Ors(1997)</p>

RAJU GIRI NEEHA SARKAR MUNMUN SARKAR BONNY MAHATO	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. V. Rula&amp;Ors. (2000)</li> <li>2. National Insurance Co. Ltd vs Laxmi NarainDhut (2007)</li> <li>3. National Insurance Co. Ltd v. Swaran Singh &amp;Ors. (2004)</li> <li>4. Parminder Singh v. New India Assurance Company Ltd. (2019)</li> <li>5. <i>The New India Assurance Company vs. Somwati</i> (2020)</li> </ol>
KAJAL SHA ANSHITA AGARWAL SANDHYA SINGH DIPSHIKA DAS ANUJ PRASAD	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The New India Assurance Co. vs Selvaraj on 17 February, 2010</li> <li>2. United India Insurance Co. Ltd. vs Motor Accident Claims Tribunal ... on 20 January, 2003</li> <li>3. The Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. vs M/S Mohan Car Care Centre &amp; Another on 14 December, 2010</li> <li>4. Motor Accident Claims Tribunal vs By Advs.Sri.V.K.Gopalakrishna ... on 2 January, 2009</li> <li>5. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. vs Member, Motor Accident Claims ... on 3 March, 2006</li> </ol>
JEET DUTTA RAUL ROY AITIHYA KUNDU AHONA CHOWDHURY RAJAT BISWAS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Parminder Singh v. New India Assurance Company Ltd. (2019)</li> <li>2. <i>The New India Assurance Company vs. Somwati</i> (2020)</li> <li>3. Yadav Kumar Vs. Divisional Manager, National Insurance Company Limited &amp; others</li> </ol>

	(2010)
	<p>4. Mr. Helen C. Rebello &amp; Others Vs. Maharashtra State Road Transport Corporation &amp; others (1998)</p> <p>5. Sarla Verma &amp;Ors vs Delhi Transport Corp.&amp;Anr (2009)</p>
<p>SHORBOTTAM MOITRA RAJNANDINI DAS DIPIKA MALLICK MOUMITA GHOSH SUBHADEEP PAUL</p>	<p>1. Mr. Helen C. Rebello &amp; Others Vs. Maharashtra State Road Transport Corporation &amp; others (1998)</p> <p>2. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Rula&amp;Ors. (2000)</p> <p>3. National Insurance Co. Ltd vs Swaran Singh &amp;Ors(2004)</p> <p>4. Vimal Kanwar &amp;Ors vs Kishore Dan &amp;Ors (2013)</p> <p>5. UP State Road Transport Corporation vs. Krishna Bala (2006)</p>
<p>ABHIJIT SARKAR KHUSBU KUMARI JHA PRITI KARJEE SAMRAT DAS NEHA PRAVIN</p>	<p>1. The New India Assurance Co. vs Selvaraj on 17 February, 2010</p> <p>2. United India Insurance Co. Ltd. vs Motor Accident Claims Tribunal ... on 20 January, 2003</p> <p>3. The Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. vs M/S Mohan Car Care Centre &amp; Another on 14 December, 2010</p> <p>4. Motor Accident Claims Tribunal vs By Adv.Sri.V.K.Gopalakrishna ... on 2 January, 2009</p> <p>5. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. vs</p>

	Member, Motor Accident Claims ... on 3 March, 2006
SUMEDHA GUPTA ADITYA NARAYAN ROY DIMPY TAMANG SAKSHI MODI RIDHIMA SAH	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Uttar Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation v. National Insurance Co. &amp;Ors. (2021)</li> <li>2. United India Insurance Co. Ltd., ... vs Member, Motor Accident Claims ... on 22 September, 1992</li> <li>3. Rajpal Singh vs The Union Of India And Ors. on 20 February, 1985</li> <li>4. New India Assurance Company ... vs The Member, Motor Accident Claims ... on 3 November, 2000</li> <li>5. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. vs</li> </ol>
	TakhubenRaghabhaiAndOrs. on 30 November, 2006
DHANUSHREE GURUNG RISHITA SARKAR ARPITA SAHA SAGNIKA CHAKRABORTY MAYURI SULTANA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Divisional Manager, Oriental Insurance Company Ltd. v. Tushar Ranjan Dash &amp;Ors. (2010)</li> <li>2. Rajan Kuttal Nayar Alias Rajan ... vs Tamil Nadu State Transport ... on 22 June, 2007</li> <li>3. National Insurance Co.Ltd v. Parvathaneni&amp;Anr (2009)</li> </ol>

	<p>4. Shamanna vs. The Divisional Manager (2018).</p> <p>5. The Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. vs M/S Mohan Car Care Centre &amp; Another on 14 December, 2010</p>
--	---

**ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

**(PRACTICAL PAPER)**

**5 YEARS BA LLB VIII SEM SECTION A**

**Subject Teacher: Subham Sinha**

**CONTENTS OF THE PRACTICAL PROJECT**

- **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**
- **TABLE OF CASES**
- **ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>TOPIC (PART-A)</b>
<b>I</b>	INTRODUCTION
<b>II</b>	MEANING, OBJECTIVES, HISTORICAL BACKGROUND, MERITS AND DEMERITS OF ADR MECHANISMS
<b>III</b>	ADR MECHANISMS IN INDIA
<b>IV</b>	VARIOUS KINDS OF ADR MECHANISMS

<b>V</b>	<p><b>A. ARBITRATION AND CONCILIATION ACT,1996</b></p> <p>I. AIMS &amp; OBJECTIVES OF THE ACT</p> <p>II. PROVISIONS RELATING TO ARBITRATION, ARBITRATION AGREEMENT, INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION, ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL, PROCEEDING AND AWARD(OVERVIEW)</p> <p><b>B. NEGOTIATION, CONCILIATION AND MEDIATION</b></p> <p>(Meaning, characteristics, significance, and differences)</p>
----------	---

<b>VI</b>	<b>PART B</b>
	<p>I. TWO LEADING CASE LAWS OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION</p> <p>II. 05 CASES ATTACHED BELOW AND CASES DECIDED IN LOK ADALAT ORGANISED BY SUB DIVISIONAL/DISTRICT LEGAL SERVICE AUTHORITY.</p>
<b>VII</b>	CONCLUSION
<b>VIII</b>	BIBLIOGRAPHY

**(PART-B)**

**CASE LAWS**

<b>ROLL NO.</b>	<b>NAME OF STUDENTS</b>	<b>CASE LAWS</b>

1	NEEL CHAKRAVORTY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BCCI v. Kochi Cricket, (2018) 6 SCC 287</li> <li>2. TRF Ltd. v. Energo Engineering Projects Ltd., (2017) 8 SCC 377.</li> <li>3. ONGC v. Saw Pipes, (2003) 5 SCC 705</li> <li>4. SBP &amp; Co. v. Patel Engineering Ltd., (2005) 8 SCC 618</li> <li>5. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. v. NCC Ltd., 2022 SCC OnLine SC 896</li> </ol>
2	KARAN GUPTA	
3	TENZING TOPDEN	
4	BHUTIA ANJUM ARA KHATOON	
5	NEELAKSHI ROY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Bharat Aluminum Company v. Kaiser</li> <li>2. Aluminum Technical Service - (2012) 9 SC 552</li> <li>3. Enercon (India) Ltd. and Others v. Enercon GmbH - (2014) 5 SCC 1</li> <li>4. Yukos Universal Limited v. Russian Federation PCA Case No. AA 227</li> <li>5. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. v. M/s Shree Ganesh Petroleum Rajgurunagar., 2022) 4 SCC 463</li> </ol>
6	SUJATA SONAR	
7	AYUSH KUMAR MUKHIA	
8	SHUBHAJIT SINGHA	
9	SHAHANAWAZ HUSSAIN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Konkan Railways Corp Ltd Vs Mehul Construction Co, AIR 2000 SC 2821.</li> <li>2. M.V. Baltic Confidence and another vs. State Trading Corporation of India Ltd. and another, (2001) 7 SCC 473.</li> <li>3. Skypak Couriers Ltd vs. Tata Chemicals Ltd, (2000) 5 SCC 294</li> <li>4. P. Anand Gajapathi Raju and others vs. P.V.G. Raju and others, (2000) 4 SCC 539</li> <li>5. Mutha Construction v. Strategic Brand Solutions (I) Pvt. Ltd., SLP(C) 1105 of 2022.</li> </ol>
10	MRITUNJAY ROY	
11	SOFIKUL ISLAM	
12	AMBIKA GIRI	



13	PIYAS DAS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Konkan Railways Corp Ltd Vs Mehul Construction Co, AIR 2000 SC 2821</li> <li>2. Olympus Super Structures Pvt. Ltd. v. Meena Vijay Khetan, AIR 1999 SC 2102</li> <li>3. Skypak Couriers Ltd vs. Tata Chemicals Ltd, (2000) 5 SCC 294</li> <li>4. M.V. Baltic Confidence and another vs. State Trading Corporation of India Ltd. and another, (2001) 7 SCC 473,</li> <li>5. National Highways Authority of India v. P. Nagaraju, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 864.</li> </ol>
14	KANCHITA ROY	
15	RESHMA YESHMIN	
16	SREYA DAS	
17	NANDINI GUPTA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Union of India v. Delhi state consumer co-operative federation limited [2022 (5) TMI 975- Delhi High Court.</li> <li>2. OM Prakash Kumawat and Renu Kumawat V. Hero Housing Finance Ltd - 2022 (5) TMI 1079 Rajasthan High Court</li> <li>3. Delhi Airport Metro Express pvt. Ltd. V. Delhi Metro Rail Corporation [2022 (5) TMI 977 - Supreme Court.</li> <li>4. M/S Morden Construction Company V. State of Jharkhand - 2022 (5) TMI 1109 - Jharkhand High Court.</li> <li>5. Tantia Constructions v. Union of India, Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 10722/2022</li> </ol>
18	SNEHA GUPTA	
19	BISHWAJEET KUMAR	
20	AKASH KUMAR	

21	MD KAIF	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ellora Paper Mills Ltd. v. State of Madhya Pradesh, (2022) 3 SCC 1</li> <li>2. Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. v. Afcons Gunanusa JV, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1122.</li> <li>3. National Highways Authority of India v. P. Nagaraju, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 864.</li> <li>4. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. v. NCC Ltd., 2022 SCC OnLine SC 896.</li> <li>5. Essar House Pvt. Ltd. v. Arcellor Mittal Nippon Steel India Ltd., 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1219</li> </ol>
22	AMISHA GUPTA	
23	GULABI HANSDA	
24	ADITYA ROY	
25	DHANANJOY BARMAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. v. NCC Ltd., 2022 SCC OnLine SC 896.</li> <li>2. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. v. M/s Shree Ganesh Petroleum Rajgurunagar, (2022) 4 SCC 463.</li> <li>3. Ssangyong Engg. &amp; Construction Co. Ltd. v. NHAI, (2019) 15 SCC 131.</li> <li>4. NHAI v. M. Hakeem, (2021) 9 SCC 1.</li> <li>5. Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. v. Afcons Gunanusa JV, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1122</li> </ol>
26	RINTU BOSE	
27	JAYSHREE SINGHA	
28	ABDUL RASID	
29	SAGARIKA ROY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Bar Council of India v. A.K. Balaji, (2018) 5 SCC 379.</li> <li>2. M.P. Rural Road Development Authority v. L.G. Chaudhary Engineers and</li> </ol>
30	RISHAD AKHTER	
31	RIPAN ROY REYA KUMARI GUPTA	

32		<p>Contractors, (2018) 10 SCC 826.</p> <p>3. BGS SGS SOMA JV v. NHPC Ltd., (2020) 4 SCC 234.</p> <p>4. Mankastu Impex (P) Ltd. v. Airvisual Ltd., (2020) 5 SCC 399</p> <p>5. Ellora Paper Mills Ltd. v. State of Madhya Pradesh, (2022) 3 SCC 1</p>
33 34 35 36	<p>BIJITA PODDAR</p> <p>DORATI THAPA</p> <p>CHENGBA TAMANG</p> <p>PRAWESHIKA PRADHAN</p>	<p>1. Wellington Associates Ltd. v. Kirit Mehta and Other (1996) 5 SCC 125</p> <p>2. M/s. Deepak Cables (India) Ltd vs Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Ltd. (2017) 10 SCC 740</p> <p>3. M/s. Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. &amp; Anr. v. M/s Cherian Varkey Constn. Co. (P) Ltd. &amp; Ors. 8 SCC 24</p> <p>4. Konkan Railways Corp Ltd V Mehul Construction Co, AIR 2000 SC 2821</p> <p>5. Cox and Kings Ltd. v. SAP India, (2022) 8 SCC 1</p>
37 38 39 40	<p>DEBANJALI GUHA</p> <p>SNEHASIS TARAN</p> <p>DIYA GHOSH</p> <p>BASUDEV BARMAN</p>	<p>1. Satish Kumar vs. Surinder Kumar, AIR 1970 SC 833</p> <p>2. Renusagar power Co. Ltd vs General Electric AIR 1985</p> <p>3. Puri Construction Company v Union Of India, AIR 1986 SC 777</p> <p>4. Sundaram Finance Ltd. v. N.E.P.C. India Ltd., AIR 1999 SC 565.</p> <p>5. BBR (India) Pvt. Ltd. v. S.P. Singla</p>

		Constructions, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 642.
41	JUHI RIZWANA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Avantha Holdings Limited Vs. CG Power and Industrial Solutions Limited. (Judgment dated 06.12.2021 in ARB. P. 361/2020)</li> <li>2. DLF LTD. VS. LEIGHTON INDIA CONTRACTORS PRIVATE LTD. &amp; ANR., FAO(OS) (COMM) 63/2020 &amp; CM No.11090/2020</li> <li>3. Datar Switchgears Ltd vs. Tata Finance Ltd., 2000 (3) RAJ</li> <li>4. National Highways Authority of India v. P. Nagaraju , 2022 SCC Online SC 864.</li> <li>5. TRF Ltd. v. Energo Engg. Projects Ltd., (2017) 8 SCC 377</li> </ol>
42	SHAMIMA YEASHMIN	
43	MD IQBAL HASAN	
44	YASH DAS	
45	ROU AFSANI AHMED	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Brahmani River Pellets Ltd. v. Kamachi Industries Ltd. SLP(C) NO. 15672,2019</li> <li>2. Dharamvir Khosla v. Asian Hotels (North) Limited, CS(COMM) 184/2020</li> <li>3. Hero Wind Energy Limited v. Inox Renewables Limited, O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 429/2019</li> <li>4. Ashwani Minda and M/s Jay Ushin Limited v. M/s U-Shin Limited and M/s Minebea Mitsumi Incorporated FAO(OS)(COMM) 65/2020</li> <li>5. PSA SICAL Terminals Pvt. Ltd. v. Board of Trustees of V.O. Chidambaranar Port Trust Tuticorin, (2021) 18 SCC 716.</li> </ol>
46	ABUBAKKAR SIDDIK	
47	SUBHALAXMI DAS	
48	KRISHNA SINGHA	

49	SYDNEY LAMA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M/S Modern Construction Company Versus State of Jharkhand - 2022 (5) Tmi 1109 - Jharkhand High Court</li> <li>2. Swadesh Kumar Agarwal Versus Dinesh Kumar Agarwal &amp; Ors, Etc. - 2022 (5) Tmi 306 - Supreme Court</li> <li>3. N.N. Global Mercantile Pvt. Ltd. Versus Indo Unique Flame Ltd. And Ors. - 2021 (1) Tmi 1121 - Supreme Court</li> <li>4. Amway India Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. Versus Ravindranath Rao Sindhia &amp; Anr. - 2021 (3) Tmi 245 - Supreme Court</li> <li>5. Ssangyong Engg. &amp; Construction Co. Ltd. V. Nhai, (2019) 15 Scc 131.</li> </ol>
50	SABANA KHATOON	
51	PRIYANKA ROY	
52	SAHID AKHTER	

**ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

**(PRACTICAL PAPER)**

**5 YEARS BA LLB VIII SEM SECTION B**

**CONTENTS OF THE PRACTICAL PROJECT**

- **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**
- **TABLE OF CASES**
- **ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>TOPIC (PART-A)</b>
<b>I</b>	INTRODUCTION
<b>II</b>	MEANING, OBJECTIVES, HISTORICAL BACKGROUND,

	MERITS AND DEMERITS OF ADR MECHANISMS
<b>III</b>	ADR MECHANISMS IN INDIA
<b>IV</b>	VARIOUS KINDS OF ADR MECHANISMS
<b>V</b>	<p><b>A. ARBITRATION AND CONCILIATION ACT,1996</b></p> <p>III. AIMS &amp; OBJECTIVES OF THE ACT</p> <p>IV. PROVISIONS RELATING TO ARBITRATION, ARBITRATION AGREEMENT, INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION, ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL, PROCEEDING AND AWARD(OVERVIEW)</p> <p><b>B. NEGOTIATION, CONCILIATION AND MEDIATION</b></p> <p>(Meaning, characteristics, significance, and differences)</p>

<b>VI</b>	<b>PART B</b>
	<p>III. TWO LEADING CASE LAWS OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION</p> <p>IV. 05 CASES ATTACHED BELOW AND CASES DECIDED IN LOK ADALAT ORGANISED BY SUB DIVISIONAL/DISTRICT LEGAL SERVICE AUTHORITY.</p>
<b>VII</b>	CONCLUSION
<b>VIII</b>	BIBLIOGRAPHY

**(PART-B)**

## CASE LAWS

<b>ROLL NO.</b>	<b>NAME OF STUDENTS</b>	<b>CASE LAWS</b>
1 2 3 4	AALIA SABERI PRIYAM GURUNG SHANIM LAMA AHAMED OSAMA	1. Bhatia International v Bulk Trading SA, AIR 2002 SC 1432, 2. J&K State Forest Conservation vs. Abdul Karim Wani, AIR 1989 SC 1498 3. Skypak Couriers Ltd vs. Tata Chemicals Ltd, (2000) 5 SCC 294 4. K.K Modi v K.N Modi AIR 1998 SC 1297 5. Jaipur Zila Dugdh Utpadak Sahkari Sangh Limited, (2021) 17 SCC 248.
5 6 7 8	SUHANA SAHA DEEPAK SHARMA KUNAL BHARDWAJ DEBOSHMITA ROY	1. BBR( India ) Pvt.Ltd v. S.P. Singola Constructions, 2022 SCC Online SC 642. 2. Jaipur Zila Dugdh Utpadak Sahkari Sangh Limited, (2021) 17 SCC 248. 3. Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Ltd v. AfconsGunanusa JV, 2022 SCC Online SC 1122. 4. National Highways Authority of India v. P.Nagaraju , 2022 SCC Online SC 864. 5. TRF Ltd. v. EnergoEngg. Projects Ltd., (2017) 8 SCC 377
9 10 11	TANUSHREE ROY URPITA SARKAR ISHA SRISWASTAV	1. Mutha Construction v. Strategic Brand Solutions (I) Pvt. Ltd., SLP(C) 1105 of 2022.

12	PRIYANKA CHOUDHURY	<p>2. Essar House Pvt. Ltd. v. Arcellor Mittal Nippon Steel India Ltd., 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1219.</p> <p>3. Cox and Kings Ltd. v. SAP India, (2022) 8 SCC 1.</p> <p>4. BBR (India) Pvt. Ltd. v. S.P. Singla Constructions, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 642</p> <p>5. Tata Capital Finance Ltd. Vs. Shri Chand Construction and Apartment Pvt.</p>
13	SOURAV SARKAR	<p>1. Renusagar Power Co Ltd vs. General Electric, AIR 1985 SC 1156</p> <p>2. National Thermal Power Corporation vs. Singer company, 1992 SCR (3) 106.</p> <p>3. Venture Global Engineering v Satyam Computer Services Ltd (2008) 4 SCC 190</p> <p>4. Datar Switchgears Ltd vs. Tata Finance Ltd., 2000 (3) RAJ</p> <p>5. Arun Srivastava Vs. M/S Larsen &amp; Toubro LTD. CM(M) 1520/2018</p>
14	SULTANA GULREJ	
15	PRITIKSHA TEWARI	
16	BONEY DAS	
17	ALKANTICA SENGUPTA	<p>1. NHAI v. M. Hakeem, (2021) 9 SCC 1</p> <p>2. Jaipur Zila Dugdh Utpadak Sahkari Sangh Limited, (2021) 17 SCC 248.</p> <p>3. TRF Ltd. v. Energo Engg. Projects Ltd., (2017) 8 SCC 377</p> <p>4. Tata Capita Finance Ltd. Vs. Shri Chand Construction and Apartment Pvt. Ltd. (Judgment dated 24.11.2021 in FAO(OS) 40/2020)</p>
18	SUNANDA SARKAR	
19	SAMIKSHA RAI	
20	ANISHA PRADHAN	



21	AKRAM ALI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Government of Maharashtra v. Borse Brothers Engineers &amp; Contractors Pvt. Ltd., March 2021</li> <li>2. Indus Biotech Pvt. Ltd. v. Kotak India Venture (Offshore) Fund, March 2021</li> <li>3. Cox and Kings Ltd. v. SAP India, (2022) 8 SCC 1.</li> <li>4. BBR (India) Pvt. Ltd. v. S.P. Singla Constructions, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 642.</li> <li>5. Avantha Holdings Limited Vs. CG Power and Industrial Solutions Limited. (Judgment dated 06.12.2021 in ARB. P. 361/2020)</li> </ol>
22	ANANYA SARKAR	
23	SUMITA DUTTA	
24	LIPI SAHA	
25	HASMAT ALI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Bharat Aluminium Co. v. Kaiser Aluminium Technical Service Inc., (2012) 9 SCC 552</li> <li>2. Bhatia International v. Bulk Trading S.A., (2002) 4 SCC</li> <li>3. Venture Global Engineering LLC v. Tech Mahindra Ltd., (2021) SCC Online SC 366</li> <li>4. Chloro Controls India Pvt. Ltd. v. Severn Trent Water Purification Inc., (2013) 1 SCC 641</li> <li>5. Swastik Pipe Ltd. V. Shri Ram Autotech Pvt. Ltd. (Judgment dated 05.07.2021 in</li> </ol>
26	NISCHAL KUMAR SAHA	
27	SHANKHAMITA GHOSH	
28	SARITA THAMI	

		ARB.P. 241/2021)
29 30 31 32	MD QUAZI AFSAL HUSSAIN SURIT NEOPANY ANKIT THAKUR PRATIK CHHETRI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. ONGC v. Saw Pipes Ltd. (2003) 5 SCC 705</li> <li>2. BCCI v. Kochi Cricket Pvt. Ltd. (2018) 6 SCC</li> <li>3. National Insurance Co. Ltd. v. BogharaPolyfab Pvt. Ltd. (2009) 1 SCC 267</li> <li>4. associated construction v.pawanhans helicopter ltd ,2008 16 SCC 128</li> <li>5. Uttarakhand Purva Sainik Kalyan Nigam Ltd. Vs. Northern Coal Field Ltd., Special Leave Petition (C) No. 11476 of 201</li> </ol>
33 34 35 36	SAMADRITA DUTTA HINA SHAH ARMINA PARWIN ISTRELA TAMANG	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. National Board of Examination v. Prometric Testing Pvt. Ltd. (2020 SCC ONLINE DEL 505)</li> <li>2. Union of India v. Bharat Biotech International Ltd. (MANU/DE/0858/2020)</li> <li>3. Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University v. Engineers India Ltd. (MANU/DE/0855/2020)</li> <li>4. Steel Authority of India Ltd v. Primetals Technologies India Pvt. Ltd. (MANU/DE/0808/2020)</li> <li>5. Raza Vs. Sadaf Akhtar, Judgment dated 04 September 2019 in Civil Appeal No. 7005 of 2019</li> </ol>

37	FARJANA AKTARI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. ONGC Ltd. v. Western Geco International Ltd., (2014) 9 SCC 263</li> <li>2. BCCI v. Kochi Cricket Pvt. Ltd., (2018) 6 SCC 287</li> <li>3. Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (P) Ltd., (2010) 8 SCC 24</li> <li>4. A. Ayyasamy v. A Paramasivam, (2016) 10 SCC 386</li> <li>5. Hindustan Construction Company Limited &amp; Anr. Vs. Union of India &amp; Ors., Judgment dated 27 November 2019 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 1074 of 2019.</li> </ol>
38	JANVI CHHETRI	
39	SILKY SINGH	
40	DIPA BARMAN	
41	ANU RAI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. BCCI v. Kochi Cricket, (2018) 6 SCC 287</li> <li>2. TRF Ltd. v. Energo Engineering Projects Ltd., (2017) 8 SCC 377.</li> <li>3. ONGC v. Saw Pipes, (2003) 5 SCC 705</li> <li>4. SBP &amp; Co. v. Patel Engineering Ltd., (2005) 8 SCC 618</li> <li>5. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. v. NCC Ltd., 2022 SCC OnLine SC 896</li> </ol>
42	SHRIYA KARKI	
43	MD SOHEL ANWAR	
44	PURNIMA SHARMA	
45	SHILPI BARMAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Konkan Railways Corp Ltd Vs Mehul</li> </ol>

46	KUGHASHI K CHISHI	Construction Co, AIR 2000 SC 2821.
47	HUKHUHANG RAI	2. M.V. Baltic Confidence and another vs. State Trading Corporation of India Ltd. and another, (2001) 7 SCC 473.
48	RINKI HAZRA	3. Skypak Couriers Ltd vs. Tata Chemicals Ltd, (2000) 5 SCC 294 4. P. Anand Gajapathi Raju and others vs. P.V.G. Raju and others, (2000) 4 SCC 539 5. Mutha Construction v. Strategic Brand Solutions (I) Pvt. Ltd., SLP(C) 1105 of 2022.
49	DIYA ROY	1. Konkan Railways Corp Ltd Vs Mehul Construction Co, AIR 2000 SC 2821
50	ANANYA	2. Olympus Super Structures Pvt. Ltd. v. Meena Vijay Khetan, AIR 1999 SC 2102
51	CHANDNI PAL	3. Skypak Couriers Ltd vs. Tata Chemicals Ltd, (2000) 5 SCC 294
52	DEBJANI CHAKRABORTY	4. M.V. Baltic Confidence and another vs. State Trading Corporation of India Ltd. and another, (2001) 7 SCC 473, 5. National Highways Authority of India v. P. Nagaraju, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 864.

**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF LEGAL STUDIES  
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

**Subject Teacher: Ms. Rupa Pradhan  
5 YEAR B.B.A/B.COM. LL.B SEM. VIII**

**> CONTENTS OF THE PRACTICAL PROJECT**

➤ **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

➤ **TABLE  
OF  
CASES**

➤ **ABBREVI  
ATIONS**

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>TOPIC (PART-A)</b>
<b>I</b>	INTRODUCTION
<b>II</b>	MEANING, OBJECTIVES, HISTORICAL BACKGROUND, MERITS AND DEMERITS OF ADR MECHANISMS
<b>III</b>	VARIOUS KINDS OF ADR MECHANISMS
<b>IV</b>	I. RULES RELATING TO INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION  II. NATIONAL LEGAL FRAME WORK RELATING TO ADR
<b>V</b>	<b>A. ARBITRATION AND CONCILIATION ACT, 1996</b> I. AIMS & OBJECTIVES OF THE ACT II. PROVISIONS RELATING TO ARBITRATION, ARBITRATION AGREEMENT, INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION, ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL, PROCEEDING AND AWARD (OVERVIEW) <b>B. NEGOTIATION, CONCILIATION AND MEDIATION</b>

	(Meaning, elements, significance and differences)
<b>VI</b>	<b>PART B</b>
	<p>f. ONE LEADING CASE LAWS ON INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION</p> <p><b>g. 02 CASE ANALYSIS (2 cases for each student is attached herewith)</b></p> <p>h. VISIT TO THE LOK ADALAT ORGANISED BY SUB DIVISIONAL/DISTRICT COURT AND COLLECT TWO CASES DECIDED IN LOK ADALAT.</p>
<b>VII</b>	CONCLUSION
<b>VIII</b>	BIBLIOGRAPHY

### **CASES ON ARBITRATION**

<b>ROLL No.</b>	<b>NAME (BB.A LL.B)</b>	<b>CASE-1</b>	<b>CASE-2</b>
1	TABEN IQUBAL	Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. v. NCC Ltd. , 2022 SCC OnLine SC 896.	Tulsi Narayan Garg Vs. The M.P. Road Development Authority, Bhopal and Ors., Order dated 30 August 2019 in Civil Appeal Nos. 6726 – 6729 of 2019.
2	RAHUL AGARWAL	Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. v. M/s Shree Ganesh Petroleum Rajgurunagar., 2022) 4 SCC 463	National Highways Authority of India v. Sayedabad Tea Estate, Judgment dated 27 August 2019 in Civil Appeal No. 6958-5959 of 2009.
3	RIMA SAHA	Mutha Construction v. Strategic Brand Solutions (I) Pvt. Ltd., SLP(C) 1105 of 2022.	Brahmani River Pellets Limited Vs. Kamachi Industries Limited, Judgment dated 08 August 2019 in Civil Appeal No. 5850 of 2019
4	MD JAID AKTHER	National Highways Authority of India v. P. Nagaraju, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 864.	Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited Vs. Canara Bank & Ors, Judgment dated 08 August 2019 in Civil Appeal No. 6202-6205 of 2019
5	SOMYA JYOTI ROY	Tantia Constructions v. Union of India, Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 10722/2022	Vinod Bhaiyalal Jain Vs. Wadhvani Parmeshwari Cold Storage Pvt. Ltd. Civil Appeal No. 6960 of 2011

6	ALIPHIYA NAG	Essar House Pvt. Ltd. v. Arcellor Mittal Nippon Steel India Ltd., 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1219	M/s Icomm Tele Ltc. Vs. Punjab State Water Supply & Sewage Board, Civil Appeal No. 2713 of 2019
7	TUSHAR GUPTA	Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. v. Afcons Gunanusa JV, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1122	The Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. and Ors. Vs. Dicitex Furnishing Ltd, Judgment dated 13 November 2019 in Civil Appeal No. 8550 of 2019.
8	ANANYA MANDAL	Cox and Kings Ltd. v. SAP India, (2022) 8 SCC 1	<i>Board of Control for Cricket in India Vs. Kochi Cricket Pvt. Ltd</i> , AIR 2018 SC 1549.
9	MANIK RAI	Ellora Paper Mills Ltd. v. State of Madhya Pradesh, (2022) 3 SCC 1	<i>Associated Construction Vs. Pawanhans Helicopters Ltd.</i> , (2008) 16 SCC 128.
10	IBRAN ALAM	BBR (India) Pvt. Ltd. v. S.P. Singla Constructions, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 642	<i>National Insurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Boghara Polyfab Pvt. Ltd.</i> AIR 2009 SC 170.
11	AINDRILA GOSWAMI	PSA SICAL Terminals Pvt. Ltd. v. Board of Trustees of V.O. Chidambranar Port Trust Tuticorin, (2021) 18 SCC 716.	<i>A. Ayyasamy v. A. Paramasivam and Others</i> , (2016) 10 SCC 386.
12	PALAK GUPTA	Ssangyong Engg. & Construction Co. Ltd. v.	<i>Swastik Gases (P) Ltd. Vs. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd</i> ,



		NHAI, (2019) 15 SCC 131.	(2013) 9 SCC 32
13	ASRITA NAYEK	NHAI v. M. Hakeem, (2021) 9 SCC 1	Sanjiv Prakash v. Seema Kukreja, CIVIL APPEAL NO. 976 OF 2021
14	KOUSHIK BARMAN	Jaipur Zila Dugdh Utpadak Sahkari Sangh Limited, (2021) 17 SCC 248.	M/S NN Global Mercantile Pvt Ltd v. M/S Indo Unique Flame Ltd & Others, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 13.
15	ANUSHREE PAUL	TRF Ltd. v. Energo Engg. Projects Ltd., (2017) 8 SCC 377	Haryana Space Application Centre (HARSAC) and Anr. v. Pan India Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and Anr., Civil Appeal No. 131 of 2021
16	AMRITA DAS	Tata Capita Finance Ltd. Vs. Shri Chand Construction and Apartment Pvt. Ltd.(Judgment dated 24.11.2021 in FAO(OS) 40/2020)	SMS Tea Estates (P) Ltd. v. Chandmari Tea Co. (P) Ltd., 2011) 14 SCC 66
17	SHAHIL SINGH	Arun Srivastava Vs. M/S Larsen & Toubro Ltd. Cm(M) 1520/2018	Government of Maharashtra v. Borse Brothers Engineers & Contractors Pvt. Ltd., CIVIL APPEAL NO. 995 OF 2021 (@ SLP (CIVIL) No.665 of 2021)
18	KHUSHI AGARWALA	Dlf Ltd. Vs. Leighton India Contractors Private Ltd. & Anr., Fao(Os) (Comm) 63/2020 & Cm	Indus Biotech Pvt. Ltd. v. Kotak India Venture (Offshore) Fund, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 268

		No.11090/2020	
19	YASH SINGH KHATI	Avantha Holdings Limited Vs. CG Power and Industrial Solutions Limited. <b>(Judgment dated 06.12.2021 in ARB. P. 361/2020)</b>	Inox Renewables Ltd. v Jayesh Electricals Ltd., CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1556 OF 2021
20	ADDRITA CHAKRABORTY	Swastik Pipe Ltd. V. Shri Ram Autotech Pvt. Ltd. (Judgment dated 05.07.2021 in ARB.P. 241/2021	Oriental Structural Engineers Pvt. Ltd. v State of Kerala, CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3454 OF 2011
21	GOURAV GHOSH	Uttarakhand Purv Sainik Kalyan Nigam Ltd. Vs. Northern Coal Field Ltd., Special Leave Petition (C) No. 11476 of 2018.	Bhaven Construction Through Authorised Signatory Premjibhai K. Shah v. Executive Engineer Sardar Sarovar Narmada Nigam Ltd.& Anr., 2022) 1 SCC 75
22	AYUSH KUMAR	Raza Vs. Sadaf Akhtar, Judgment dated 04 September 2019 in Civil Appeal No. 7005 of 2019	Chintels India Ltd. v. Bhayana Builders P. Ltd, LL 2021 SC 77, CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4028 OF 2020
23	DEBASHISH PAUL	Hindustan Construction Company Limited & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors., Judgment dated 27 November 2019 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 1074 of 2019	Pravin Electricals Pvt. Ltd. v Galaxy Infra and Engineering Pvt. Ltd., CIVIL APPEAL NO. 825 OF 2021, @ Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.8718 of 2020

<b>ROLL O.</b>	<b>NAME (B.COM LL.B)</b>	<b>CASE-1</b>	<b>CASE-2</b>
1	RANA AMIN	Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd. and Anr. v. M/s. Nortel Networks India Pvt. Ltd, CIVIL APPEAL Nos. 843-844 OF 2021 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 1531-32/ 2021)	Bharat Aluminium Co. v. Kaiser Aluminium Technical Services (BALCO), (2012) 9 SCC 552
2	PRATIK AGARWAL	Secunderabad Cantonment Board v B. Ramachandraiah & Sons, CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 900-902 OF 2021 (@ SLP (CIVIL) Nos.27960-62 of 2019)	National Highways Authority Of India vs Sayedabad Tea Co. Ltd. And Ors on 27 August, 2019
3	ISHIKA SINGH	Chief General Manager (IPC), M.P. Power Trading Co. Ltd. and Ors. v Narmada Equipments P. Ltd., Civil Appeal No 1051 of 2021 (Arising out of SLP(C) No 5750 of 2017)	Balco Case(Balco v.Union ofIndia) AIR 2002 SC 350
4	SINDRALA PAUL	Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. v Navigant Technologies Pvt. Ltd., LL 2021 SC 126, CIVIL APPEAL NO. 791 OF 2021 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 10372 / 2020	Vinod Bhaiyalal Jain vs Wadhvani Parmeshwari Cold Storage, AIR 2019 SUPREME COURT 3538,
5	RINTI PAUL	Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Company Pvt.	M/S. Uttarakhand Purv Sainik Kalyan ... vs Northern Coal Field

		Ltd.□(2010) 8 SCC 24	Limited , AIR 2020 SUPREME COURT 979
6	AMANJIT SINGH	Indus Mobile Distribution (P) Ltd. v. Datawind Innovations (P) Ltd. [(2017) 7 SCC 678],	<i>Brahmani River Pellets Ltd. vs Kamachi Industries Ltd.</i> AIR 2019 SUPREME COURT 3658
7	SEKH MANJUR ALAM	Sundaram Finance Ltd. v. Abdul Samad [AIR 2018 SC 965]	National Highways Authority Of India vs Sayedabad Tea Co. Ltd. And Ors, AIR ONLINE 2019 SC 942,
8	AFROZ HUSSAIN	Apex Buldsys Limited v. IRCON International Ltd [Judgment dated March 15, 2024 in Arb. P. 373 of 2023]	Salem Advocate Bar Association, Tamil ... vs Union Of India, AIR 2005 SUPREME COURT 3353,
9	SHEJAL CHETRY	Lease Plan India Pvt Ltd v. Rudraksh Pharma Distributor [Judgment dated April 10, 2024 in ARB. P. 1273 of 2023]	Dayawati v. Yogesh Kumar Gosain, 243 (2017) Delhi Law Times 117 (DB)
10	NEHA CHOUDHARY	Telecommunication Consultants India Ltd v. Shivaa Trading [Judgment dated April 9, 2024, in O.M.P. (COMM) 311 of 2022]	TATA Sons (P) Ltd. v. Siva Industries and Holdings Ltd., (2023) 5 SCC 421
11	DISHAN GUHA	Unnikrishnan v. Arbitrator	Unique India Insurance Co.

		(District Collector) Collectorate, Thrissur [2023 (4) KHC 521],	Ltd. v. Antique Art Exports (P) Ltd., (2019) 5 SCC 362.
12	MINAXI NANDI	ATC Telecom Infrastructure (P) Ltd. v. BSNL [O.M.P. (MISC.) (COMM.) 466 of 2023].	N.N. Global Mercantile (P) Ltd. v. Indo Unique Flame Ltd, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 1666...
13	SNEHA AGARWAL	Rohan Builders v. Berger Paint India Ltd [2023 SCC OnLine Cal 2645]	Vidya Drolia v. Durga Trading Corpn...., (2021) 2 SCC 1. 9.
14	ARCHANA RAMPURIA	Sporty Solutionz v. Badminton Association of India [LAWS (DLH) 2020 2 81].	Larsen Air Conditioning & Refrigeration Co. v. Union of India...2023 SCC OnLine SC 982....
15	AYUSH SAHA	M/s Geojit Financial Services Ltd v. Mrs. Nalani Rajkumar, [Judgment Dated March 21, 2024, in Original Side Appeal (CAD) 51 of 2021]	International Airports Authority of India v. K.D. Bali, (1988) 2 SCC 360....

## **HUMAN RIGHTS IN SCHOOLS AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ORIENTATION**

### **PART A**

#### **1. Foundational Aspects of Human Rights**

- a) Meaning and Concept of Human Rights
- b) Notion and Classification of Rights: Natural, Moral and Legal Rights
- c) Three Generations of Human Rights

**2. Human Rights Commission**

- a) Registration of Complaint in case of Human Rights Violation
- b) National Human Rights Commission
- c) State Human Rights Commission

**3. Case Studies**

- a) National Human Rights Commission (5 Case Studies)
- b) State Human Rights Commission (5 Case Studies)

**PART B**

- 1. School Campus Visit for spreading awareness on issues related to Human Rights.
- 2. Reporting of Five Case Laws on Environmental Pollution

**SEMESTER VIII**

**SEC. A**

<b>NAME OF THE STUDENT</b>	<b>CASE STUDIES</b>
NEEL CHAKRAVORTY	1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Oleum Gas Leak Case), (1987) 1 SCC 395 2. Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union

	of India, (1996) 3 SCC 212
KARAN GUPTA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Narmada Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2000) 10 SCC 664</li> <li>2. S. Jagannath v. Union of India, (1997) 2 SCC 87</li> </ol>
TENZING TOPDEN BHUTIA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A.P. Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu, (1999) 2 SCC 718</li> <li>2. Bombay Dyeing &amp; Mfg. Co. Ltd. v. Bombay Environmental Action Group, (2006) 3 SCC 434</li> </ol>
ANJUM ARA KHATUN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Goa Foundation v. Konkan Railway Corporation, AIR 1992 Bom 471</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Ganga Pollution Case), (1988) 1 SCC 471</li> </ol>
NEELAKSHI ROY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Vehicular Pollution Case), (1999) 6 SCC 12</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Calcutta Tanneries Case), (1997) 2 SCC 411</li> </ol>
SUJATA SONAR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Hazardous Waste Case), (1997) 3 SCC 715</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Delhi Industrial Relocation Case), (1996) 4 SCC 351</li> </ol>
AYUSH KUMAR MUKHIA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Badkal and Surajkund Lakes Case), (1997) 3 SCC 715</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Noise Pollution Case), (2005) 8 SCC 534</li> </ol>
SHUBHAJIT SINGHA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (CNG Vehicles Case), (2002) 4 SCC 356</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Taj Mahal Pollution Case), (1997) 2 SCC 353</li> </ol>
SAHANAWAZ HUSSAIN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Slaughterhouse Relocation Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Municipal Solid</li> </ol>

	Waste Management Case), (2004) 7 SCC 588
MRITYUNJAY ROY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Sealing of Industries Case), (2004) 6 SCC 588</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Yamuna Pollution Case), (2009) 17 SCC 645</li> </ol>
SOFIKUL ISLAM	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Delhi Ridge Case), (1996) 8 SCC 462</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Taj Corridor Case), (2003) 8 SCC 696</li> </ol>
AMBIKA GIRI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (LPG Cylinder Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Asbestos Case), (1995) 6 SCC 281</li> </ol>
PIYAS DAS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Mining in Aravalli Hills Case), (2009) 17 SCC 645</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Delhi Garbage Dumping Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> </ol>
KANCHITA ROY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Environmental Education Case), (2003) 3 SCC 433</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Plastic Waste Management Case), (1999) 1 SCC 409</li> </ol>
RESHMA YESMIN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (E-Waste Management Case), (2005) 8 SCC 534</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Biomedical Waste Management Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> </ol>
SREYA DAS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Pollution in Delhi Schools Case), (2001) 3 SCC 763</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Pesticide Residues in Soft Drinks Case), (2004) 6 SCC 588</li> </ol>



NANDINI GUPTA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Industrial Pollution in Delhi Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Environmental Impact Assessment Case), (2002) 4 SCC 356</li> </ol>
SHNEHA GUPTA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Coastal Zone Regulation Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Kamal Nath, (1997) 1 SCC 388</li> </ol>
BISHWAJEET KUMAR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Bihar v. Murad Ali Khan, AIR 1989 SC 1</li> <li>2. Tilak Bahadur Rai v. State of Arunachal Pradesh, AIR 1979 Gau 74</li> </ol>
AKASH KUMAR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Tarun Bharat Sangh, Alwar v. Union of India, AIR 1992 SC 514</li> <li>2. Chief Forest Conservator (Wildlife) v. Nisar Khan, (2003) 4 SCC 595</li> </ol>
MD KAIF	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Consumer Education and Research Society, Ahmedabad v. Union of India, (2000) 2 SCC 599</li> <li>2. Naveen Raheja v. Union of India, (2001) 9 SCC 762</li> </ol>
AMISHA GUPTA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Rajasthan v. Salman Khan, (2006) 3 SCC 374</li> <li>2. Moti Lal v. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another, (2002) 4 SCC 713</li> </ol>
GULABI HANSDA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ashwini Kumar Bhardwaj v. State of Rajasthan, (2002) 10 SCC 510</li> <li>2. S. Bylaiah v. State by Bannerghatta Police, (2008) 10 SCC 1</li> </ol>
ADITYA ROY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mahendra Panwar v. State of Uttarakhand, (2012) 11 SCC 205</li> <li>2. T.N. Godavarman Thirumulpad v. Union of India, (1997) 2 SCC 267</li> </ol>
DHANANJOY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Balram Kumawat v. Union of India, (2003) 7 SCC 628</li> </ol>

BARMAN	2. Sansar Chand v. State of Rajasthan, (2010) 10 SCC 604
RINTU BOSE	1. Centre for Environmental Law, WWF-I v. Union of India, (2013) 8 SCC 234 2. Wildlife Warden v. Komarikkal Elias, (1996) 1 SCC 753
JAYSHREE SINGHA	1. State of Gujarat v. Mirzapur Moti Kureshi Kassab Jamat, (2005) 8 SCC 534 2. State of Maharashtra v. Chandrabhan Tale, (1983) 3 SCC 387
ABDUL ROSID	1. State of Madhya Pradesh v. Kedia Leather & Liquor Ltd., (2003) 7 SCC 389 2. State of Karnataka v. Appa Balu Ingale, (1995) 4 SCC 469
SAGARIKA ROY	1. T.N. GodavarmanThirumulpad v. Union of India, (1997) 2 SCC 267 2. Tarun Bharat Sangh, Alwar v. Union of India, AIR 1992 SC 514
RISHAD AKHTER	1. State of Madhya Pradesh v. Krishnadas Tikaram, AIR 1995 SC 1103 2. KrishnadeviMalchandKamathia v. Bombay Environmental Action Group, (2011) 3 SCC 363
RIPAN ROY	1. Ambica Quarry Works v. State of Gujarat, (1987) 1 SCC 213 2. Rural Litigation and Entitlement Kendra v. State of Uttar Pradesh, AIR 1985 SC 652
REYA KUMARI GUPTA	1. State of Bihar v. Banshi Ram Modi, AIR 1985 SC 814 2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, (2004) 12 SCC 118
BIJITA PODDAR	1. Samatha v. State of Andhra Pradesh, (1997) 8 SCC 191 2. Orissa Mining Corporation Ltd. v. Ministry of Environment & Forests, (2013) 6 SCC 476

DORATI THAPA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Kerala v. Kumari T.P. Roshana, AIR 1979 SC 765</li> <li>2. State of Himachal Pradesh v. Ganesh Wood Products, (1995) 6 SCC 363</li> </ol>
CHENGBA TAMANG	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Narmada Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2000) 10 SCC 664</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Kamal Nath, (1997) 1 SCC 388</li> </ol>
PRAWESHIKA PRADHAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. K.M. Chinnappa v. Union of India, (2002) 10 SCC 606</li> <li>2. State of Gujarat v. Shantilal Mangaldas, AIR 1969 SC 634</li> </ol>
DEBANJALI GUHA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Karnataka v. Appa Balu Ingale, (1995) 4 SCC 469</li> <li>2. State of Maharashtra v. Chandrabhan Tale, (1983) 3 SCC 387</li> </ol>
SNEHASIS TARAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Madhya Pradesh v. Kedia Leather &amp; Liquor Ltd., (2003) 7 SCC 389</li> <li>2. State of Gujarat v. Mirzapur Moti Kureshi Kassab Jamat, (2005) 8 SCC 534</li> </ol>
DIYA GHOSH	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ms. Betty C. Alvares v. The State of Goa &amp; Ors., Application No. 26 (THC)/2013 (WZ)</li> <li>2. Almitra H. Patel &amp; Ors. v. Union of India, Original Application No. 199/2014</li> </ol>
BASUDEV BARMAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Save Mon Region Federation v. Union of India, Original Application No. 39/2012</li> <li>2. Samir Mehta v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 34/2015</li> </ol>
JUHI RIZWANA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sukhdev Vihar Residents Welfare Association v. State of NCT of Delhi &amp; Ors., Appeal No. 22/2011</li> <li>2. Vardhaman Kaushik v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 21/2014</li> </ol>

SHAMIMA YEASMIN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Paryavaran Suraksha Samiti &amp;Anr. v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 593/2017</li> <li>2. Shreeranganathan K.P. v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 606/2018</li> </ol>
MD IQBAL HASAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rohit Choudhury v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 42/2015</li> <li>2. Tribunal on its own motion v. Ministry of Environment &amp; Forests &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 200/2014</li> </ol>
YASH DAS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Gadadhar Malik v. Ministry of Environment Forest &amp; Climate Change, Original Application Nos. 70/2020/EZ, 56/2020/EZ, and 36/2017/EZ</li> <li>2. Vijay Singh v. State of Rajasthan &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 202/2021</li> </ol>
ROU AFSANI AHMED	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Samiran Co-operative Housing Society Ltd. v. Lopamudra Ghosh, Original Application No. 23/2021</li> <li>2. Om Dutt Singh &amp;Anr. v. State of Uttar Pradesh &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 58/2014</li> </ol>
ABUBAKKAR SIDDIK	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Debadityo Sinha &amp; Ors. v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 295/2016</li> <li>2. A.P. Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu, Appeal No. 58/2012</li> </ol>
SUBHALAXMI DAS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Coal Mining in Meghalaya, Suo Moto Case No. 110 (THC)/2012</li> <li>2. Gadadhar Malik v. Ministry of Environment Forest &amp; Climate Change, Original Application Nos. 70/2020/EZ, 56/2020/EZ, and 36/2017/EZ</li> </ol>
KRISHNA SINGHA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Vijay Singh v. State of Rajasthan &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 202/2021</li> <li>2. Samiran Co-operative Housing Society Ltd. v.</li> </ol>

	Lopamudra Ghosh, Original Application No. 23/2021
SYDNEY LAMA	1. Om Dutt Singh & Anr. v. State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors., Original Application No. 58/201 2. A.P. Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu, Appeal No. 58/2012
SABANA KHATOON	1. Coal Mining in Meghalaya (Suo Moto Case), Suo Moto Case No. 110 (THC)/2012 2. Kanhar Dam Case, Original Application No. 111/2014
PRIYANKA ROY	1. Mirzapur Thermal Power Plant Case, Original Application No. 231/2014. 2. State of Bihar v. Banshi Ram Modi, AIR 1985 SC 814
SAHID AKTAR	1. Ambica Quarry Works v. State of Gujarat, (1987) 1 SCC 213 2. Rural Litigation and Entitlement Kendra v. State of Uttar Pradesh, AIR 1985 SC 652

### Section B

NAME OF THE STUDENT	CASE STUDIES
AALIA SABERI	1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Oleum Gas Leak Case), (1987) 1 SCC 395 2. Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India, (1996) 3 SCC 212
PRIYAM GURUNG	1. Narmada Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2000) 10 SCC 664 2. S. Jagannath v. Union of India, (1997) 2 SCC 87
SHANIM LAMA	1. A.P. Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu, (1999) 2 SCC 718 2. Bombay Dyeing & Mfg. Co. Ltd. v. Bombay

	Environmental Action Group, (2006) 3 SCC 434
AHAMED OSAMA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Goa Foundation v. Konkan Railway Corporation, AIR 1992 Bom 471</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Ganga Pollution Case), (1988) 1 SCC 471</li> </ol>
SUHANA SAHA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Vehicular Pollution Case), (1999) 6 SCC 12</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Calcutta Tanneries Case), (1997) 2 SCC 411</li> </ol>
DEEPAK SHARMA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Hazardous Waste Case), (1997) 3 SCC 715</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Delhi Industrial Relocation Case), (1996) 4 SCC 351</li> </ol>
KUNAL BHARDWAJ	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Badkal and Surajkund Lakes Case), (1997) 3 SCC 715</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Noise Pollution Case), (2005) 8 SCC 534</li> </ol>
DEBOSHMITA ROY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (CNG Vehicles Case), (2002) 4 SCC 356</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Taj Mahal Pollution Case), (1997) 2 SCC 353</li> </ol>
TONUSHREE ROY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Slaughterhouse Relocation Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Municipal Solid Waste Management Case), (2004) 7 SCC 588</li> </ol>
URPITA SARKAR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Sealing of Industries Case), (2004) 6 SCC 588</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Yamuna Pollution Case), (2009) 17 SCC 645</li> </ol>
ISHA SRISWASTAV	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Delhi Ridge Case), (1996) 8 SCC 462</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Taj Corridor</li> </ol>

	Case), (2003) 8 SCC 696
PRIYANKA CHOUDHURY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (LPG Cylinder Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Asbestos Case), (1995) 6 SCC 281</li> </ol>
SOURAV SARKAR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Mining in Aravalli Hills Case), (2009) 17 SCC 645</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Delhi Garbage Dumping Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> </ol>
SULTANA GULREJ	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Environmental Education Case), (2003) 3 SCC 433</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Plastic Waste Management Case), (1999) 1 SCC 409</li> </ol>
PRITISHA TEWARI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (E-Waste Management Case), (2005) 8 SCC 534</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Biomedical Waste Management Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> </ol>
BONEY DAS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Pollution in Delhi Schools Case), (2001) 3 SCC 763</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Pesticide Residues in Soft Drinks Case), (2004) 6 SCC 588</li> </ol>
AIKANTICA SENGUPTA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Industrial Pollution in Delhi Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Environmental Impact Assessment Case), (2002) 4 SCC 356</li> </ol>
SUNANDA SARKAR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Coastal Zone Regulation Case), (1996) 4 SCC 750</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Kamal Nath, (1997) 1 SCC 388</li> </ol>
SAMIKSHA RAI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Bihar v. Murad Ali Khan, AIR 1989 SC 1</li> <li>2. Tilak Bahadur Rai v. State of Arunachal</li> </ol>

	Pradesh, AIR 1979 Gau 74
ANISHA PRADHAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Tarun Bharat Sangh, Alwar v. Union of India, AIR 1992 SC 514</li> <li>2. Chief Forest Conservator (Wildlife) v. Nisar Khan, (2003) 4 SCC 595</li> </ol>
AKRAM ALI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Consumer Education and Research Society, Ahmedabad v. Union of India, (2000) 2 SCC 599</li> <li>2. Naveen Raheja v. Union of India, (2001) 9 SCC 762</li> </ol>
ANANNYA SARKAR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Rajasthan v. Salman Khan, (2006) 3 SCC 374</li> <li>2. Moti Lal v. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another, (2002) 4 SCC 713</li> </ol>
SUMITA DUTTA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ashwini Kumar Bhardwaj v. State of Rajasthan, (2002) 10 SCC 510</li> <li>2. S. Bylaiah v. State by Bannerghatta Police, (2008) 10 SCC 1</li> </ol>
LIPI SAHA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mahendra Panwar v. State of Uttarakhand, (2012) 11 SCC 205</li> <li>2. T.N. Godavarman Thirumulpad v. Union of India, (1997) 2 SCC 267</li> </ol>
HASMAT ALI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Balram Kumawat v. Union of India, (2003) 7 SCC 628</li> <li>2. Sansar Chand v. State of Rajasthan, (2010) 10 SCC 604</li> </ol>
NISCHAL KUMAR SAHA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Centre for Environmental Law, WWF-I v. Union of India, (2013) 8 SCC 234</li> <li>2. Wildlife Warden v. Komarikkal Elias, (1996) 1 SCC 753</li> </ol>
SHANKHAMITA GHOSH	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Gujarat v. Mirzapur Moti Kureshi Kassab Jamat, (2005) 8 SCC 534</li> <li>2. State of Maharashtra v. Chandrabhan Tale,</li> </ol>



	(1983) 3 SCC 387
SARITA THAMI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Madhya Pradesh v. Kedia Leather &amp; Liquor Ltd., (2003) 7 SCC 389</li> <li>2. State of Karnataka v. Appa Balu Ingale, (1995) 4 SCC 469</li> </ol>
MD QUAZI AFJAL HUSSAIN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. T.N. GodavarmanThirumulpad v. Union of India, (1997) 2 SCC 267</li> <li>2. Tarun Bharat Sangh, Alwar v. Union of India, AIR 1992 SC 514</li> </ol>
SURIT NEOPANY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Madhya Pradesh v. Krishnadas Tikaram, AIR 1995 SC 1103</li> <li>2. KrishnadeviMalchandKamathia v. Bombay Environmental Action Group, (2011) 3 SCC 363</li> </ol>
ANKIT THAKUR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ambica Quarry Works v. State of Gujarat, (1987) 1 SCC 213</li> <li>2. Rural Litigation and Entitlement Kendra v. State of Uttar Pradesh, AIR 1985 SC 652</li> </ol>
PRATIK CHHETRI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Bihar v. Banshi Ram Modi, AIR 1985 SC 814</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, (2004) 12 SCC 118</li> </ol>
SAMADRITA DUTTA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Samatha v. State of Andhra Pradesh, (1997) 8 SCC 191</li> <li>2. Orissa Mining Corporation Ltd. v. Ministry of Environment &amp; Forests, (2013) 6 SCC 476</li> </ol>
HINA SHAH	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Kerala v. Kumari T.P. Roshana, AIR 1979 SC 765</li> <li>2. State of Himachal Pradesh v. Ganesh Wood Products, (1995) 6 SCC 363</li> </ol>
ARMINA PARVIN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Narmada Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2000) 10 SCC 664</li> <li>2. M.C. Mehta v. Kamal Nath, (1997) 1 SCC 388</li> </ol>

ISTRELA TAMANG	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. K.M. Chinnappa v. Union of India, (2002) 10 SCC 606</li> <li>2. State of Gujarat v. Shantilal Mangaldas, AIR 1969 SC 634</li> </ol>
FARJANA AKTARI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Karnataka v. Appa Balu Ingale, (1995) 4 SCC 469</li> <li>2. State of Maharashtra v. Chandrabhan Tale, (1983) 3 SCC 387</li> </ol>
JANVI CHHETRI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. State of Madhya Pradesh v. Kedia Leather &amp; Liquor Ltd., (2003) 7 SCC 389</li> <li>2. State of Gujarat v. Mirzapur Moti Kureshi Kassab Jamat, (2005) 8 SCC 534</li> </ol>
SILKY SINGH	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ms. Betty C. Alvares v. The State of Goa &amp; Ors., Application No. 26 (THC)/2013 (WZ)</li> <li>2. Almitra H. Patel &amp; Ors. v. Union of India, Original Application No. 199/2014</li> </ol>
DIPA BARMAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Save Mon Region Federation v. Union of India, Original Application No. 39/2012</li> <li>2. Samir Mehta v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 34/2015</li> </ol>
ANU RAI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sukhdev Vihar Residents Welfare Association v. State of NCT of Delhi &amp; Ors., Appeal No. 22/2011</li> <li>2. Vardhaman Kaushik v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 21/2014</li> </ol>
SHRIYA KARKI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Paryavaran Suraksha Samiti &amp;Anr. v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 593/2017</li> <li>2. Shreeranganathan K.P. v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 606/2018</li> </ol>
MD SOHEL ANWAR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rohit Choudhury v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 42/2015</li> <li>2. Tribunal on its own motion v. Ministry of Environment &amp; Forests &amp; Ors., Original</li> </ol>

	Application No. 200/2014
PURNIMA SHARMA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Gadadhar Malik v. Ministry of Environment Forest &amp; Climate Change, Original Application Nos. 70/2020/EZ, 56/2020/EZ, and 36/2017/EZ</li> <li>2. Vijay Singh v. State of Rajasthan &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 202/2021</li> </ol>
SHILPI BARMAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Samiran Co-operative Housing Society Ltd. v. Lopamudra Ghosh, Original Application No. 23/2021</li> <li>2. Om Dutt Singh &amp;Anr. v. State of Uttar Pradesh &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 58/2014</li> </ol>
KUGHASHI K CHISHI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Debadityo Sinha &amp; Ors. v. Union of India &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 295/2016</li> <li>2. A.P. Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu, Appeal No. 58/2012</li> </ol>
HUKSHUHANG RAI	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Coal Mining in Meghalaya, Suo Moto Case No. 110 (THC)/2012</li> <li>2. Gadadhar Malik v. Ministry of Environment Forest &amp; Climate Change, Original Application Nos. 70/2020/EZ, 56/2020/EZ, and 36/2017/EZ</li> </ol>
RINKI HAZRA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Vijay Singh v. State of Rajasthan &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 202/2021</li> <li>2. Samiran Co-operative Housing Society Ltd. v. Lopamudra Ghosh, Original Application No. 23/2021</li> </ol>
DIYA ROY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Om Dutt Singh &amp;Anr. v. State of Uttar Pradesh &amp; Ors., Original Application No. 58/2014</li> <li>2. A.P. Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu, Appeal No. 58/2012</li> </ol>

ANANYA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Coal Mining in Meghalaya (Suo Moto Case), Suo Moto Case No. 110 (THC)/2012 Kanhar Dam Case, Original Application No. 111/2014</li> <li>2. Kanhar Dam Case, Original Application No. 111/2014</li> </ol>
CHANDNI PAL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mirzapur Thermal Power Plant Case, Original Application No. 231/2014.</li> <li>2. State of Bihar v. Banshi Ram Modi, AIR 1985 SC 814</li> </ol>
DEBJANI CHAKRABORTY	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ambica Quarry Works v. State of Gujarat, (1987) 1 SCC 213</li> <li>2. Rural Litigation and Entitlement Kendra v. State of Uttar Pradesh, AIR 1985 SC 652</li> </ol>

Note:

- Pursuant to the academic requirements, each student is mandated to submit a total of 5 case studies. While two case studies have already been assigned to the students, they are expected to select and complete the remaining three case studies independently.
- The Case Studies should be written in the following format:

**1. Title of the Case**

- a) Full name of the Case
- b) Citation
- c) Name of the Court
- d) Bench
- e) Date of Judgment

- 2. Introduction** – Give a brief overview of the case, highlighting the context of the emergence of the case, its significance and implications on the legal aspects or society.

**3. Facts of the Case** – Write the facts of the case in a concise manner highlighting the crucial events that compelled the legal action.

**4. Issues of the Case**

- a) State the issues or questions to be dealt by the concerned Court of Law.
- b) Observations to all the issues mentioned should be stated.

**5. Arguments**

- a) State the arguments put forward by both the parties.
- b) Also include the arguments placed before the Court by Amicus Curiae (if any).

**6. Judgment** – Precisely present the judgment of the Court mentioning its order and directions.

- a) State the basis on which the judgment was delivered by the Court (including the majority opinion and the dissenting opinion).
- b) State the legal principles involved in the judgment.

**7. Critical Analysis of the Judgment.**

**5YR. B.B.A/B.Com LL.B [H] SEMESTER VIII**

**SUBJECT TEACHER - Ms. Usha Saha**

**SUBJECT- “HUMAN RIGHTS IN SCHOOLS AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ORIENTATION”**

**DETAILED OUTLINE OF THE PRACTICAL PROJECT WRITING**

**❖ ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

**❖ RESEARCH**

**METHODOLOGY**

- EVOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM
  - STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM
  - RESEARCH OBJECTIVE
  - RESEARCH QUESTIONS
  - HYPOTHESIS
  - METHODOLOGY- DOCTRINAL OR ANALYTICAL
- ❖ TABLE OF CASES
- ❖ **LIST OF ABBREVIATION**
- ❖ **CONTENTS**

## **PART - A**

### **CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION:**

1.1. Meaning and Concept of Human Rights

1.2. Notion and Classification of Rights : Natural, Moral and Legal Rights

1.3. Three Generations of Human Rights (Civil and Political Rights; Economic, Social and Cultural Rights; Collective/Solidarity Right)

## **CHAPTER II: HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION:**

2.1. Registration of complaint in case of Human Rights Violation

2.2. National Human Rights Commission

2.3. State Human Rights Commission.

### **CHAPTER III: STUDY OF CASE LAWS:**

1. National Human Rights Commission (5)

2. State Human Rights Commission (5)

### **PART**

### **B**

	<b>BBA</b>	
<b>ROLL No.</b>	<b>NAME</b>	<b>CASE STUDY</b>
1	TABEN IQUBAL	<b>D.K. Basu v. State of West Bengal (1997) 1 SCC 416</b>
2	RAHUL AGARWAL	<b>Nilabati Behera v. State of Orissa (1993) 2 SCC 746</b>

3	RIMA SAHA	<b>Sunil Batra v. Delhi Administration (1978) 4 SCC 494</b>
4	MD JAID AKTHER	<b>Sheela Barse v. State of Maharashtra (1983) 2 SCC 96</b>
5	SOMYA JYOTI ROY	<b>Prem Shankar Shukla v. Delhi Administration (1980) 3 SCC 526</b>
6	ALIPHIYA NAG	<b>People's Union for Democratic Rights v. Union of India (1982) 3 SCC 235</b>
7	TUSHAR GUPTA	<b>Bandhua Mukti Morcha v. Union of India (1984) 3 SCC 161</b>
8	ANANYA MANDAL	<b>Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar (1979) 3 SCC 532</b>
9	MANIK RAI	<b>PUCL v. Union of India (Telephone Tapping Case) (1997) 1 SCC 301</b>
10	IBRAN ALAM	<b>Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan (1997) 6 SCC 241</b>
11	AINDRILA GOSWAMI	<b>Chairman, Railway Board v. Chandrima Das</b>



		<b>(2000) 2 SCC 465</b>
12	PALAK GUPTA	<b>Prakash Singh v. Union of India (2006) 8 SCC 1</b>
13	ASRITA NAYEK	<b>Gaurav Jain v. Union of India (1997) 8 SCC 114</b>
14	KOUSHIK BARMAN	<b>State of Maharashtra v. Madhukar Narayan Mardikar (1991) 1 SCC 57</b>
15	ANUSHREE PAUL	<b>PUCL v. Union of India (Right to Food Case) (2001) 5 SCC 311</b>
16	AMRITA DAS	<b>NALSA v. Union of India (2014) 5 SCC 438</b>
17	SHAHIL SINGH	<b>Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India (2018) 10 SCC 1</b>
18	KHUSHI AGARWALA	<b>Shayara Bano v. Union of India (Triple Talaq Case) (2017) 9 SCC 1</b>
19	YASH SINGH KHATI	<b>X v. Hospital Z (1998) 8 SCC 296</b>
20	ADDRITA CHAKRABORTY	<b>Selvi v. State of Karnataka (2010) 7 SCC 263</b>

21	GOURAV GHOSH	<b>Indian Young Lawyers Association v. State of Kerala (Sabarimala Case) (2019) 11 SCC 1</b>
22	AYUSH KUMAR	<b>Olga Tellis &amp; Ors. v. Bombay Municipal Corporation (1985) 3 SCC 545</b>
23	DEBASHISH PAUL	<b>Francis Coralie Mullin v. Administrator, Union Territory of Delhi (1981) 1 SCC 608</b>

	<b>BCOM</b>	
<b>ROLL No.</b>	<b>NAME</b>	<b>CASE STUDY</b>
1	RANA AMIN	<b>A.D.M Jabalpur vs. Shivakant Sukla [1976 (2) SCC 521]</b>
2	PRATIK AGARWAL	<b>Chameli Singh v. State of U.P. (1996) 2 SCC 549</b>
3	ISHIKA SINGH	<b>Indra Sawhney v. Union of India (Mandal Commission Case) 1992 Supp (3) SCC 217</b>
4	SINDRALA PAUL	<b>Laxmi v. Union of India (Acid Attack Victims Case) (2015) 3 SCC 719</b>

5	RINTI PAUL	<b>Mohammad Ghulam Mohiuddin v. State of Maharashtra</b>  (2002) 2 SCC 213
6	AMANJIT SINGH	<b>Nirbhaya Case (Mukesh v. State of NCT of Delhi) (2017) 6 SCC 1</b>
7	SEKH MANJUR ALAM	<b>Tehseen Poonawalla v. Union of India (2018) 9 SCC 501</b>
8	AFROZ HUSSAIN	<b>Common Cause v. Union of India (2018) 5 SCC 1</b>
9	SHEJAL CHETRY	<b>Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India (2020) 3 SCC 637</b>
10	NEHA CHOUDHARY	<b>Joseph Shine v. Union of India (2019) 3 SCC 39</b>
11	DISHAN GUHA	Unni Krishnan v. State of Andhra Pradesh (1993) 1 SCC 645
12	MINAXI NANDI	National Human Rights Commission v. State of Arunachal Pradesh (1996) 1 SCC 742
13	SNEHA AGARWAL	T. M.A. Pai Foundation vs. State of Karnataka (AIR 2003 SC 355)
14	ARCHANA	Joginder Kumar v. state of U.P (1994) 4 SCC 260

	RAMPURIA	
15	AYUSH SAHA	Delhi Domestic working women's Forums v. Union of India (1995) 1 SCC 14

## **X SEMESTER**

**SUBJECT: MOOT COURT EXERCISE, COURT VISIT AND INTERNSHIP**

**[BBA / B.COM, LL.B. (HONS.)]**

**Course Teacher Name : Debabrata Pyne, Assistant Professor of Law**

### **CIVIL MOOT PROPOSITION**

1. Indine is a country in Asian continent. It is a Democratic, Republic and Secular Nation with a population over 100 billion. The country has the largest written Constitution in the world. The country follows a federal structure of governance with a Union Government at the Centre and State Governments at state level for each 29 states with capital at Selhi.

The VIIth Schedule of the Constitution of Indine contains Three Lists which catalogues the legislative competency between Union and State legislatures.

2. One Manish Chandra Paul transferred his land measuring eight kathas to Sri Ajay Chandra Paul, Sri Fanindra Paul and Smt. Sonu Rani Paul by virtue of registered deed of sale being no. 3391 of 1972 in the State of Paschimi Bangal. After said transfer the purchasers duly partitioned their such property and Smt. Sonu Rani Paul who happens to be the mother of the plaintiff named Sri Aurobindo Paul and defendants namely Sri Soumya Paul, Sri Turjo Paul and Smt Sritika Roy became sole and exclusive owner of the suit land measuring four kathas. Thereafter Sonu Rani Paul obtained one building plan of two storied residential construction and the said plan was approved by Siliguri Municipal Corporation and accordingly with the funds provided by Manish Chandra Paul and the plaintiff, the ground floor of the building was constructed. After demise of Sonu Rani Paul, her three sons and one daughter inherited the same. The plaintiff is residing in and over the ground floor and the defendant no. 1 and 2 are residing on the first floor of the building and the

second floor of the building is yet to be completed. The defendant no. 3 got married on 30.06.2006 and she is now staying at Halisahar, North 24 Parganas. Dispute initially occurred with regard to use of a garage and the plaintiff requested partition but as the defendants failed and neglected to make amicable partition so the plaintiff has filed this suit.

Draft a **Plaint and Written Statement** before the Court with appropriate jurisdiction highlighting the following issues:

1. Whether the suit is maintainable on its fact and in law ?
2. Whether the plaintiff has any cause of action to file this suit?
3. Is the suit is barred by principles of estoppel, waiver and acquiescence?
4. Whether the suit is barred by law of limitation, or not ?
5. Whether the suit land is joint property of the parties?
6. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to get a decree as prayed for ?
7. To what other relief /reliefs the plaintiff is entitled to get as

per law and equity?

### **CRIMINAL MOOT PROPOSITION**

Yug Pali is a resident of Sune residing at 101, Raj heights, Math rod, Sune -31. He belongs to a family dealing in the business of hospitality and transport services since several years in Central India, which has to their credit successful running of various Hotels, Resorts etc. Yug has various businesses at Sune, in the name of Tai Goods Transport Garrage, Tau Automobile, Tai Hospitality Pvt. Ltd., which is running one of the prestigious Hotel of Sune City by name "Hotel Tai International. In the said Hotel, Yug Pali has also applied for and was granted Certificate of Import & Export. Yug is an Income-tax payee and a peace loving and law-abiding citizen. As Yug is in hotel business, he decided to open a Restaurant at Tumbai. For the said purpose, he along with some of his friends incorporated a Company by name "Sirupati Restaurants & Cafe Private Limited" wherein Yug is one of the Director and shareholder. The said Company obtained a premise admeasuring about 3500 Sq.ft. alongwith right to use adjoining open area of 4510 sq.ft. and upper mezzanine floor of 2800 sq.ft. situated at third floor of Trade House, Hamala Mills Compound on Leave and License basis from the owner of the land and the Developer namely "Royal Traders" & "Hamala Mills Limited". Upon obtaining all the necessary permissions as required from various authorities for running the business, Yug's company started the business under the name and style as "TOJOS VISTRO" in the said premises in the month of June, 2022. Yug got married on 31/01/2025 at Jaipur at destination wedding and reception was held at Sune on 06/02/2025. Yug and his wife Ragini went for honeymoon to Paris on 26/02/2025 and returned to India on 14/03/2025.

On the night in between 15th & 16th March, 2025, there was an unfortunate incidence of fire in the adjoining Restaurant namely "20 Heights". Yug was not present at the time of the said incidence anywhere near the said restaurant. He was telephonically informed about the said incidence by his Manager after midnight of 15/03/2025 i.e. sometime at about 1:00 a.m. of 16/03/2024, while he was travelling from Sune to Tumbai. By the time Yug reached the spot

at Hamala Mills and met his staff, everything was over and he was not allowed to go near the building, as the premise was completely sealed off for the rescue operations. Yug was informed that the staff of his Company has not only ensured that all the customers of the restaurant of the company of Yug are safely rescued from the fire, which has spread to the restaurant of the company of Yug also, but they had saved the lives of many customers of "20 Heights" restaurant, who were trapped in the fire. Subsequently, Yug came to know that unfortunately, the said incidence of fire has caused the loss of lives of as many as 24 persons, besides injuring many.

Crime No.305/2025 was registered at J.M.Roshi Marg Police Station, Tumbai for the offences punishable under Sections 304 & 337, 338, 216, 285 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code or in any other offences against Yug and 4 more persons on 16/03/2025.

It is alleged that the fire started at the terrace of Yug's hotel as hukkah was served. But Yug's hotel is legally permitted to serve hukkah as the circular issued by office of Commissioner of Police dated 09/12/2024 pwhich is based on order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court itself has clarified that restaurants are allowed to serve Hookah in Smoking zone area as per the Cigarattes and Other Tobacoo Products Act 2003 (COTPA).

The Staff of Yug's Restaurant was thoroughly trained staff and due to this reason, the staff was able to locate the building fire and evacuate the guests and others to safety, without any injuries or casualties and they also helped the customers of the other restaurant.

**Details of Hotel Premises:** The said premises was obtained on Leave and License basis, the construction as required by the Company of Yug was provided by the Landlord and Yug has not carried out any construction of permanent nature. The adjacent premises of the said building, another Restaurant by name '20 Heights' was being run. There was a common wall between the two Restaurants. As far as the Restaurant run by the Company of Yug is concerned, all the necessary permissions including the permission from the Fire Department was granted by the competent authorities and the Company has complied with all the measures/requirements which the authorities have called upon to do. It is learnt that as far as



the adjoining Restaurant is concerned, the said adjoining Restaurant was said to have not complied with various requirements particularly pertaining to Fire Safety as directed by the authorities. There is a map which was in fact part and parcel of the Leave and License Agreement executed in favour of the company of Sug.

The 'Spot Panchanama' dated 16/03/2025 states all unfortunate victims have died in the bathroom/toilet constructed by '20 Heights' restaurant and it appears that there was no ventilation or exhaust fan in the bathroom/toilet where unfortunate death happened due to suffocation. The forensic report submitted by the prosecution it is categorically stated that Carboxyhaemoglobin was detected in blood sample of victims in forensic examination reports.

Sug has been arrested on 20/03/2025 in Crime No.305/2025 dated 16/03/2025 registered at T.M.Roshi Marg Police Station, Dumbai for the offences punishable under Sections 304 & 337, 338, 216, 285 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code. Sug has been sent to Judicial Custody on 26/03/2025 after being in Police custodial remand for 6 days. A bail application was presented

before the magistrate Court Goiwada, Tumbai, which came to be rejected on 27/03/2024. Yug has now applied for a regular bail before the Sessions Court, Tumbai.

Draft and argue a Bail application on behalf of Sug and draft a reply to the Bail Application on behalf of investigating officer/State denying the grant of bail.

### **CONSTITUTIONAL MOOT PROPOSITION**

1. Indiana is a country in Asian continent. It is a Democratic, Republic and Secular Nation with a population over 100 billion. The country has the largest written Constitution in the world. The country follows a federal structure of governance with a Union Government at the Centre and State Governments at state level for each 29 states with capital at Selhi.

The VIIth Schedule of the Constitution of India contains Three Lists which catalogue the legislative competency between Union and State legislatures.

2. On 15th July, 2021 the Union Parliament passed the Citizenship (Amendment) Act, 2021 governing citizenship. The Act was heavily criticized by the opposition as it contains certain provisions which could tamper with the Secular nature of India. The Government anticipating nationwide protest and agitation resorted to preemptory measures to handle the adverse situations which could arise in the context.
3. The Country witnessed massive protest and dharnas and movements against this law following its notification. Many prominent leaders from the opposition, various political organizations, and social activists took part in the protest. On 25th July, 2021 the Controller of Certifying Authorities issued an order to intercept information through any computer resource of some high level politicians, activists and journalists in consonance with Sec. 69 of the IT Act, 2000. Similar orders were issued by the telegraph authority to intercept information through telegraph devices also.
4. The order of the Controller of the Certifying Authorities dated 25th July, 2021 directed J K Technologies to intercept the information with aid of spyware named “spygaus” which is used to spy on users of Facebook’s messaging platform, Whatsapp etc.. Accordingly, the company proceeded with the process of interception of communication of people as per the list forwarded by the Controller.
5. On 27th July, 2021 Mr. Jameer Ali, the editor in chief of People Today, a national daily and publishers of various magazines scheduled an interview with a notable Constitutional Law expert and social activist Dr. Sameer Chowdhary, discussing the constitutional aspects of the sensational enactment. Since, it was to be published the very next day Mr. Ali sent the questions to Dr. Chowdhary via Whatsapp, to which he responded in the form of voice messages. Both Mr. Ali and Dr. Chowdhary were

supporters of the protest and found the Act, as an instrument for subscribing to the ideology of ruling party throughout the Nation. On the very next day at about 2:00 AM the local police arrested Dr. Chowdhary from his house and Mr. Ali from his office at South Selhi, which is in the National Capital Territory of New Selhi.

6. Both of them were detained in judicial custody without giving any information as to why they were arrested. After a long time it was informed that, in the interview Dr. Chowdhary has allegedly criticized the Prime Minister and the Home Minister, citing instances of maladministration and the way in which the chaos in the country is been handled. Further, the statements given by Mr. Ali that “the master brains are trying to achieve their agenda of transforming the nation in accordance with their political agenda, and if the people remain silent today, they will achieve what our forefathers never wanted for this country” and that “They will erase the history” were found fault with as allegedly having a content of incitement. Both of them were charged under Sec. 124 A of Indiana Penal Code, 1860 and were produced before the Magistrate.
  
7. The Judicial First Class Magistrate of South Selhi, under Sec. 311A of Indiana Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, issued an order to collect voice samples of both the accused for digital analysis and accordingly their voice samples were collected. They were released on conditional bail. Both Mr. Ali and Dr. Chowdhary approached the High Court of New Selhi under Art. 226 of the Constitution of India challenging the constitutionality of the order of interception issued by the Controller under Sec. 124A of the Indiana Penal Code and also the order of the Magistrate under Sec. 311A of Criminal Procedure Code. At the same time they filed a complaint before the Data Protection Authority of India alleging that the interception of their data by the State was in violation of rights protected under The Protection of Personal Data Act, 2019. The Authority dismissed the complaint stating that the Whatsapp chat that was alleged to have been intercepted does not come within the meaning of Personal Data as defined under Sec. 2(29) of the Act. Further the Authority observed that even if considered otherwise the said interception is exempted under Sec. 42 and 43 of the Act. The Appellate Tribunal confirmed the order of the Authority.

8. The High Court of New Selhi upheld the constitutionality of the proceedings initiated by the Controller against the petitioner/Appellants and also the Order of the Magistrate under Section 311 A. In the same proceedings the High Court by invoking Sec. 482 of the Indiana Criminal Procedure Code formed a Special Investigation Team to probe into the data interception using spygaus by J K technologies and the involvement of the Union Government in this regard. Aggrieved by the order of the High Court and the Appellate Tribunal, Mr. Ali and Dr. Chowdhary filed appeal before the Hon"ble Supreme Court of Indiana. The State also filed an appeal against the Order of the High Court forming SIT.All the three appeals came to be admitted by the Supreme Court, which decided to hear all the matters together and framed the following questions for its consideration:

- A. Whether the Appellate Tribunal erred in not interfering with the decision of the Data Protection Authority justifying the interception of data and hence whether the same is sustainable in law?
- B. Whether the High Court erred in its decision approving the constitutionality of the proceedings initiated by the Controller of the Certifying Authorities under Sec. 69 of the IT Act, 2000?
- C. Whether the Order of the High Court endorsing the constitutionality of the decision of the Magistrate directing collection of voice samples of Dr. Chowdhary is legally sustainable in the backdrop of the right against self-incriminationguaranteed under Article 20(3) of the Constitution?
- D. Whether the decision of the High Court to suo motu invoke the inherent jurisdiction under Sec. 482 of the Indiana Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 to

form a special investigation team to probe into a matter under investigation is sustainable in law and facts?

Note:

- The Constitution of Republic of Indiana is pari materia to the Constitution of India.
- All the legislations of Republic of Indiana is pari materia to the legislations of Republic of India.
- The Protection of Personal Data Act, 2019 of Indiana is pari materia to The Protection of Personal Data Bill, 2019.
- The Counsels will be having liberty to identify and raise additional issues apart from the issues suggested above.

### **MOOT PROPOSITION ON CIVIL LAW FOR SEMESTER X (A and B)**

Name of the Teacher : Mrs. Anushree Saha and Mr. Saurav Chakraborty

1. The Republic of Shalvak is the third largest democratic country in the world with 27 Provinces and 3 Centrally Administered Units. The Constitution of the country indicates that the country has adopted Federalism. The Constitution of the Republic of Shalvak provides six Fundamental Rights including Right to Life under Article 21 of the Constitution and several other Human Rights and Statutory Rights which are at par with the International Documents including Universal Declaration of Human Rights etc. The Constitution also offers remedial measures for human right violations.

2. The country has a rich tradition of ancient medical system which includes holistic healing of mind and body. Accordingly, the country also boasts of a strong Health Act which was

enacted in 1956 and it was amended only in 1996 to include a provision related legalizing sex change operations for trans gender people. The significant chapters of this Act includes-

- (I) Right to holistic health as part of right to life,
- (II) Right against negligent behavior of the health service providers including the doctors, paramedics, nurses, hospitals, and hospital managements,
- (III) Right against illegal abortions, against illegal organ trafficking,
- (IV) Right to access free medical aid provided by the government.

3. The country has also enacted Information Technology Act and other procedural laws which are similar as it is with Indian Information Technology Act, Indian Penal Code, Criminal procedure Code, Civil Procedure Code, Evidence Act etc.

4. The country has a beautiful and strong system of Separation of Power and has strong institutions to look after human right violation issues.

5. On 6th December 2022, the police in Chandrikapur district of Bhanu Pradesh, a province of the Republic of Shalvak received a complaint of unnatural death of 6 members in a family. The investigation results suggested that the head of the family Mr. Nandvan was suffering from heavy depression due to continuous harassment, pressure at workplace. He used to take 'Calioregamantle' tablet as anti-depressant. This was not a prescribed medicine. Nandvan searched on internet about best anti-depressant medicines that are available in the market without prescription, and he purchased the same online. He was always afraid that if he loses the job due to office politics his two minor children, his wife and his aged parents have to undergo tremendous hardship as he is the sole earner of his family.

6. On 4th December 2022 he had some altercations with his supervisor at office. He started shouting at the supervisor and threw his bag to him. The supervisor informed him that

disciplinary action will be taken against him. Nandvan came back, had dinner with his family and within 2 hours all the family members died due to heavy dose of Calioregamantle medicine.

7. A suicide note was recovered from the body of Nandvan where he stated that due to extreme pressure, he is taking this step, and no one is responsible for his death. As there were no suicide notes found from other family members, police assumed that Nandvan had secretly mixed the Calioregamantle medicine in the dinner food that was consumed by the rest of the family members.

8. Police registered the case of unnatural death. But the chargesheet included the names of the supervisor and some colleagues with whom the altercation happened and who came to stop Nandvan from physically assaulting the supervisor. The chargesheet also mentioned about the pharmaceutical company 'Moon Pharmaceutical Pvt. Ltd'. and the e-commerce platform 'Flipdeal' that was selling the Calioregamantle medicine.

9. The significant points are as follows:

a. Nandvan was subjected to mental harassment and workplace torture. There were no policies in the workplace regarding organisational behaviour related training and no counsellor was available to assess the psychological status of the employees.

b. Calioregamantle medicine is a restricted medicine in other jurisdictions as unprescribed doses could cause heart attack. But pharmaceutical company named 'Moon Pharmaceutical Pvt. Ltd.' that was manufacturing this medicine in the Republic of Shalvak did not carry out the necessary tests and hence it was made easily available for the market.

c. The e-commerce platform 'Flipdeal' that facilitated the sale of the medicine is hosted in the United States of America. The platform can be accessed from the Republic of Shalvak. The medicine is listed as 'available' when the investigation was being done . The information about the medicine on the web portal stated that this is the best antidepressant medicine. It will not have much side effects except that it may cause slight giddiness. It will not cause heavy effect on nerves. It also mentioned that the medicine can be taken after food twice in a day and will cause a

calming and relaxing effect on the body.

d. But the e-commerce platform 'Flipdeal' does not have any nodal officer or grievance redressal officer/office situated in the said country. The availability of the medicine on the platform and the review of the medicine on the open platform may encourage people to buy it in large quantity without knowing any side effects of the same. The said company has to be made liable for selling

unprescribed medicines.

10. While the case got listed in the court, the media started airing about the peculiar nature of the death. This attracted the attention of an NGO named 'Centre for Therapeutic Legal Healing'. They filed a writ application in the High Court referring to the death of Nandvan and his family and wanted the High Court to consider directing (i) for a policy guideline for workplace mental health regulation and (ii) for making the e-commerce platform liable for misleading general consumers about the availability of the "dangerous" drug.

#### **11. The High Court of Bhanu Pradesh has to decide on these 4 issues:**

**(i) Whether the present case is maintainable in the High Court of Bhanu**

**Pradesh or not?**

**(ii) Whether the High Court of Bhanu Pradesh can direct for**



**formulation of Mental Health Regulation related laws and policy guidelines?**

**(iii) Whether the US based E-commerce platform 'Flipdeal' is liable for facilitating the sale of unprescribed medicine?**

**(iv) Whether the pharmaceutical company 'Moon Pharmaceutical Pvt. Ltd.' is liable for manufacturing the drug which is contended to be dangerous for health?**

Note: -

a. All the laws of the Republic of Shalvak are Pari-Materia to that of the Republic of India.

b. The parties are not allowed to frame/add any further issues.

c. The parties are allowed to frame sub-issues as per their own discretion.

d. This is a work of fiction. Names, characters, business, events and incidents are the products of the drafter's imagination. Any resemblance to actual persons, living or dead, or actual events is purely coincidental.

### **MOOT PROPOSITION**

### **SEMESTER X (A and B)**

Name of the Teacher : Mrs. Anushree Saha and Mr. Saurav Chakraborty

### **CONSTITUTIONAL MOOT PROPOSITION**

1. Indiana is a country in Asian continent. It is a Democratic, Republic and Secular Nation with a population over 100 billion. The country has the largest written Constitution in the world. The country follows a federal structure of governance with a Union Government at the Centre and State Governments at state level for each 29 states with capital at Selhi.

The VIIth Schedule of the Constitution of Indiana contains Three Lists which catalogues the legislative competency between Union and State legislatures.

2. On 15th July, 2021 the Union Parliament passed the Citizenship (Amendment) Act, 2021 governing citizenship. The Act was heavily criticized by the opposition as it contains certain provisions which could tamper with the Secular nature of Indiana. The Government anticipating nationwide protest and agitation resorted to preemptory measures to handle the adverse situations which could arise in the context.

3. The Country witnessed massive protest and dharnas and movements against this law following its notification. Many prominent leaders from the opposition, various political organizations, and social activists took part in the protest. On 25th July, 2021 the Controller of Certifying Authorities issued an order to intercept information through any computer resource of some high level politicians, activists and journalists in consonance with Sec. 69 of the IT Act, 2000. Similar orders were issued by the telegraph authority to intercept information through telegraph devices also.

4. The order of the Controller of the Certifying Authorities dated 25th July, 2021 directed J K Technologies to intercept the information with aid of spyware named "spygaus" which is used to spy on users of Facebook's messaging platform, Whatsapp etc.. Accordingly, the company proceeded with the process of interception of communication of people as per the list forwarded by the Controller.

5. On 27th July, 2021 Mr. Jameer Ali, the editor in chief of People Today, a national daily and publishers of various magazines scheduled an interview with a notable Constitutional Law expert and social activist Dr. Sameer Chowdhary, discussing the constitutional aspects of the sensational enactment. Since, it was to be published the very next day Mr. Ali sent the questions to Dr. Chowdhary via Whatsapp, to which he responded in the form of voice messages. Both Mr. Ali and Dr. Chowdhary were supporters of the protest and found the Act, as an instrument for subscribing to the ideology of ruling party throughout the Nation. On the very next day at about 2:00 AM the local police arrested Dr. Chowdhary from his house and Mr. Ali from his office at South Selhi, which is in the National Capital Territory of New Selhi.
6. Both of them were detained in judicial custody without giving any information as to why they were arrested. After a long time it was informed that, in the interview Dr. Chowdhary has allegedly criticized the Prime Minister and the Home Minister, citing instances of maladministration and the way in which the chaos in the country is been handled. Further, the statements given by Mr. Ali that “the master brains are trying to achieve their agenda of transforming the nation in accordance with their political agenda, and if the people remain silent today, they will achieve what our forefathers never wanted for this country” and that “They will erase the history” were found fault with as allegedly having a content of incitement. Both of them were charged under Sec. 124 A of Indiana Penal Code, 1860 and were produced before the Magistrate.
7. The Judicial First Class Magistrate of South Selhi, under Sec. 311A of Indiana Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, issued an order to collect voice samples of both the accused for digital analysis and accordingly their voice samples were collected. They were released on conditional bail. Both Mr. Ali and Dr. Chowdhary approached the High Court of New Selhi under Art. 226 of the Constitution of Indiana challenging the constitutionality of the order of interception issued by the Controller under Sec. 124A of the Indiana Penal Code and also the order of the Magistrate under Sec. 311A of Criminal Procedure Code. At the same time they filed a complaint before the Data Protection Authority of Indiana alleging that the interception of their data by the State was in violation of rights

protected under The Protection of Personal Data Act, 2019. The Authority dismissed the complaint stating that the Whatsapp chat that was alleged to have been intercepted does not come within the meaning of Personal Data as defined under Sec. 2(29) of the Act. Further the Authority observed that even if considered otherwise the said interception is exempted under Sec. 42 and 43 of the Act. The Appellate Tribunal confirmed the order of the Authority.

8. The High Court of New Delhi upheld the constitutionality of the proceedings initiated by the Controller against the petitioner/Appellants and also the Order of the Magistrate under Section 311 A. In the same proceedings the High Court by invoking Sec. 482 of the Indian Criminal Procedure Code formed a Special Investigation Team to probe into the data interception using spyware by J K technologies and the involvement of the Union Government in this regard. Aggrieved by the order of the High Court and the Appellate Tribunal, Mr. Ali and Dr. Chowdhary filed appeal before the Hon<sup>ble</sup> Supreme Court of India. The State also filed an appeal against the Order of the High Court forming SIT. All the three appeals came to be admitted by the Supreme Court, which decided to hear all the matters together and framed the following questions for its consideration:

- A. Whether the Appellate Tribunal erred in not interfering with the decision of the Data Protection Authority justifying the interception of data and hence whether the same is sustainable in law?
- B. Whether the High Court erred in its decision approving the constitutionality of the proceedings initiated by the Controller of the Certifying Authorities under Sec. 69 of the IT Act, 2000?
- C. Whether the Order of the High Court endorsing the constitutionality of the decision of the Magistrate directing collection of voice samples of Dr. Chowdhary is

legally sustainable in the backdrop of the right against self-incrimination guaranteed under Article 20(3) of the Constitution?

D. Whether the decision of the High Court to suo motu invoke the inherent jurisdiction under Sec. 482 of the Indian Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 to form a special investigation team to probe into a matter under investigation is sustainable in law and facts?

Note:

- The Constitution of Republic of India is pari materia to the Constitution of India.
- All the legislations of Republic of India is pari materia to the legislations of Republic of India.
- The Protection of Personal Data Act, 2019 of India is pari materia to The Protection of Personal Data Bill, 2019.
- The Counsels will be having liberty to identify and raise additional issues apart from the issues suggested above.

### **MOOT PROPOSITION**

### **SEMESTER X (A and B)**

Name of the Teacher : Mrs. Anushree Saha and Mr. Saurav Chakraborty

### **MOOT PROPOSITION ON CRIMINAL LAW**

1. The Democratic Republic of Jotunheim, also known as Jatindham by the majority of its citizens, became an independent country in 1947 after being colonised for over 2 centuries by

the British. During this period of colonial rule, the British introduced Jotunheim's first penal code in 1860, which came to be known as the Jotunheim Penal Code, which became operative on 1st January 1860. Along with the Jotunheim Penal Code, the British enacted two other key criminal laws, the Criminal Procedure Code and the Jotunheim Evidence Act, passed in 1862 and 1872 respectively.

2. After the Jotunheimian independence, the Constituent Assembly of Jotunheim adopted its constitution on 26th November 1949. This new constitutional framework had a profound impact on the interpretation of the existing Criminal Procedure Code. Subsequently, the code was thoroughly revised in 1973, to align with the principles enshrined in the Constitution.

3. In response to evolving legal needs and as Jotunheim entered the 21st century, the government realized that the existing criminal laws, largely inherited from the colonial era, lacked a distinctly indigenous character. In response to this, the government introduced three new laws, namely, Jatindham Nyaya Sanhita (JNS) (2023), Jatindham Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (JNSS) (2023) and Jatindham Sakshya Adhiniyam (JSA) (2023), replacing the previous laws. These new laws came into effect on 1st July 2024.

4. Jamie, a 32-year-old entrepreneur and owner of a successful tech startup, is a citizen of Jotunheim residing in Argos. In one of the social events organised for the investors on 15th May 2023, Jamie met Oliver, a 30-year-old banker. Impressed with Oliver's qualifications and socialising skills, Jamie offered her a well-paying job at the startup which she gladly accepted.

5. Over the next few months, the two developed a close relationship, during which Jamie expressed his intention to marry Oliver. Jamie also started saving a substantial sum of money by depositing it in fixed deposits in the bank branch where Oliver previously worked, stating to her that he would be using it for the marriage. Additionally, Oliver has also contributed a substantial share to the deposit.

6. Oliver would frequently make personal purchases using Jamie's accounts and use his credit and debit cards without informing him or obtaining his permission.

7. Although they were in a relationship, Jamie and Oliver maintained a strictly professional demeanor in public, consciously avoiding any displays of affection. Jamie specially made sure that they should maintain professionalism at the workplace so as to prevent any unnecessary rumors.

8. Eventually, they bought a house on loan in Jamie's name, the payments of which were jointly made by the two. Subsequently, they moved in and started living together in October 2023.

9. On 20th November 2023, Jamie saw Oliver talking to one of their startup's funders, Rishi, at a restaurant. Upon returning home, Jamie began questioning her association with Rishi. Oliver asserted that their relationship was strictly professional, but an argument ensued and Jamie ended up slapping Oliver. He later apologised for his acts, realising his mistake and the two moved past the incident.

10. On December 1st 2023, Jamie proposed to Oliver, promising they would marry by the end of 2024 or in the beginning of 2025. Trusting his intentions and the commitment shown over time, Oliver agreed to enter into a sexual relationship with Jamie, only upon the belief and promise that they would eventually get married.

11. However, in May 2024, Oliver began to notice changes in Jamie's behaviour. He grew distant, avoiding every discussion about marriage, and frequently made excuses to postpone

setting a wedding date. Despite her repeated inquiries, Jamie reassured Oliver that he was committed to their future together but was reluctant to finalize any plans for the wedding.

12. Growing suspicious of him, Oliver discreetly gained access to Jamie's social media accounts, including Facebook, WhatsApp, and LinkedIn, logging in through her devices to monitor his activity without his knowledge.

13. Upon being met with unclear and vague responses, Oliver became suspicious of his intentions and also started recording their intimate moments and clicked multiple pictures of them in compromised positions.

14. Around the same time, Jamie realised that his financial accounts had unauthorised transactions. And while checking his social media accounts, he noticed multiple log-ins and realised that Oliver had been discreetly accessing his social media accounts. This led to a mistrust in him, and he started to contemplate whether Oliver is in-fact a right partner for marriage or not.

15. Meanwhile, Jamie's parents had been pressuring him to get married and he told his parents to look for a suitable partner.

16. On 2nd June 2024, Jamie withdrew the money that was deposited for the wedding without telling Oliver. She was made aware of Jamie's actions through her ex-colleagues. When she confronted Jamie about the withdrawal, he stated that it was necessary to make up for the startup's losses. However, upon further inquiry into the situation, Oliver learned that the business was running in profits and the money withdrawn was deposited into a joint account Jamie held with someone named Alexa.



17. On 10th July 2024, Jamie and Oliver went on a business trip to Nagoa for a week, where they ended up having sexual intercourse on multiple occasions. However, on the last day of the trip, Oliver started discussing about fixing the date of the wedding when Jamie snapped and decided to end their relationship soon and not to talk to Oliver ever again. After returning to Argos, they also started living separately.

18. By the end of August 2024, Jamie had completely severed all personal contact with Oliver. When she confronted Jamie, he said that his parents were not ready for their marriage and that they had already arranged his marriage with Alexa. He even showed text messages and call logs in a bid to explain that he had made extensive efforts to convince his family members.

19. Oliver later found out that Jamie had already sold the house they had purchased jointly and transferred the proceeds to his joint account with Alexa.

20. Oliver was heartbroken and felt completely betrayed. On 26th August, she posted their intimate pictures and videos across various social media platforms, making them accessible to the public. On 29th August 2024, she lodged an FIR against Jamie with the local police station in Argos, accusing him of exploiting her under false pretences.

21. The police conducted a preliminary enquiry and finally arrested Jamie on 14th September 2024, initially charging him under Section 69 of the JNS. The police tried to get him to confess the alleged offence by torturing him. On the evening of 16th September, the police produced Jamie in the court of the local judicial magistrate, seeking a police remand, which was granted by the magistrate till 30th September 2024.

22. On 1st October, the police moved another application for extending the police remand, stating that more time is needed to complete the investigation. The police remand was again granted until 15th October. Similarly, the police filed another application on 16th October for

extending the remand, stating the same reason. This request was approved, extending the remand until 30th October. During this period, he was tortured and coerced by the police to confess to the alleged offence on multiple occasions.

23. The remand was extended till 14th December, in a cyclic manner. Finally, Jamie filed for bail on 16th December claiming the right to default bail. The police failed in filing the chargesheet in the due course of time and thus the default bail was granted to Jamie.

24. The police however, completed the investigation by the end of December and the final chargesheet contained the offence under Section 375 r/w Section 376 of the Jotunheimian Penal Code. The Judicial magistrate took the chargesheet on file and issued summons to the accused Jamie but he failed to appear before the court. The court issued a warrant against Jamie. However, when the police attempted to execute the warrant on 1st January 2025, Jamie was nowhere to be found. On 28th January 2025, a consecutive warrant was issued by the court, which again could not be executed by the police on account of Jamie absconding.

25. After following the requisite procedure under JNSS, the court declared him to be a proclaimed offender. Subsequently, in April 2025 the case was committed to the Court of Session, where a trial was conducted in absentia and a conviction was procured under Section 376, sentencing Jamie to life imprisonment.

26. An appeal was preferred by the accused through his advocate before the High Court challenging the trial, the handling of the remand proceedings and the conviction.

**The following issues shall be considered before the High Court in the present matter:**

- 1. Whether the appeal in the present case is maintainable before the High Court?**
- 2. Whether the trial in absentia adhered to the provisions and principles of due process?**
- 3. Whether the lower court's application of substantive and procedural laws in the given factual context was correct?**
- 4. Whether any offence in the instant case has been committed, if so, under which laws?**
- 5. Whether the repeated authorisation of police remand by the lower court till 14th December was in accordance with the provisions of criminal procedure and the principles enshrined under the Constitution of Jotunheim?**

NOTE:

1. All facts mentioned and the references made are fictional.
2. The laws of India apply mutatis mutandis.
3. Issues and sub-issues can be added and modified provided they do not alter the fundamental premise.
4. For the purposes of this proposition, the appeal before the High Court is considered to be filed in May 2025.

**5 YEAR B.B.A./B.COM. LL.B. (Hons.)**

**NAME OF THE SUBJECT: Experiential Learning and Field Work**

**SEMESTER: X**

**NAME OF THE SUBJECT TEACHER: Rituraj Bhowal**

<b>SERIAL No</b>	<b>STUDENT'S NAME</b>	<b>TOPICS ASSIGNED</b>
<b>5 YEAR B.B.A. LL.B (Hons) - SEMESTER - X</b>		
1	Adarsh Krishna	A STUDY RELATING TO SOCIO-ECONOMIC RIGHTS OF ZOMATO AND SWIGGY FOOD DELIVERY PARTNERS WORKING WITHIN SILIGURI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION.
2	Abhijit Mandal	
3	Shiwangi Chettri	
4	Swarnayu Saha	
5	Amrita Khanal	
6	Aditi Pandey	IMPLEMENTATION OF RIGHT TO FAIR COMPENSATION AND TRANSPARENCY IN LAND ACQUISITION, REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT ACT, 2013: A STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO PROJECT OF ASIAN HIGHWAY II FROM BAGDOGRA TO DARJEELING MORE.
7	Prachi	
8	Souradip Paul	
9	Sakshi Pratyush	
10	Koushik Adhikary	
11	Debaditya Pal	CONSTITUTIONALITY OF RELIGIOUS PERFORMANCES IN THE PREMISES OF GOVERNMENT OFFICES: A STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO GOVERNMENT OFFICES IN SILIGURI MUNICIPAL
12	Somnath Bardhan	

13	Megha Das	CORPORATION.
14	Abartho Das	
15	Nawraj Biswakarma	
16	Ashfia Tabassum	AN EMPIRICAL STUDY RELATING TO SCOPE AND OPPORTUNITIES OF ECO-FEMINISM VIS-À-VIS TOURISM IN HILLY AREAS OF DARJEELING.
17	Aaliya Afreen	
18	Rahul Kumar Das	
19	Prabhat Kumar	
20	Debopriya Saha	
21	Ganga Roy	BALANCING THE INTEREST OF DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT: AN ENVIRO-LEGAL STUDY FOR ASSESSING THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF CONSTRUCTING THE SEVOKE-RANGPO RAILWAY LINE.
22	Anisha Kumari	
23	Muskan Singhal	
24	Suramya Sundas	
25	Sristhi Goyal	
26	Arnab Bairagi	A STUDY RELATING TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF WILD LIFE (PROTECTION) ACT, 1972 IN MAHANANDA WILDLIFE SANCTUARY.
27	Manisha Chettri	
28	Sayak Ghosh	
<p><b>5 YEAR B.Com. LL.B (Hons) - SEMESTER - X</b></p>		

1	Rishita Saha	CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY IN SILIGURI SUB-DIVISION: A STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO IMPLEMENTATION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE (CARE AND PROTECTION OF CHILDREN) ACT, 2015.
2	Chirag Rathi	
3	Riya Patodia	
4	Pragya Kumari Bubna	
5	Susmita Shill	
6	Tamal Kumar Saha	A STUDY RELATING TO IMPLEMENTATION AND IMPACT OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC SCHEME OF LAKSHMIR BHANDAR SCHEME WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO SILIGURI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION.
7	Tunisha Adhikary	
8	Chetna Siwaniwal	
9	Suraj Kumar Gupta	
10	Muskan Jyotishi	
11	Varsha Kumari Singh	ANALYSIS OF RIGHT OF CITIZENS TO MOVE FREELY THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY OF INDIA: A STUDY WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON THE PARKING PROBLEMS IN SILIGURI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION.
12	Shruti Dey	
13	Amzad Hussain	
14	Esha Sha Sonar	
15	Priyangshu Saha	
16	Bidhan Rai	A STUDY RELATING TO IMPLEMENTATION OF NATIONAL EDUCATION POLICY, 2020 ON THE GOVERNMENT AND
17	Abir Biswas	

18	Rohit Prasad	GOVERNMENT AIDED SCHOOLS IN MATIGARA BLOCK OF SILIGURI SUB-DIVISION.
19	Shreyaa Roy	
20	Ritwika Chaudhuri	
21	Snehasish Moitra	RIGHTS OF THE LABOURERS: A FIELD STUDY ON THE TEA PLANTATION WORKERS IN THE KURSEONG SUB-DIVISION.
22	Alankrita Chakraborty	
23	Tanisha Nandy	
24	Ipsita Saha	
25	Shrestha Goswami	
26	Nandini Mitra	ROLE OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS IN THE PROTECTION OF ANIMAL RIGHTS: A FIELD STUDY WITHIN SILIGURI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION.
27	Anjali Hurkat	
28	Soham Sarkar	
29	Subhadip Haldar	
30	Aastha Das	A STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON THE GOVERNMENT AND GOVERNMENT AIDED PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN SILIGURI SUB-DIVISION.
31	Aayush Agarwal	
32	Akash Siddha	
33	Debajyoti Chakraborty	

**PRACTICAL TOPICS FOR EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING AND FIELD  
WORK CLASS - 5 YEARS X SEM, 2024-25**

**Subject Teacher: Ms. Titas Biswas**  
**SECTION - A**

<b>ROLL NO.</b>	<b>NAME OF THE STUDENT</b>	<b>TOPICS ALLOTTED</b>
1-4	BHAGYASREE DAS MAMATA SAHA SRADHA RAI PRATIVA PRASAD	Mental Health Awareness: Examining stigma and accessibility to mental health services in your local areas.
5-8	SOMA SARKAR SOURAV DEB SRITILATA PRADHAN BROJESH ROY CHOWDHURY	Plastic Waste Management: Evaluating Siliguri's waste disposal policies and their implementation and their effects on the locals.
9-12	SANKIT LEPCHA SHARMILA ROY ANGKITA SARKAR NIRBAN DEBNATH	Eco-tourism and Sustainability: A legal analysis of tourism laws in Darjeeling and Siliguri and their implementation as well as development.
13-16	SOUVIK DAM NIKHIL KUMAR GUPTA MRIDULA SARKAR AAISHA SHARMA	Special Education Needs and Rights: Challenges that are faced by children with disabilities in Siliguri schools and other sectors, following with all the steps taken by the government regarding the same.
17-20	JRMEE NANDI GOVIND KUMAR SAH SAMRIDHYA PAL SHILPI DUTTA	Cyber Crimes Against Children: Awareness and legal response regarding the increase in cyber crimes against children in North Bengal.
21-24	PARTHIV CHAKRABORTY MAHEK MANTRI DIPARNAB DUTTA SAPNA DHOBI	Child Labor in the Informal Sector: Examine the legal loopholes and enforcement challenges despite schemes concerning eradicating child labor.
25-28	TSHERING DOLMA BLON TANIYA SHYAM HRISAV ANIRBAN GHOSH KRITI SINGH	Legal Frameworks for Sustainable Development: Evaluate Siliguri's expansion projects and its compliance with environmental laws.



29-32	PRIYANKA GOSWAMI PRAKRITI CHHETRI SAGNIK DUTTA JASMIN ALI	Plastic Waste Management: Evaluate how Siliguri's waste disposal policies are implemented and executed in your local areas, including its effect on people's lifestyle.
33-36	ROSY SHARON BAGH SAYANI DEY SOHINI SARKAR GAYTARI BHAGAT	Deforestation and its Adverse Impact on Biodiversity: A study in and around Mahananda Wildlife Sanctuary.
37-40	RUPOSRI DAS ARIJIT DUTTA RUPA DAS SIMRAN RAI	Maternal and Child Healthcare in North Bengal: Assessing the effectiveness of government schemes in your local areas and whether those schemes are implemented.
41-44	SAGORIKA DAS PRAYAS GURUNG SATARUPA GHATAK SAYANI MUKHERJEE	Urbanization and basic housing rights: Research on the legal implications of slum development in Siliguri and whether any steps are proposed by the government.
45-48	ELINA PAUL SHRUTI KUMARI CHASANGMU TAMANG MRITUL SINGHA	How road safety laws are implemented assured: A case study of traffic management and accidents in your local areas.
49-51	LINA PARVIN SAMPA ROY ANKITA MAZUMDAR	Access to Healthcare in Siliguri: An empirical study on the availability and affordability of medical services on the ground level.

**PRACTICAL TOPICS FOR EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING AND FIELD WORK**  
**CLASS - 5 YEARS X SEM, 2024-25**  
**SECTION - B**

ROLL NO.	NAME OF THE STUDENT	TOPICS ALLOTTED
----------	---------------------	-----------------

1-4	SOHAM KUNDU RAHUL SAHA ANURADHA YADAV RUMI BHAGAT	Mental Health Awareness: Examining stigma and accessibility to mental health services in your local areas.
5-8	REETIKA DAS AAVASH THAKURI TRISHA KUNDU MOU CHAUDHURI	Plastic Waste Management: Evaluating Siliguri's waste disposal policies and their implementation and their effects on the locals.
9-12	MOHIT SAHA BIBISNA RAI REBIKA PRADHAN BADAL DAS	Eco-tourism and Sustainability: A legal analysis of tourism laws in Darjeeling and Siliguri and their implementation as well as development.
13-16	NAJNI PARVEEN MATIBUL RABBANI SAPTAPARNA SARKAR DEVRATH GAZMER	Special Education Needs and Rights: Challenges that are faced by children with disabilities in Siliguri schools and other sectors, following with all the steps taken by the government regarding the same.
17-20	REBATI BARMAN VINITA SHARMA RITU CHHETRI SHAHANAJ BEGUM	Cyber Crimes Against Children: Awareness and legal response regarding the increase in cyber crimes against children in North Bengal.
21-24	PRITAM GOPE JAYA MAJUMDAR TSHEWANG DORJE LAMA SHABNAM NASRIN	Child Labor in the Informal Sector: Examine the legal loopholes and enforcement challenges despite schemes concerning eradicating child labor.
25-28	RIPTA GHOSH ABHINANDAN GURUNG ANAMIKA SAHA SAFAL RAI	Legal Frameworks for Sustainable Development: Evaluate Siliguri's expansion projects and its compliance with environmental laws.
29-32	SOUMYAJIT BARMAN RISHA DAS ALOKA ROY JAYA BARMAN	Plastic Waste Management: Evaluate how Siliguri's waste disposal policies are implemented and executed in your local areas, including its effect on people's lifestyle.

33-36	PANIYA GUHA PRIYA MRIDHA PRATHANA BANIKA PRIYANKA ROY CHOUDHURY	Deforestation and its Adverse Impact on Biodiversity: A study in and around Mahananda Wildlife Sanctuary.
37-40	RONAK DAS RAHUL CHAKRABORTY PRIYA TALUKDAR SUITY DHAR	Maternal and Child Healthcare in North Bengal: Assessing the effectiveness of government schemes in your local areas and whether those schemes are implemented.
41-44	SAGNIK SAHA SUSMITA ROY JUSTISH DAS DEVAPRIYA SAHA	Urbanization and basic housing rights: Research on the legal implications of slum development in Siliguri and whether any steps are proposed by the government.
45-48	ANNESHA BHADURY SUJAL LIMBOO SAIKAT ROY ABANTIKA DEY	How road safety laws are implemented assured: A case study of traffic management and accidents in your local areas.
49-52	CHANCHAL SHARMA PRIYABRATA SAHA KAJALA PARVIN RAVIKANT ROY	Access to Healthcare in Siliguri: An empirical study on the availability and affordability of medical services on the ground level.