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**SUJECT -LAW OF PROPERTY**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **NAME OF THE STUDENT** | **TOPIC** |
| SAJJIA HUSSAIN | AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE KIND OF LEASE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE CHAMBER. |
| PRAMOD RAY - G | AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE KIND OF LEASE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE CHAMBER. |
| NIKESH PARAJULI - G | AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE KIND OF LEASE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE CHAMBER. |
| DICKEY SHERPA - G | AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE KIND OF LEASE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE CHAMBER. |
| DEEPMALA SINGH - G | AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE KIND OF LEASE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATES’ CHAMBER. |
| PANKAJ DAS - G | AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE KIND OF MORTGAGE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE’S CHAMBER. |
| AZMUL HOQUE - G | THE KIND OF MORTGAGE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE’S CHAMBER. |
| ANUSTUPA GOPE - G | THE KIND OF MORTGAGE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE’S CHAMBER |
| PRIYANKA SARKAR - G | THE KIND OF MORTGAGE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE’S CHAMBER. |
| NEELAM JAY - G | THE KIND OF MORTGAGE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE’S CHAMBER. |
| RAHUL ROY - G | THE KIND OF MORTGAGE AGREEMENT ENETERED BY THE LOCAL PEOPLE OF SILIGURI: VISIT TO THE ADVOCATE’S CHAMBER. |

**SUJECT -LAW OF EVIDENCE**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **NAME OF THE STUDENT** | **TOPIC** |
| SAJJIA HUSSAIN | CONCEPT OF PRESUMPTION AS TO DOCUMENTS UNDER THE INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT, 1872 |
| PRAMOD RAY - G | ANALYSE TH VALIDITRY OF DNA TESTING OF OF EVIDENC |
| NIKESH PARAJULI - G | EXCLUSION OF ORAL EVIDENCE BY DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE: RATIONALE AND ISSUES |
| DICKEY SHERPA - G | EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES: LEGAL ASPECTS |
| DEEPMALA SINGH - G | EXPLORING THE ELEMENTS OF SECONDARY EVIDENCE UNDER THE INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT |
| PANKAJ DAS - G | HOSTILE WITNESSES: JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION OPINION EVIDENCE UNDER THE ACT |
| AZMUL HOQUE - G | A CRITICAL STUDY OF ADMISSIONS AND CONFESSIONS UNDER THE ACT |
| ANUSTUPA GOPE - G | BURDEN OF PROOF AS A MATTER OF LAW AND PLEADING THE BURDEN OF ESTABLISHING A CASE |
| PRIYANKA SARKAR - G | CREDIBILITY OF STATEMENTS BEFORE A POLICE OFFICE |
| NEELAM JAY - G | INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT: EXTENT AND APPLICABILITY |
| RAHUL ROY - G | A STUDY OF COMPETENCE OF WITNESSES UNDER THE INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO SECTION 118 OF THE ACT |

**SUJECT -COMPANY LAW**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **NAME OF THE STUDENT** | **TOPIC** |
| SAJJIA HUSSAIN | ANALYSE THE RULE LAID DOWN IN FOSS VS. HARBOTLLE. |
| PRAMOD RAY - G | STUDY ON THE CONCEPT OF SEPERATE LEGAL ENTITY. |
| NIKESH PARAJULI - G | ANALYSE THE DOCTRINE OF UTRA VIRES |
| DICKEY SHERPA - G | ANALYSE THE CONCEPTOF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY. |
| DEEPMALA SINGH - G | THE ROLE AND FUNCTIONS OF DIRECTOR |
| PANKAJ DAS - G | ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF LEIFTING OF CORPORATE VIEL |
| AZMUL HOQUE - G | ANALYSE THE ROLE OF NCLT |
| ANUSTUPA GOPE - G | ANALYSE THE CONCEPT OF GOVERNMNET COMPANIES. |
| PRIYANKA SARKAR - G | PREVENTION OF OPRESSION AND MANAGEMENT: ANALYSE |
| NEELAM JAY - G | ANALYSE THE PROCESS OF COMPULSORY WINDING UP OF COMPANIES UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT, 2013 |
| RAHUL ROY - G | ANALYSE THE PROCESS OF VOLUNTORY WINDING UP OF COMPANIES UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT, 2013 |